The important role of interdisciplinary collaboration in the management of a melanocytic skin lesion
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Abstract

One of the most confounding characteristics, commonly seen in malignant, but even in benign melanocytic nevi, is represented by the regression phenomenon. The identification of regression, through dermoscopical observation, can be predictive of a tricky histopathological examination. Therefore, this feature should be an alert to a meticulous clinical, dermoscopical and histopathological correlation for correct analysis of melanocytic skin lesions. A 26-year-old man was referred to our department for a pigmented skin lesion localized on his trunk. It was clinically and dermoscopically diagnosed as atypical melanocytic nevus with central regression. After 1 year the lesion underwent considerable changes, leading to a nearly complete regression. The lesion was excised and, on the basis of clinical, dermoscopical and histopathological correlation, was interpreted as a junctional melanocytic nevus with regression. In our case the association of clinical, dermoscopical and histopathological experience, resulted an important and useful method, in order to properly interpret and correctly diagnose an atypical melanocytic skin lesion.

In November 2009, a 26-year-old man was referred to our department for a pigmented skin lesion on his trunk. The lesion appeared as a brown macule, sized 5 mm in diameter, with an irregular shape and no associated pruritus or discomfort. Family history of dysplastic nevi or melanoma was negative. Dermoscopic examination showed: reticular pattern with central regression, constituted by blue-white areas, and diffuse dots/globules (Figure 1a). A diagnosis of atypical melanocytic nevus with partial regression was made and excision of the lesion was recommended, but the patient did not show up, even after several reminders. He presented for a follow up after 1 year, when the lesion was almost totally disappeared. It was dermoscopically re-analyzed revealing diffuse white area with only a small central residual light brown pigmentation network (Figure 1b). The lesion was finally excised and histopathologic examination performed, diagnosing it as a melanocytic blue nevus. Since there was no concordance between histopathology, dermoscopy and clinical history the specimen was re-analyzed histopathologically. The examination confirmed the presence of fibrosis, neovascularization and heavily-pigmented dendritic melanocytes in the dermis (Figure 1c), but it showed also areas with irregular junctional melanocytic activity with a focal trend toward the upward spreading (pagetoid). The dermal component was constituted of melanocytes with small round nuclei, rare nucleoli, and a solid growth pattern with high cellularity, but no significant atypia (Figure 1d). This component showed a striking immunoreactivity for S-100 protein and ki-67 (MIB-1), but it was negative for HMB45. Based on the review of clinical, dermoscopical and histopathological features, the lesion was now diagnosed as a junctional melanocytic nevus with regression. A strict follow-up every 6 months was recommended.

The regression phenomenon represents one of the most confounding characteristics, commonly seen in malignant, but even in benign melanocytic nevi. It has been reported in the literature using various terms and definitions, reflecting the great morphological variability of this phenomenon. The presence of regression might be confounding not only on dermoscopic grounds but also on histopathological
ones. Zalaudek et al. showed an absolute correspondence between the dermoscopic blue-white structures and the presence of partial or focal regression histopathologically. Ackerman et al. reported a dermoscopical-histopathological correlation between blue areas and the melanosis type of regression as well as between white areas and the fibrosis type of regression. Our case completely fitted with these findings.

In the last two decades several studies have been performed investigating the diagnostic impact of dermoscopy, demonstrating the increase of accuracy in diagnosing pigmented skin lesions compared with clinical examination by the naked eye. However, dermoscopy is not 100% accurate in differentiating melanocytic skin lesions as these entities frequently are characterized by ‘overlapping’ dermoscopic features. The loss of typical dermoscopic features over time, as in our case, represents a challenge for the dermatologist. Ferrara et al. showed that the presence of features as regression in melanocytic lesions might lead to an interobserver disagreement on diagnosis from a dermoscopic but also histopathological point of view. The knowledge of a dermoscopic pattern which might be predictive of a histopathological difficulty for the analysis of melanocytic skin lesions should be an alert to a meticulous clinical, dermoscopical and histopathological correlation. This report confirmed this correlation as an important and useful method in order to interpret and diagnose an atypical melanocytic skin lesion. In conclusion, we want to emphasize the important role of the interdisciplinary collaboration in the management of melanocytic skin moles.
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