Giant scrotal fibrolipoma
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Abstract

Fibrolipoma, an infrequent histological subtype of lipoma, is considered a benign mesenchymal neoplasm. Fibrolipoma of the scrotum is an even more rare entity. We report a case of a 55-year-old male complaining for a slow-growing, painless mass in his left hemiscrotum. Imaging with ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging was inconclusive regarding the nature of the tumor and the tumor was excised, sparing the testis. The surgical specimen was a well-defined, yellowish white, solid, and firm mass, measuring 19.5×7×5 cm. There was no atypia or mitosis and no lipoblasts recognized. On immunohistochemistry, MDM2 and CDK4 were not expressed. The histopathology report was fibrolipoma of the scrotum. To the best of our knowledge, this is only the fourth case of fibrolipoma originating from the scrotal components, spermatic cord or testis that has been reported in the English literature.

Introduction

Fibrolipoma is an extremely rare benign tumor. It is classified as a benign mesenchymal tumor of the paratesticular structures, with main representatives of this category being the lipoma, the leiomyoma, the lymphangioma and the hemangioma.1

Herein we report a rare case of scrotal fibrolipoma presenting as the scrotal components, spermatic cord or testis with a slow-growing, painless mass.

Case Report

A 55-year-old male was referred to our department for a palpable, slow-growing, painless mass in his left hemiscrotum. The patient admitted that the mass had progressively increased in size during the last 6 years without however causing pain or other symptoms. The patient’s medical history was significant for BPH and diabetes mellitus. At clinical examination, a painless, large, solid, mass was palpated in the left hemiscrotum extending to the perineal region, without being clearly distinguished on palpation from the surrounding scrotal components. The inguinal lymph nodes were not palpable. Laboratory tests were normal and serum markers for testicular cancer (β-HCG, AFP, LDH) were within normal limits.

Ultrasonography showed a large (5×6 cm), hyperechoic scrotal mass separated from the ipsilateral testes. A pelvic magnetic resonance imaging was scheduled in order to better define the nature of the MRI. MRI confirmed the presence of a mass in the left hemiscrotum extending up to the base of the corpus cavernosum. The mass showed a high T1 signal intensity characteristic of fat-containing tumors (both benign and malignant) and was clearly separated from the ipsilateral testicle. The signal intensity was heterogeneously high in T2 sequences as well (Figures 1 and 2). The finding was considered indicative of a benign tumor with the differential diagnosis also including a liposarcoma of the scrotum. A routine chest X-ray was normal and the patient having been informed about, albeit small but present, possibility of malignancy agreed to undergo surgical exploration of the scrotum and excision of the mass.

An inguinal incision extending into the left hemiscrotum was carried out and the scrotum was entered revealing a solid vascularized mass. The mass was remote from the testes and epididymis and was completely extirpated with relative ease from the adjacent structures sparing the testis and epididymis. The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful and he was discharged on the 2nd postoperative day. He is healthy and free from local relapse at 6 months.

Macroscopically, the specimen consisted of a well-defined, solid, yellowish white, mass, 19.5×7×5 cm in dimensions, surrounded by a thin fibrous capsule. Under microscopy, the presence of mature adipocytes among fibrous substrate was evident (Figure 3). No atypia, mitotic activity, lipoblasts, or necrosis was observed. No smooth muscles were recognized using the Azan stain. In immunohistochemistry the tumor cells were negative for desmin, a-smooth muscle antigen, p53 protein, CD34, MDM2 and CDK4.

The pathology report was fibrolipoma with extensive mucoid degeneration.

Discussion

Primary paratesticular tumors are rare, accounting for merely 7% to 10% of all intrascrotal masses.1 Among malignant tumors, the most common histotype is liposarcoma (46.4%), followed by leiomyosarcoma (LMS, 20%).2 Fibrolipoma is one of the most infrequently reported histological subtypes of lipoma and is characterized by the presence of prominent bundles of mature fibrous tissue traversing the fatty lobules.2

Intrascrotal tumors provide a diagnostic challenge for urologists. A thorough history, physical examination and the use of imaging techniques are keys to the diagnosis. In cases of paratesticular masses, as the one in our case, ultrasonography is very helpful in identifying the location of the mass in relation to the scrotal components but not so for distinguishing benign from malignant lesions.3 Although ultrasound remains the imaging modality of choice, MRI is helpful in resolving dilemmas found at US and narrowing the differential diagnostic range. At the end of the day however, surgical excision of the mass followed by microscopy and immunohistochemistry of the tumor will provide the definite diagnosis.

Regarding the clinical features of the tumor, in our case the benign nature of the mass was highly probable as benign scrotal lesions usually present as slowly enlarging, asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic, palpable but not fixed masses. On the contrary, malignant tumors of the scrotum are more likely to grow large in months or even weeks.

Lipoma is the most common benign tumor of the paratesticular area, usually arising from the spermatic cord. Liposarcoma should be considered in the differential diagnosis in the case of rapid growth of a tumor exceeding 10 cm.4 In the case presented, although imaging was informative regarding the origin and the non-invasive behavior of the tumor, it proved inaccurate in excluding the presence of
liposarcoma. By and large, a liposarcoma is depicted on ultrasound as a hyperechoic, solid, usually large extratesticular mass although its sonographic features can be variable and non-specific. MRI is certainly more accurate with fat being easily recognized, although one should keep in mind that it may be difficult to differentiate low grade liposarcoma from benign lipoma based solely on MRI findings. Although lipoma is readily identified on MRI, owing to its characteristic signal intensity, high and low on T1 and fat-suppressed T1-weighted images respectively, in our case MRI was not helpful in differentiating between fibrolipoma and liposarcoma. The final diagnosis therefore rests on the histopathological examination. Our case differs from classic lipoma since the tumor was composed of both adipose tissue (35%) and collagenous fibrous tissue (65%) as in the case described by Terada et al. The possibility of a well-differentiated liposarcoma was excluded due to the absence of cellular atypia, mitotic activity, necrosis and invasion. Moreover the absence of lipoblasts combined with the negative expression of MDM2 and CDK4 on immunohistochemistry were strongly suggestive of a benign tumor and not a liposarcoma. Regarding the natural history of fibrolipomas, although histologically benign, postoperative follow-up is suggested.

Conclusions

Scrotal fibrolipomas are extremely rare, benign paratesticular tumors. To our knowledge the case described here is the fourth scrotal fibrolipoma that has been reported in the literature. Surgical excision is the treatment of choice. There is no evidence that scrotal fibrolipomas differ in terms of management and prognosis from other variants of lipoma.
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