Outcome after systemic thrombolysis is predicted by age and stroke severity: an open label experience with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator and tirofiban
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Abstract

Stroke patients can recover upon intravenous thrombolysis but remain impaired in lacking recanalization. We sought to investigate the clinical effect of systemic thrombolysis with an intravenous bolus of 20 mg recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) and an infusion of body-weight adjusted tirofiban for 48 hours in acute stroke. This prospective, open label study, included 192 patients (68±13 years, 50% males) treated between 1 January 2005 and 31 December 2007. The neurological deficit was assessed with the National Institutes of Health stroke scale (NIHSS). Follow-up was performed using a telephone interview of modified Rankin Scale (mRS) and Barthel index. The site of cerebral artery occlusion was determined by computed tomography or magnetic resonance angiography. Data were analyzed by descriptive statistics and multiple regression analyses. Eighty-one percent of the patients had an infarct in the middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory and were severely affected with a median NIHSS of 10. During treatment on the Stroke Unit the patients improved (P<0.0001) except for patients who deceased due to malignant infarction (n=10) or cerebral haemorrhage (n=6); 18 percent deceased within 100 days which was predicted by older age (76 + 10 years, P<0.05) and more severe affection on admission (P<0.0001). Also, these patients more frequently had atrial fibrillation (P<0.03) than the surviving patients. The surviving patients had more frequently distal MCA occlusions and improved further (P<0.0001). At follow-up 48% of the patients had a mRS of 0 and 1. Similarly to intravenous thrombolysis with body-weight adjusted rtPA, poor prognosis was predicted by higher age, more severe neurological deficit at stroke admission, and a proximal MCA occlusion. Half of the surviving patients improved to no or minimal impairment.

Introduction

Systemic thrombolysis with the recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) is the only approved treatment of acute ischemic stroke. It aims at rapid recanalization of the occluded cerebral artery affording neurological recovery. In recent years it was shown that early recanalization results in better neurological outcome and less lesion growth than lacking recanalization. However, the severity of the ischemic attack prior to thrombolytic treatment and a poor status of arterial collaterals have been described to predict a poor recovery. On average, thrombolysis has been found to enhance the proportion of patients with a favorable outcome after ischemic stroke and to downsize the proportion of patients with severe neurological deficits. In fact, a large recent meta-analysis of different thrombolysis studies revealed that the application of alteplase rendered some 42 percent of patients with an excellent outcome. [modified Rankin Scale (mRS) of 0 and 1] on day 90 as compared with 30 percent of patients receiving placebo. Prompted by the results of platelet receptor inhibition in the management of patients with acute myocardial ischemia we have introduced a regimen for systemic thrombolysis composed of an intravenous bolus of low dose rtPA in combination with a subsequent infusion of the nonpeptide GPIIb/IIIa platelet receptor antagonist tirofiban. The rational for a low dose of rtPA was to avoid intra- and extracranial hemorrhage, since symptomatic and fatal hemorrhages are a severe complication of a high dose of rtPA. Further, GPIIb/IIIa receptor antagonists selectively inhibit the platelet integrin Ibβ III fibrinogen receptor and thereby inhibit platelet aggregation. Since rtPA is known to induce a hypercoagulation following thrombolysis, tirofiban was expected to antagonize the hypercoagulation following rtPA administration and thereby help to maintain the cerebral blood vessels patent after thrombolysis. Likewise, it might help to disaggregate secondary thrombi resulting from the large thrombus in the initially occluded cerebral artery. We have shown previously that the combined use of low dose rtPA and tirofiban is safe and effective. Thus, we used this treatment as first line regime.

In this prospective mono-center, non-randomized study we analyzed the clinical data of the consecutive patients treated with a low bolus of rtPA and a subsequent infusion of tirofiban in our hospital in the years 2005 through 2007. We investigated four questions: i) what is the proportion of patients who benefit from this treatment? ii) what is the proportion of patients who do not benefit from this treatment? iii) what is the proportion of patients who expire after this stroke treatment? iv) what are predictors for poor or good recovery?

Materials and Methods

Subjects

All patients who were treated with systemic thrombolysis on the Stroke Unit of our institution between 1 January 2005 and 31 December 2007 were included. Criteria for inclusion into this study were:
- acute ischemic brain infarct,
- documentation of a causal cerebral artery occlusion before thrombolysis using computed tomographic angiography (CTA) or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA),
- systemic thrombolysis with rtPA and tirofiban,
- clinical evaluation on admission and at discharge from the Stroke Unit using the stroke scale of the National Institutes of Health (NIHSS), the mRS and Barthel index (BI).
- follow-up telephone questionnaire of the BI and mRS, which was performed after at least 130 days (median 487 days, range 131-1056 days).

Patients were subjected either to computed
tomography including CTA or multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging including a MRA depending on availability prior to thrombolysis.

Thrombolysis was performed in each patient with an intravenous bolus of 20 mg rtPA within 3 h after stroke onset followed immediately by an intravenous infusion of tirofiban.12,17 Tirofiban was given in a body-weight adjusted dosage starting with a bolus of 0.4 μg/kg body weight/min for 30 min followed by continuous infusion of 0.1 μg/kg body weight/min for 48 h. In addition, patients were treated according to their individual requirements. The treatment procedure and the study were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Germany. The patients gave informed consent.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 10.1 for Windows (release 2005). Group comparisons were done using the two-tailed t-test. Evaluation of the neurological deficit and the impairment was assessed with the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. Group comparison of the abnormalities in the cerebral arterial circulation was performed with the distribution free Wilcoxon’s rank test. Multiple regression analyses were performed to single out the variables that accounted for the effect of thrombolysis.

**Results**

Of 2241 patients treated on the Stroke Unit of this institution in 2005 through 2007, 252 patients (11%) were treated with systemic thrombolysis using intravenous rtPA and tirofiban. Fifteen patients were subjected to a catheter intervention due to a stroke in the vertebrobasilar territory. The 192 patients (70±13 years, 50 percent males) matching the inclusion criteria of this study were severely impaired at stroke onset having a median NIHSS of 10 (2-27). 81% of the patients had a middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory infarct. There were only few patients with a tandem occlusion of the internal carotid artery (ICA) and the MCA. On average the patients improved by 7 points on the NIHSS (P<0.0001) until discharge from the Stroke Unit (Table 1). The profound improvement was also apparent in the mRS, and BI (Table 1). The surviving patients improved further (P<0.0001) leaving 48% of study cohort with a mRS of 0 and 1 at follow-up, while 18% of the patients were still severely affected having a mRS of 4 or 5 (Figure 3).

Of 2241 patients treated on the Stroke Unit of this institution in 2005 through 2007, 252 patients (11%) were treated with systemic thrombolysis using intravenous rtPA and tirofiban. Fifteen patients were subjected to a catheter intervention due to a stroke in the vertebrobasilar territory. The 192 patients (70±13 years, 50 percent males) matching the inclusion criteria of this study were severely impaired at stroke onset having a median NIHSS of 10 (2-27). 81% of the patients had a middle cerebral artery (MCA) territory infarct. There were only few patients with a tandem occlusion of the internal carotid artery (ICA) and the MCA. On average the patients improved by 7 points on the NIHSS (P<0.0001) until discharge from the Stroke Unit (Table 1). The profound improvement was also apparent in the mRS, and BI (Table 1). The majority of the patients with a tandem occlusion of the ICA and MCA deceased. In contrast, the more peripheral the MCA occlusions occurred, the more patients survived (Figure 1). There was no difference among the subgroups concerning age, gender or vascular risk factors, apart from atrial fibrillation being more frequent in tandem occlusions of the ICA and MCA or at the bifurcation as compared to a MCA-branch (P<0.001). Twenty-three patients died with 6 patients due to an intracranial haemorrhage (3.1%) and 10 patients suffering malignant brain infarction. After discharge eleven further patients deceased such that 34 patients (18%) deceased within 100 days after stroke (Figure 2). Death was predicted by older age (76±10 years, P<0.05) and a more severe affection (mRS 5, P<0.0001). Also, the patients more frequently had atrial fibrillation (P<0.03) than the surviving patients. But none of the other vascular risk factors was predicting or different between the deceased or surviving patients (Table 1). The surviving patients improved further (P<0.0001) leaving 48% of study cohort with a mRS of 0 and 1 at follow-up, while 18% of the patients were still severely affected having a mRS of 4 or 5 (Figure 3).

**Table 1. Characteristics of the 192 patients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years ± SD)</td>
<td>70±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female (n)</td>
<td>95/97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS, admission (median, range)</td>
<td>10 (2-27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI, admission (median, range)</td>
<td>30 (0-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS, admission (median, range)</td>
<td>4 (0-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS, discharge (median, range)</td>
<td>3 (0-23)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI, at discharge (median, range)</td>
<td>80 (0-100)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS, at discharge (median, range)</td>
<td>3 (0-6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension (%)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (%)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease (%)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking (%)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant change from admission to discharge (P<0.0001, Wilcoxon rank test). NIHSS, Stroke Scale of the National Institute of Health; mRS, modified Rankin Scale; BI, Barthel index.

This study revealed that the combined use of an intravenous bolus of low dose rtPA and a subsequent body-weight adjusted infusion of tirofiban had an optimal outcome (mRS of 0 and 1) at follow-up in about 48 percent of our patients. In comparison to the large clinical trials of systemic application of rtPA this was clearly better than in the placebo group and similar to the thrombolysis group.10 Note, that the patients in this study had a median NIHSS at treatment onset of 10 being as severely affected as the patients in the ECASS 3 study.1 Importantly, the patients who benefitted from our treatment regime were younger and less severely affected at stroke onset than those
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who remained severely impaired or deceased. This was the case both at discharge from the Stroke Unit and for follow-up up to 100 days after stroke. These data accord well with recent reports about intravenous thrombolysis with 0.9 mg rtPA per kilogram body weight in the Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke Monitoring Study,22 the Virtual International Stroke Trial Archive,23 and the Diffusion and Perfusion Imaging Evaluation for Understanding Stroke Evolution.24 These and our data accord with the notion that age and initial NIHSS impact on clinical outcome independent of treatment.

Our rational for the combined use of low-dose rtPA and a subsequent infusion of tirofiban was our attempt to minimize the risk of cerebral hemorrhage on one hand and to counteract arterial reocclusion after stroke and thrombolysis.16 Thrombus formation involves the aggregation of activated platelets and the bridging between platelets by fibrinogen via the reconfigured GPIIb/IIIa platelet receptor.25,26 The GPIIb/IIIa receptor is a prototypic integrin which is exclusively expressed on the membranes of platelets and megakaryocytes. In experimental stroke models, GPIIb/IIIa antagonists given as only drug or in combination with rtPA have been shown to be safe and effective, since the treated animals had reduced infarct volumes.27,28 Clinical studies suggest that tirofiban can disintegrate acute thrombi in the large internal carotid artery and suppress microemboli as detected with transcranial Doppler sonography.29 Moreover, in small prospective series we were able to show that a low-dose bolus of 20 mg rtPA and body-weight adjusted infusion of tirofiban resulted in a profound clinical recovery along with recanalization and a decrease in infarct volume.12,17 Similarly, the monoclonal antibody abciximab that also targets the platelet GPIIb/IIIa receptors was shown to be effective when combined with rtPA in the interventional treatment of vertebrobasilar stroke.30 In contrast, abciximab was found to result in an exaggeration of fatal hemorrhages and not to improve outcome in stroke of the anterior circulation.31 Since the non-peptide tirofiban was found to be safe in stroke,18 it is possible that the monoclonal antibody abciximab blocked the GPIIb/IIIa receptors of all circulating platelets irreversibly precipitating hemorrhagic complications.

In our patients atrial fibrillation was more frequent in the more severely affected patients with more proximal MCA occlusions than in the less severely affected patients. These data highlight the impact of cardioembolic stroke for disability and dependence particularly in the elderly. In contrast, the vascular risk factors were not predictive for poor outcome or discriminating between good recovery and poor recovery or death. It is possible that larger cardioembolic thrombi would occlude larger more proximal arteries including the distal ICA and that the thrombi may be more resistant to thrombolysis in older than in younger patients. Both factors would prevent rapid recanalization, early reperfusion and neurological recovery.14 Since rtPA is beneficial also in the elderly,32,33 it should not be withheld from them in acute stroke. But oral anticoagulation because of absolute arrhythmia related to atrial fibrillation is of even greater importance.

In accordance with other recent studies we found that the rate of survival was better the more distal the occlusion of the MCA was.34-37 Similar results were obtained concerning the neurological disability and recovery.17,38 Most likely, this was due to smaller infarcts in more distal cerebral artery occlusions that have been found to exhibit a better recanalization rate.34-39 It is tempting to speculate that mechanical devices may be employed in patients with proximal MCA occlusions. The benefit of tirofiban...
in such a situation is that it can be combined with local thrombolysis enhancing its effect beyond the narrow time window of thrombolysis. Thus, it may be of interest in the context of interventional approaches for the treatment of acute stroke.

The rate of fatal hemorrhage in our study was in the range of thrombolysis with 0.9 mg rtPA per kilogram body weight. This accords with our recent observation that tirofiban does not increase the bleeding rate in acute stroke. It should be noted that in that former study tirofiban was administered as a single drug or in addition to aspirin, while in this study tirofiban was combined with rtPA even in patients receiving clopidogrel hydrogensulfate prior to their present stroke.

Conclusions

Here, we have shown that the combined use of an intravenous bolus of 20 mg rtPA and a subsequent body-weight adjusted infusion of tirofiban had an efficacy and safety similarly to systemic thrombolysis with body-weight adjusted rtPA. Our study, however, has limitations. First, we did not perform a direct comparison with the standard thrombolytic treatment with body-weight adjusted rtPA. As evident from this study and calculated from the known effect size, a double-blind, randomized, multi-center trial would require at least 5000 patients per treatment arm. Also, since rtPA has been approved as standard care for acute stroke, a placebo control group was ethically not acceptable. Second, we did not assess the rate of early recanalization in these patients. This will be the topic of a subsequent study. Third, since it is difficult to follow-up patients for face-to-face interviews, we chose to perform the follow-up study using telephone interviews. It has been found previously that both the BI and mRS can be used reliably in this way. Fourth, we did not monitor depression or dementia that both have been reported to affect post-stroke recovery and death.
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