The influence of fear of falling on orthostatic postural control: a systematic review

Nélio Silva de Souza,1,2 Ana Carolina Gomes Martins,1,3 Dângelo J.A. Alexandre,4 Marco Orsini,5,2 Victor Hugo do Vale Bastos,2,6,7 Marco Antônio A. Leite,8,9 Silmar Teixeira,10-12 Bruna Velasques,12,13 Pedro Ribeiro,12,13 Juliana Bittencourt,12 André Palma da Cunha Matta,6 Pedro Moreira Filho6
1University Center Serra dos Órgãos, Teresópolis; 2Science of Rehabilitation, Centro Universitário Augusto Motta, Rio de Janeiro; 3Integrated Health of Women and Children, Fluminense Federal University, Niterói; 4Public Health, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro; 5Severino Sombra University Center, Medicine Department, Vassouras, Rio de Janeiro; 6Department of Neurology, Antônio Pedro University Hospital, Fluminense Federal University, Niterói; 7Biomedical Sciences stricto sensu, Federal University of Fluminense; 8Biomedical Sciences, Federal University Medical School, Niterói; 9Movement Disorders Unit, Antônio Pedro University Hospital, Fluminense Federal University, Niterói; 10Brain Mapping and Plasticity Laboratory, 11Brain Mapping and Functionality Laboratory, Federal University of Fluminense, Niterói; 12Brain Mapping and Sensory Motor Integration, Institute of Psychiatry of Federal University of Rio de Janeiro; 13Institute of Applied Neuroscience, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Abstract

Posture is a complex sensory-motor behavior that can be influenced by the fear of falling (FoF) during environmental changes. The aim of this paper is to investigate the influence of FoF on orthostatic postural control in healthy individuals by a systematic review. Thirteen articles were selected. Some other articles (31 references) were used to contextualize and discuss the topic. Studies in the environment made changes on the environment, in which participants were positioned in high hydraulic platforms at different heights, showed a decrease in the center of pressure in the anteroposterior oscillation where the platform was higher. This change in postural control strategy and the FoF are correlated. It can modulate the activity of the muscle spindle (H-reflex) and probably induce postural freezing phenomenon (anticipated postural anxiety). We can conclude that further studies are needed to understand the physiological mechanisms involved in FoF and its relation to changes in postural control strategy.

Introduction

Postural control is a complex sensory-motor behavior, mediated by visual, vestibular and proprioceptive systems.1,2 This is the ability to control the body’s position in space with dual purpose of stability and guidance in relation to body segments and the environment.1,5,6 Initially, it was believed that the postural control was a highly automated sensory-motor task.1,3 However, with the advances in neuroimaging techniques, it was possible to demonstrate the involvement of the cerebral cortex in postural adjustment in voluntary responses.3 Thus, postural control involves changes in the sensory and motor systems in response to postural changes according to the context,1,8 based on previous experience and learning.9 Given these changes, it is necessary that the postural control system manages anticipatory responses, in order to avoid the imbalance arising from disturbances promoted by a voluntary movement or changes in the environment.3,5

Some environmental changes seem to be able to induce immediate postural shifts. Studies have investigated the positioning of individuals at high hydraulic platforms at different heights (postural threat levels) and correlated the fear of falling (FoF) with changes in postural control.10-12 Recently, it has been proposed that this type of fear can increase the risk of falling in the elderly,12 or in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.20 The FoF consists of a psycho-emotional response to a previous event and it is associated with behavioral manifestations,15 anxiety and fitness level when the participant has to perform a task.21 Falls in the elderly have high prevalence (from 29% to 77%)22 and high costs (between $0.2 and $19 billion dollars) depending on the event fatality degree.23

The etiology of falls is varied and changes in the environment (such as the different heights) are important factors to be considered. Work on the theme proposed in this study are scarce (Supplementary Table S1 and Figure 1), but they are part of the daily context in the multidisciplinary health. Although the fall is a common event, little is known about how the apprehensiveness may influence postural control and provide the fall and its consequences.13 Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate, by literature review, the influence of FoF on orthostatic postural control in healthy individuals.

Materials and Methods

This study is characterized by a systematic review and aims to synthesize and critically evaluate the available evidence on the theme.

Searching the database

This review used articles indexed in the following databases: PubMed/Medline; Cochrane Central; BIREME and Scholar google, using the key words: fear of falling and postural control. The articles specifically related to the subject include the search period from 1991 to 2011 and are summarized on Supplementary Table S1. During the research did not find any article related to the theme was found in the 2012 to 2015 period (Figure 1). The other articles used for the contextualization and discussion of the topic, include a broader search period (1976-2015).

Participants, interventions and exclusion criteria

Studies evaluating individuals without neurological and/or orthopedic injuries that affected their balance using a force platform (stabilometer) for the analysis of orthostatic postural control were included. Exclusion criteria were studies using only scales to measure balance and/or individuals evaluated in clinical conditions such as stroke, Parkinson’s disease and multiple sclerosis since the purpose of this
study is to understand how the FoF only modulates postural control in healthy individuals.

Results

In total, 13 articles from 1991 to 2011 were selected on FoF and orthostatic postural control in healthy individuals (Figure 1). To date, there are no articles covering a more recent period (2010-2015). All these 13 selected studies are observational cross-sectional and the Supplementary Table S1 summarizes the sample, methodology, results and conclusion of each of them. Only one study,21 did not consider the postural balance, but was included to detail their important contribution to the evidence of modulation effects of anxiety on the spinal reflex in healthy individuals, because this study may help in understanding part of the mechanism involved in the FoF and postural control.

Discussion

Postural orthostatic control

By definition, center of mass (CoM) total is the relative position where the whole body matter is concentrated. The center of gravity (CoG) of a body is the vertical projection of its CoM.24 The center of pressure (CoP) is defined as the point of application of the ground reaction forces. This is the combination of neuromuscular responses applied to the feet base of support (area in contact with the ground), as well as the displacements of the CoG.24 The human body is in constant motion due to continued acceleration around its CoM.24 For the maintenance of postural control in the standing position, the central nervous system (CNS) manages the neuromuscular changes to the axial level (head, neck and trunk) and appendicular (ankles, knees, hips and upper limbs), promoting changes in the CoP so the CoM remains within the support base limits.24-26

Posturography

Posturography is the study of posture control technique that measures the body displacement, and can be declared as an indicator of the ability to maintain postural stability.27 This method has been considered reproducible, reliable and valid.28-30 The force platform (or stabilometry) is the posturography instrument used to analyze body movements (changes in the CoP and CoG) for the maintenance of postural control. This equipment usually has four pressure transducers (piezoelectric), monitoring the forces in the vertical direction (z-axis) and horizontal in the antero-posterior direction (AP) (y-axis) and mediolateral (ML) (x-axis).27 Postural control in the standing position was studied by theoretical models (biomechanical), which associated the body displacement with the behavior of an inverted pendulum.31,32 By comparing this model of inverted pendulum with posturography, it has been suggested that postural movements in humans can occur, mainly from the interaction between the CoG and the CoP,33 because changes in neuromuscular control during an adjustment postural changes are reflected in the amplitude of displacement of the CoP.34 Thus, especially CoP displacements will be discussed in the context proposed in this study.

The fear of falling and its influence on postural control orthostatic

The FoF can influence the orthostatic postural control strategy.16 Fear consists of a psycho-emotional response to a previous event, which can modulate the reflex activity of the muscle spindle,24 and influence the anticipatory postural control14 in threatening situations (different heights)10,13,16-18,35 or functional (one-leg and bipedal support).36-38 By comparing elderly subjects with young adults, postural response (amplitude reduction in CoP) to the phobia mentioned before in postural threatening situations (different heights) occurred regardless of age.15 However, a group of elderly individuals who had FoF (fear) compared with another group of elderly who had no FoF (no-fear), the first group showed less displacement.

Figure 1. Flowchart of the databases used in our research.
of the CoP AP and ML directions, compared with the second group. It seems that the visual condition (especially with open eyes) is important in these threatening situations and it can influence postural control, modulating anxiety levels and postural balance in the condition of high postural threat levels (elevated platform), compared with the average threat levels and/or low (closer to the ground platform). 

The influence of these postural threat levels on postural control was investigated (Supplementary Table S1). In one of these studies, participants were positioned on the force platform in 3 postural threat levels (different heights): i) low (40 cm); ii) average (100 cm) and iii) high (160 cm), with eyes open for 120 seconds (s). The study showed that there is a decrease in the amplitude and frequency of CoP displacement in the AP direction as it increases the level of postural threat (elevated platform). This decrease in postural sway is probably related to postural freezing phenomenon, which is observed in participants who view unpleasant images (mutilated or people in pain). It seems that these changes represent a kind of anticipatory anxiety posture, which in this case was induced threatening situation, in which the platform was elevated platform at different heights.

Most studies showed that there is a decrease in the amplitude of oscillation in the CoP AP sense in conditions of high threat levels (elevated platform), which could lead to imminent risk of falling due to immediate change in postural control strategy. It seems that these findings are more evident in eyes open condition (observing danger) compared with the conditions of closed or blindfolded. These changes were related to modulations in the electromyographic (EMG) activity of the leg muscles (anterior and posterior tibialis and gastrocnemius), since the postural anxiety in conditions of postural threat (different heights) can modulate the H-reflex of the gastrocnemius, reducing the oscillation towards AP, thus facilitating the removal of the threatening stimulus.

The influence of FoF at different heights on the anticipatory postural control during the previous movement (bilateral plantar flexion) was investigated. The study showed that the EMG activity of leg muscles during the proposed motion was modified according to the different heights of the force platform. These changes were correlated with the increase in anxiety levels (changes in skin conductance) and postural instability (changes in the peak of CoP displacement) during the previous movement (bilateral plantar flexion) in each experimental condition (different platform elevations). This effect was probably due to the this effect was probably due to the patient’s lack of confidence in moving the body earlier (in the sense of postural threat) for the proposed movement, influencing the neuromuscular activity of the gastrocnemius and modulating the postural control strategy on bipedal support with open eyes (being aware of the danger).

Conclusions

To date, there is little evidence about the FoF and its effect on orthostatic postural control and postural anticipatory anxiety in healthy subjects. It seems that there is a postural freezing phenomenon in postural threat conditions (elevated platform) and it is observed when viewing unpleasant or threatening images. The fall event is common in the elderly and may have fatal consequences. Therefore, it seems crucial to understand this phobia, which can lead to an anxiogenic event and facilitate the fall due to changes in anticipatory posture control strategy. Further studies are needed to understand the psycho-emotional mechanisms involved in both changes in body posture (relative position of body parts) and postural control (changes in the CoP and CoG), in order to direct its early diagnosis, prevention and neuro-orthopedic medical treatment conditions that can be presented with changes in postural control.

References


