Immunophenotyping of chronic B-cell neoplasms: flow cytometry versus immunohistochemistry
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Abstract

Morphological differentiation between benign and malignant lymphoproliferative disorders (LPDs) can be challenging. Immunophenotyping (IPT) by either technique, flow cytometry or immunohistochemistry (IHC), is an important step in solving such difficulty. Thirty-five newly diagnosed patients with chronic B-cell neoplasms (11 chronic lymphocytic leukemia, 22 non-Hodgkin lymphoma and 2 hairy cell leukemia) were included in this study with age range from 20 to 70 years. Monoclonal antibodies surface expression using lymphoproliferative disorders panel (CD45, CD19, CD5, CD10, CD11c, CD20, CD22, CD23, CD38, CD79b, FMC7, CD103, CD25, kappa and lambda light chains) by flow cytometry was done on bone marrow samples. CD20, CD5, CD23, Bcl-2, Bcl-6, kappa and lambda light chain immunostaining were performed on fixed bone marrow trephine biopsy specimen. The sensitivity of IHC was 81.8% in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and 100% in non Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) as regards CD20, 100% in both groups as regards CD5, 46% in CLL and 66.7% in NHL as regards CD23, 33.3% in CLL and 50% in NHL as regards kappa chain, 20% in CLL and 33.3% in NHL as regards lambda chain. We found that IHC and flow cytometry are equally effective in diagnosing CLL; however, IHC might be slightly more sensitive than flow cytometry in detecting bone marrow infiltration in NHL and hairy cell leukemia (HCL).

Materials and Methods

Subjects
This study comprised 35 newly diagnosed chronic B-cell neoplasms adult patients attending the hematological unit of clinical pathology department, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. Patients were recruited to the study on the basis of clinical, laboratory, bone marrow aspirate and trephine biopsy findings and immunophenotypic criteria of chronic B-cell neoplasms. Sometimes lymph node biopsy results were provided to confirm the diagnosis.

They were 22 males and 13 females with a male to female ratio 1.7:1.0. Their ages ranged from 20 to 70 years old with mean of 51 ± 15.2 years. The 35 patients were divided into 5 groups: chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) group (11 patients), non Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) group (22 patients) and hairy cell leukemia (HCL) group (2 patients). The 22 NHL cases comprised 8 mantle cell lymphomas, 6 follicular lymphomas, 5 diffuse large B-cell lymphomas and 3 Burkitt’s lymphomas.

Patients were subjected to clinical sheet details (with special concern to organomegaly, lymphadenopathy, fever and weight loss), complete blood count with examination of peripheral blood smears stained with Leishman stain, bone marrow aspiration, bone marrow trephine biopsy, flow cytometric immunophenotyping for cases in leukemic phase using lymphoproliferative disorders panel (CD45, CD19, CD5, CD10, CD11c, CD20, CD22, CD23, CD38, CD79b, FMC7, CD103, CD25, kappa and lambda light chains). CD20, CD5, CD23, Bcl-2, Bcl-6, kappa and lambda light chain immunostaining was performed on fixed bone marrow trephine biopsy specimen.

Specimens

Two milliliters peripheral blood samples were collected in a sterile ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) containing vacutainers for CBC. Bone marrow (BM) aspiration was withdrawn; the first few drops were spread on glass slides for morphological examination and 1 mL into sterile EDTA containing vacutainers for IPT. For IPT by flow cytometry samples were processed within 24 hours. BM trephine core biopsy was obtained and transferred immediately in a sterile plastic cup containing aldehyde solution as a fixative.

Methods

Flow cytometry
Samples were processed using whole blood lysis method and analysis was done using 4 colour flow cytometric analyzer (Coulter EPICS-XL flow cytometer with system II software) USA. FCM quality control including alignment, calibration, and color compensation was performed before sample acquisition according to manufacturer’s instructional manual. Samples were diluted 1:1 with phosphate buffered-saline (PBS), pH 7.4 (Sigma Chemicals, St Louis). The final cell count suspension was adjusted between 5 and 10x10^9/L: 50 uL of each sample was pipetted in two tubes (one test tube and one control tube); 5 uL of MoAbs fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), or phycoerythrin (PE) labeled (Provided by Coulter electronics, USA) were added to the test tube while 5 uL of isotype matched conjugated IgGs to determine the non specific binding were added to the control tube. Tubes were gently vortexed and incubated 15 min at room temperature in the dark. One mL lysing solution was added to each
tube for 2-5 min and mixed well. At the end 1 mL PBS was added. Sequential gates were applied; initially, the lymphoid cells window was defined by forward scatter/side scatter and CD45/side scatter patterns. At least 20% of cells should express the marker to be considered positive for immunophenotyping panel. For all monoclonal expression both percent positivity and staining intensity of cells were determined.

Immunohistochemistry

Fixation was performed for 24 hours then decalcification of the core was done using disodium EDTA for 48 hours. This was followed by passing the core in serial concentrations of ethyl alcohol (50%, 70%, 85%, 90%, and 100%) ending with xylene then wax followed by paraffin embedding. Serial 3-μm sections were cut from the paraffin block, mounted on positively charged slides and dried overnight in a 60°C oven. Deparaffinization in xylene for 24 hours followed by hydration in descending grades of alcohol; 100%, 90%, 85% and 70% was done. Antigen unmasking was done by heat induced epitope retrieval (HIER) method using antigen retrieval solution (Dako, Denmark) with PH 6.0, for a period of 20 min in a microwave at 800 Watt.

Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation of the tissue section with 3% hydrogen peroxide in water for 30 min followed by incubation with the primary monoclonal antibody (Dako, Denmark) (Table 1). The streptavidin-biotin method with the horseradish peroxidase enzyme was used as a detection kit (LSAB2, Dako, Denmark). The sections were then counterstained in Meyer hematoxylin, cover-slipped by DPX mount media and examined under light microscope. The positivity of the immunostaining was detected by percentage of positive cells, intensity and pattern of staining (Table 1). According to percentage of positive cells: four grades were identified; 0: no reaction, 1+: <5%, 2+: 5-9%, 3+: 10-20% and 4+: ≥20%. Monoclonality was confirmed by either κ/λ ratios of more than 3 (κ clone) or less than 0.4 (λ clone).4

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed statistically using statistical package for social science (SPSS version 15.0.1). The following tests were done: descriptive statistics including quantitative data (mean±standard deviation) for parametric results and in the form of median and range in non parametric ones) and qualitative data (number and percentage), analytical statistics including χ², Fisher’s exact test, Wilcoxon Rank-sum test (Z-value) and regarding quantitative parametric data using Student test (t-value).

Results

In the CLL group (11 patients), the BM aspirate lymphocytes percentage ranged from 40% to 98% with a mean of 82.9±16.0. In the NHL cases (22 patients), the BM aspirate lymphocytes ranged from 6% to 92% with a mean of 24.8±22.9. Seven (31.8%) cases of the NHL group had BM lymphocyte count ≥30% (BM infiltration), while 13 (59.1%) cases had lymphocyte count <30%. Dry tap was observed in 2 cases. Bone marrow trephine biopsy showed lymphocytic infiltration in all patients. In the CLL group, ten cases showed diffuse pattern of infiltration with packed marrow and one case showed interstitial pattern of infiltration together with scattered nodules of small lymphocytes. In the NHL group, the predominant pattern of infiltration was the mixed pattern (8/22, 36.4%), followed by the focal pattern (7/22, 31.8%), the interstitial pattern (4/22, 18.2%) and the diffuse pattern (3/22, 13.6%). In the two HCL cases, both showed mixed (patchy and interstitial) pattern of infiltration.

Flow cytometry

All CLL cases had a score of 4-5 while in the NHL group the score was 0-2 according to Matutes and Moreau6,7 immunophenotyping on BM aspirate was performed in infiltrated cases which were 7 out of 22 cases (Figures 1, 2).

Table 1. Monoclonal antibodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary antibody</th>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Time of incubation</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Pattern of staining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD20</td>
<td>L26</td>
<td>Ready to use</td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>4+</td>
<td>Membranous/Cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD5</td>
<td>CD5/54/F6</td>
<td>Ready to use</td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>Membranous/Cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD23</td>
<td>MHM6</td>
<td>1:5</td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>Membranous/Cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Ready to use</td>
<td>One hour</td>
<td>4+</td>
<td>Membranous/Cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-6</td>
<td>PG-Blp</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Overnight at 4°C</td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>R10-21-F3</td>
<td>Ready to use</td>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>Perinuclear/Granular cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>N102</td>
<td>Ready to use</td>
<td>Two hours</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>Perinuclear/Granular cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All monoclonals were purchased from Life trade company Egypt imported from Dako Denmark.

Figure 1. Flow cytometric analysis of a case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (score=5).
In CLL group, all cases (100%) revealed CD19, CD20 (72.7% of them with dim intensity), CD5 and CD23, 87.5% expressed CD22, 67.5% were negative for CD79b, 77.5% were negative for FMC7 and 35% expressed CD38. SmIg light chain restriction showed κ restriction in 54.5% and λ in 45.5% of cases. In NHL group, the lymphocytes expressed pan B cell markers, CD19 (100%), CD20 (100% with bright intensity), CD22 (85.7%) and CD79b (85.7%, bright expression); CD5 and CD23 were expressed in 3/7 cases (42.9%); CD10 was expressed in one case.

Immunohistochemistry

Figures 3-8 show examples of immunohistochemistry results. CD20 showed positivity in 81.8% (9/11) of the CLL group showing 45.4% of cases with strong intensity, 18.2% with moderate intensity and 18.2% with weak intensity. In NHL group, positivity was detected in 95.5% (21/22) of cases showing 45.5% of cases with strong intensity, 36.4% with moderate intensity and 13.6% showed weak intensity in NHL group. Concerning CD5, it was expressed in 100% (11/11) with 45.5% showed strong intensity, 36.3% with moderate intensity and 18.2% with weak intensity in CLL group, 50% (11/22) of cases showing 22.8% with strong intensity, 18.2% with moderate intensity and 9% with weak intensity in the NHL.

Figure 2. Flow cytometric analysis of a case of non Hodgkin lymphoma (score=2).
Figure 3. A case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia showing positive CD20.
Figure 4. A case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia showing positive CD5.
Figure 5. A case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia showing positive CD23.
Figure 6. Light chain restriction: kappa restriction in a case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia.
Figure 7. A case of chronic lymphocytic leukemia showing positive Bcl2.
Figure 8. A case of Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma showing positive Bcl6.
As regards CD23, it was expressed in 45.5% (5/11) showing 9% with strong intensity, 9% with moderate intensity and 27.5% with weak intensity and in 13.6% (3/22) of cases of the CLL group. All cases of NHL showed weak intensity. Three cases (27.7%) of the CLL group, all of them had weak intensity and 12/22 (54.5%) of NHL group showed light chain restriction with all cases had moderate intensity. As for Bcl-2 protein, it was positive in 54.5% (6/11) & in 36.4% (8/22) of cases of the CLL and NHL groups. Bcl-6 was positive in 13.6% (3/22) of NHL cases and it was negative in CLL group.

Comparing immunohistochemistry to flow cytometry results

The percent positivity of markers and staining intensity done using IHC were compared to the percent positivity and to the mean fluorescence intensity of these markers using flow cytometry. In the CLL group, no statistical significance was found regarding all markers except for CD20 mean fluorescence intensity (P=0.002), however, within the NHL group, there was a significant relation between the percent positivity of both flow cytometry and IHC regarding CD20 (P=0.01), CD5 (P=0.02), CD23 (P=0.049), κ (P=0.025) and highly significant one for λ (P=0.006) (Table 2). The significant association was restricted to CD20 and CD5 when comparing their IHC positivity to MFI by flow cytometry (P=0.002 and 0.04 respectively) (Table 3). On the other hand, comparing the IHC staining intensity (graded as strong, moderate and weak) to the flow cytometry results showed a high statistical significance as regards CD5 either its percent positivity or its MFI (P=0.008, 0.039 respectively). Another significance was found regarding CD20 MFI (P=0.049). No statistical significance was found as regards other markers.

Sensitivity of immunohistochemistry markers

The sensitivity of IHC markers was calculated in both CLL and NHL groups which revealed a sensitivity of 81.8% for the CLL group and 100% in NHL group as regards CD20, 100% in both groups as regards CD5, 46% in CLL group and 66.7% in NHL group as regards CD23, 33.3% in CLL group and 50% in NHL group as regards kappa, 20% in CLL group and 33.3% in NHL group as regards lambda (Table 4).

Discussion

Modern hematopathology relies heavily on immunophenotyping both for distinguishing benign from malignant processes as well as for accurate subclassification. In addition, paraffin section immunophenotyping allows us to make diagnoses on small biopsies that would have previously been considered suspicious for infiltration. In addition to the numerous commercially available antibodies, advances in heat-induced epitope retrieval allow one to obtain a detailed immunophenotype in routinely processed lymphomas that was only possible using flow cytometry or frozen section immunostaining.5 Although the use of antibody panels is standard in flow cytometry, the study of a full panel of paraffin-reactive antibodies in the workup of B-cell lymphoid neoplasms is not so prevalent. A full immunophenotype and determination of monoclonality (immunoglobulin (Ig) light chain restriction) may be difficult using IHC because of the destruction of some of the antigenic epitopes by the fixation and decalcification process used for BMB specimens.3 In addition, some markers that are useful by flow cytometry are simply not routinely available for paraffin IHC.8

The aim of this study was to identify the diagnostic role of IHC in chronic B-cell neoplasms using a selected panel of monoclonal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparing percent positivity of immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and non Hodgkin lymphoma groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marker</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICH, immunohistochemistry; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; NHL, non Hodgkin lymphoma; NS, Non-significant; S, Significant; HS, Highly-significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Comparing percent positivity of immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry mean fluorescence intensity in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and non Hodgkin lymphoma groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marker</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICH, immunohistochemistry; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; NHL, non Hodgkin lymphoma; NS, Non-significant; S, Significant; HS, Highly-significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Sensitivity of immunohistochemistry markers in chronic lymphocytic leukemia and non Hodgkin lymphoma groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLL</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; NHL, non Hodgkin lymphoma.
antibodies and to compare this role to that of flow cytometry. Thirty five newly diagnosed patients having chronic B-LPDs were studied in the current study; they were classified into three groups (CLI, NHL and HCL).

Flow cytometry on bone marrow aspirate samples of CLI and infiltrated cases of NHL and HIC were performed on BM samples using a panel of markers: CD20, CD5, CD23, CD7, CD123, CD68, CD69, CD38, CD138, CD117, CD45, and lambda light chain.

Comparing IHC results with flow cytometry, the current study detected IHC sensitivity 90%, 100%, 50%, 40% and 25% for CD20, CD5, CD23, kappa and lambda respectively. The sensitivity of light chain by IHC was 33.3% in CLI and 50% in NHL as regards kappa chain, 20% in CLI and 33.3% in NHL as regards lambda chain.

Biesemier and his colleagues compared IHC fresh frozen tissues to flow cytometry. They obtained lower concordant results as regards CD20 and CD5 being 86% and 80% respectively. The sensitivity of light chain restriction by IHC was 30% which is near to that in the present study.

In a study by El-Sayed and colleagues in 2008 they found that IHC can be a reliable alternative choice for IPT especially in NHL cases. Both IHC and flow cytometry are effective in diagnosing CLI; however, IHC might be slightly more sensitive than flow cytometry in detecting BM infiltration in NHL and HCL.

As for bcl-6, the current study showed positivity in 63% of DLBCL cases. A lower percent in DLBCL was obtained by Chuang et al. They found 100% positivity in CLI/SLL cases (29 cases). Also Papakonstantinou et al. detected 92% positivity in CLI cases.

In accordance to our results, Kondo et al. detected bcl-2 protein by IHC in 75% in FL and 44% in DLBCL. Similarly, Hadzi-Pecova et al. found bcl-2 protein expression in 87% of the patients with FL and in 38% of cases with DLBCL.

Using a lower cut off > 5%, Llanos et al. found 95% of FL and 61% of DLBCL cases expressing bcl-2 protein revealing a higher percent than that in this study. Also in a recent study by Chang et al. they found over 75% of bcl-2 protein positivity in NHL cases with a cut off 20%. Within their patients, the bcl-2 percent positivity was 93%, 56% and 100% among FL (59 cases), DLBCL (77 cases) and MCL (4 cases) respectively.

As for bcl-6, the current study showed positivity in 13.6% (3/22) of NHL cases and it was negative in all other groups. Two out of three cases were DLBCL and one was MCL.

Despite using the same clone and the same cut off (20%) as in the current study, Chang et al. found 100% positivity in CLI/SLL cases (29 cases). Also Papakonstantinou et al. detected 92% positivity in CLI cases.

In accordance to our results, Kondo et al. detected bcl-2 protein by IHC in 75% in FL and 44% in DLBCL. Similarly, Hadzi-Pecova et al. found bcl-2 protein expression in 87% of the patients with FL and in 38% of cases with DLBCL.

Using a lower cut off > 5%, Llanos et al. found 95% of FL and 61% of DLBCL cases expressing bcl-2 protein revealing a higher percent than that in this study. Also in a recent study by Chang et al. they found over 75% of bcl-2 protein positivity in NHL cases with a cut off 20%. Within their patients, the bcl-2 percent positivity was 93%, 56% and 100% among FL (59 cases), DLBCL (77 cases) and MCL (4 cases) respectively.

As for bcl-6, the current study showed positivity in 13.6% (3/22) of NHL cases and it was negative in all other groups. Two out of three cases were DLBCL and one was MCL.

Despite the small sample size of DLBCL in the current study, the percent of positive cases was in agreement with Lossos et al. who showed expression in 63% of DLBCL cases. A slightly higher percent was obtained by Winter et al. (77%) and Colombo et al. (72%).

A lower percent in DLBCL was obtained by Chang et al. (51%) and Hans et al. (56%). According to Winter et al., such differences may represent the underlying patient population as well as technical factors related to staining, interpretation, and scoring of positive results.

Authors were contradicting in establishing a cut off value ranging from 10-30%. These differences may partly be explained by the differences in the staining techniques, for instance a study using the EnVision method and a low cut off of 10%, as much as 97% of cases were found positive for bcl-6.

The aberrant expression of bcl-6 in MCL found in the current study was also experienced by some authors. Only one of 20 MCL cases studied by Chuang et al. expressed bcl-6. Similarly, Gualco et al. found bcl-6 expression in 12% of the MCL cases.

We concluded that IHC can be a reliable alternative choice for IPT especially in NHL cases. Both IHC and flow cytometry are effective in diagnosing CLI; however, IHC might be slightly more sensitive than flow cytometry in detecting BM infiltration in NHL and HCL.
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