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Abstract

Plaster making was reported in the seventh book of Vitruvius’ On Architecture describing two mortar coats: *harenatum* with sand for the bottom (render coat) and *marmoratum* with crushed marble for the top (finish coat). Petrographic analyses, with optical microscopy and X-ray diffraction, were made on plasters (2nd century BC - 4th century AD) coming from different roman sites of Lombardy: Milan, Brescia, Camonica valley, lake Garda, river Po plain. The analyses identified the plaster aggregates on the basis of composition, grain size and morphology. The render coats generally show river sand and/or crushed brick with some mineralogical differences due to the different supply areas. The finish coats show different types of aggregate: i) carbonate rocks (limestone, dolomite); ii) quartz crystals; iii) calcite crystals; iv) sand (quartz and silicates crystals and/or limestone clasts); v) sand and crushed brick. These differences can be useful to discriminate the changes among the time periods and among the sites.

Introduction

The scientific knowledge of the features of Roman plasters is an important step to discriminate the ancient artifacts and the changes in the making technique during the centuries (Béarat, 1996; Béarat et al., 1997; Baraldi et al., 2006). The making of the plaster was reported in Vitruvius’ On Architecture (1st century BC) (Vitruvius, 1931) and in Pliny the Elder’s *Naturalis Historia* (1st century AD) (Pliny the Elder, 1962). The Latin Authors describe the preparation of lime and the selection of aggregate, preparation of mortar, putting of different coats on the walls, precautions in damp places and pigments useful for the wall paintings.

The archaeological excavations, carried out by the Soprintendenza Archeologica della Lombardia in the last twenty years on Roman sites (towns, villages, suburban villas) in the Lombard territory, discovered a large number of walls coated by painted plasters: these plasters date back from the 2nd century BC to the 4th century AD. In many cases the plaster was found as fragments from rubble, but in some cases the plaster still remains in situ on the original wall (Mariani et al., 2005).

Materials and Methods

The fragments were grouped before the sampling on the basis of macroscopic features (thickness, grains size, etc.) and on the basis of painting themes, so the sampled fragments are representative of the whole fragments unearthed in each site.

A series of scientific analyses was carried out on about 160 plaster samples. The methods employed are: optical microscopy on thin sections for the aggregate mineralogy and texture, X-ray diffraction for the binder composition and the decay by-products. The choice of these traditional methods, in this case, comes from the need to analyse, saving time and money, a high number of samples according to the great variability of plaster compositions.

Archaeological sites

Milan, Mediolanum (region XI)

- a-Necropolis in the area of Catholic University (1st-2nd century AD) (lusuardi, 1987)
- b-Domus found under a building, Cesare Correnti 24 street (2nd century AD) (Paganì, 2004)
- c-Domus found under the paving, Meda square (1st-4th century AD) (Ceresa Mori et al., 2007)

All the plasters come from rubble.

Brescia, Brixia (region X)

- a-Fourth cell of the republican Sanctuary under the Capitolium (1st century BC) (Rossi, 2002)
- b-Excavation under the Sanctuary (2nd-4th century BC) (Mariani, 1996c)
- c-Domus found under Silva palace, Trieste street (1st-2nd century AD) (Mariani, 1996b)
- d-Domus under Martinengo-Cesaresco palace, del Foro square (1st-2nd century AD) (Mariani, 1996a)
- e-Domus under Liceo Arnaldo, Magenta Avenue (1st century AD) (Paganì, 1996)
- f-Domus under St Giulia monastery, Musei street (1st-4th century AD) (Mariani and Paganì, 2005)

The plaster from the site a) are still in situ; the plasters of the sites b-f) come from rubble.

Cividale Camuno (Brescia), Civitas Carnunorum (region X)

- a-Domus under a medieval building, Palazzo street (1st century AD) (Mariani, personal communication)

b-Theatre (1st century AD) (Mariotti, 2004)
c-Amphitheatre (1st century AD) (Mariotti, 2004)
The plasters of the site a) come from rubble, the plaster from the sites b-c) are in situ

Breno (Brescia), Civitas Carnunorum

Cells of the Minerva Sanctuary (1st century AD), in situ (Mariotti, 2010; Bianchi, 2010)

Sirmione (Brescia), Sirmio (region X)

Villa called Grotte di Catullo (2nd century AD), from rubble (Roffa et al., 2005)

Calvatone - Costa di S. Andrea (Cremona), Bedriacum (region X)

- a-Several unidentified domus (1st-2nd century AD) (Sena Chiesa, 1998)
- b-Well in the Mirabella Roberti Area 7 (1st century AD) (Mariani, 1997)

All the plasters come from rubble.

Results

Different features were detected in plasters coming from the same site: this difference is caused by finding plaster fragments in rubble layers. These layers were often arranged as insulation material to avoid the rise of the dampness in the walls of new buildings and they contain mixed materials (plaster, stone, terracotta, etc.) of indeterminate provenance.

The plasters are almost always made of two superimposed coats, indicated as render coat (close to the masonry) and finish coat (supporting the painted layer). Plasters from Milano, Brescia and Cividate (indicated as Milano-a-c; Brescia-c-e-f; Cividate-c) show in some cases the presence of three coats: the
intermediate coat has the same features, regarding the binder and the aggregate, of the render coat. In other cases (Milano- a-b; Brescia-c-f; Calvatone-a-b) the rear surface of the render coat has strong marks of reeds with crosswise bindings: this kind of support is linked to the ceilings, but no differences were found in the ceiling plasters in regard to the wall plasters.

The analytical results pointed out the great variety of the aggregates on the basis of composition, grain size and morphology.

Binder
Magnesian lime (Magnesium carbonate - magnesite, detected by X-ray diffraction) (Bugini et al., 1991) is used in the majority of the examined samples. The binder texture can be homogeneous or unhomogeneous (with white lumps) also in the same group of plasters.

Plaster aggregate and layers
Features of the aggregate are different which is very significant for the plaster classification, even more so when the number of plaster samples examined will be extended to all roman sites in Lombardy. The first noticeable feature is the silicate composition of the render coats and the carbonate composition in the finish coats. With very few exceptions, this subdivision matches, the quotations of Vitruvius’ De Architectura (Vitruvius, 1931) where the composition of the aggregate was reported in chapters 3 and 4 of the seventh book. The Latin author describes two series of mortar coats each with its own aggregate: river sand and crushed brick (harenatum, for render coats) in different proportions and according to the environmental conditions (dry or damp places); crushed marble (marmoratum, for finish coats) with different grain size. The sampled plasters show one or two render coats and only one finish coat instead of the three plus three coats described by Vitruvius.

Aggregate composition
The following list contains the petrographic compositions detected in each site: the first line (italics) is referred to the render coat; the second line is referred to the finish coat. In many cases two kinds of finish coat aggregate correspond to only one kind of render coat aggregate.

Milano-a. RENDER: quartz-gneiss sand and crushed brick (size 0.5-4 mm).
Milano-a. FINISH: i) calcite crystals (size 0.1-2.5 mm), ii) quartz sand (size 0.1-2 mm).
Milano-b. RENDER: quartz-limestone sand with crushed brick (0.2-4 mm).
Milano-b. FINISH: i) quartz-limestone sand with crushed brick (0.2-1 mm); ii) calcite crystals and marble (0.1-2 mm).
Milano-c. RENDER: i) quartz-gneiss sand (0.2-0.4 mm), ii) quartz-gneiss sand with brick (0.4-3 mm).
Milano-c. FINISH: i) quartz-limestone-gneiss sand (0.6-4mm), ii) calcite crystals (0.1-0.6 mm), iii) quartz crystals (0.4 mm).
Milano-d. RENDER: quartz-limestone-gneiss sand (0.5-5.5 mm).
Milano-d. FINISH: i) quartz crystals (0.1-3 mm), ii) quartz-gneiss sand (1-3 mm).
Brescia-a. RENDER: quartz-limestone-dolomite sand (0.5-5.5 mm).
Brescia-a. FINISH: crushed dolomite (0.1-3 mm).
Brescia-b. RENDER: limestone-quartz-gneiss sand (0.2-0.4 mm).
Brescia-c. RENDER: calcite crystals (0.1-1.5 mm).
Brescia-d. RENDER: limestone-quartz-gneiss sand with crushed brick (0.3-4 mm).
Brescia-d. FINISH: crushed dolomite (0.1-4 mm).
Brescia-e. RENDER: i) quartz-gneiss sand (0.4-3 mm); ii) crushed dolomite (0.5-5.5 mm).
Brescia-f. RENDER: i) quartz-limestone sand with crushed brick (0.4-3 mm); ii) quartz-gneiss sand (0.2-3.5 mm).
Brescia-f. FINISH: crushed dolomite (0.2-2 mm).
Cividate-a. RENDER: quartz-limestone-gneiss sand (0.2-4 mm).
Cividate-a. FINISH: calcite crystals (quartz-gneiss sand) (0.1-2 mm).
Cividate-b. RENDER: quartz-limestone-gneiss sand with brick (0.5-4 mm).
Cividate-b. FINISH: i) calcite crystals (0.2-1 mm), ii) quartz-limestone sand (0.5-1).
Cividate-c. RENDER: quartz-limestone-gneiss sand (0.5-2 mm).
Cividate-c. FINISH: i) calcite-limestone (0.1-2.5), ii) quartz-limestone sand (0.5-3).
Breno. RENDER: limestone-quartz-gneiss sand (0.2-4 mm).
Breno. FINISH: calcite crystals (quartz-gneiss sand) (0.1-3.5 mm).
Sirmione. RENDER: i) quartz-limestone-dolomite sand (0.2-2 mm); ii) oolitic limestone (0.4-4 mm); iii) quartz-gneiss-limestone-dolomite sand (0.2-2 mm).
Sirmione. FINISH: i) calcite crystals (0.1-2 mm), ii) oolitic limestone (0.2-0.6 mm); iii) fossiliferous limestone (0.2-1 mm).
Calvatone-a. RENDER: i) quartz-limestone sand (0.5-5 mm); ii) quartz-gneiss sand with crushed brick (0.5-5 mm)

Figure 1. Milan-a, Catholic University, 1st-2nd century [thin-section, cross-polarised light (XPL)]. Render coat: aggregate made of metamorphic rocks clasts.

Figure 2. Calvatone-a, unidentified domus, 1st-2nd century [thin-section, cross-polarised light (XPL)]. Render coat: aggregate made mainly of rounded sedimentary rock clasts.
Calvatone-a. **FINISH:** i) calcite crystals (0.2-0.8 mm); ii) limestone sand (0.1-0.5 mm); iii) crushed brick (3-5 mm).

Calvatone-b. **RENDER:** limestone-quartz sand (0.2-3 mm).

Calvatone-b. **FINISH:** limestone-quartz sand (0.1-1 mm) with crushed brick (3-5 mm).

### Discussion

The composition of the aggregate must be subdivided between the render and the finish coat, according to the difference reported by the Latin authors.

The render coats generally show a coarse-grained aggregate with some mineralogical differences and often an addition of brick fragments. The plasters of the western part of Lombardy (Milan; Figure 1) show a sandy aggregate made of metamorphic rocks clasts with rounded corners, coming from the sandy deposits of the Ticino river whose basin lays on the metamorphic and the igneous rocks of the Alps (mainly gneisses and granites). The plasters of the eastern part (Brescia and Cremona province; Figure 2) show a sandy aggregate made of sedimentary rocks clasts, coming from the sandy deposits of local rivers whose basins are laying on the sedimentary formations of the Prealps (mainly limestone and dolomites).

The finish coats are subdivided in five different groups, according to the aggregate composition. Three compositions are referred to crushed material: i) carbonate rock (limestone, dolomite) clasts (Figure 3); ii) quartz crystals; iii) calcite crystals (Figure 4). Two compositions are referred to sieved material: iv) sand (mainly quartz or calcareous or mixed); v) sand and brick fragments.

The use of a single mineral aggregate can be pointed out: quartz crystals (see point ii) or calcite crystals often called marble powder (see Figure 3, Sirmione, Villa, 2nd century. Finish coat: aggregate made of fossiliferous limestone clasts [thin-section, cross-polarised light (XPL)].

Figure 4. Cividate-a, Palazzo street, 1st century [thin-section, cross-polarised light (XPL)]. Finish coat: aggregate made of crushed calcite crystals.

Figure 5. Milan-c, Meda square [thin-section, cross-polarised light (XPL)]. From bottom. Thick finish coat with metamorphic and calcareous clasts covered by a painted layer (early 1st century). Thin render coat with metamorphic clasts; thin finish coat with crushed calcite crystals covered by a painted layer (second half 1st century).

Figure 6. Milan-c, Meda square, first half 4th century [thin-section, cross-polarised light (XPL)]. A) Finish coat aggregate made of quartz crystals; B) render coat aggregate made of metamorphic clasts with crushed brick.
point iii). Quartz is present in two different forms, always angular: polycrystalline agglomerates (maximum size 4.0 mm) with wavy extinction, diffused in coarse grained aggregates; single crystals (1.0 mm), diffused in fine grained aggregates. These features are due to an artificial preparation of the aggregate, probably using as raw material the sand from the Ticino River.

Calcite too is present in two different forms, sometimes mixed together: sub-rounded polycrystalline agglomerates (maximum size 3.2 mm) where each crystal shows cleavage lines and polysynthetic twinning; single crystals (3.5 mm), bladed shape, sharp corners (rhombohedral cleavage), no twinning. These features are related to the crushing of two different raw materials: by-products of various marbles worked for sculpture or decorative purposes for polycrystalline agglomerates; calcite veins of the Mesozoic limestone formations (central Prealps) for single crystals.

**Conclusions**

The variability in the aggregate composition is useful as a discriminating factor for a chronological classification of the Roman plasters in Lombardy, considering a change in the composition as a change in the period of application; these changes can be different in each site. Some examples support the validity of the use of the aggregate composition as discriminating factor. A sample from Piazza Meda (Milano-c) shows a double-coated plaster covering another double-coated plaster; both supporting a painted layer attesting their subsequent period of application: the older one (early 1st century AD) shows a sand of metamorphic clasts with brick (render) and a sand of metamorphic and calcareous clasts (finish); the latter one (second half 1st century AD) shows a sand of metamorphic clasts (render) and crushed crystals of calcite (finish) (Figure 5). Another plaster in the same site (first half 4th century AD) shows a sand of metamorphic clasts with brick (render) and crystals of quartz (finish) (Figure 6). The use of different aggregate compositions in different sites seems to be linked rather to a specific choice of the mortar makers than to the raw material supply facilities: *i.e.* calcite crystals are widely used in the plasters of Calvatone, but the site is close to the River Po banks, made of quartz sands, and the first stone outcrop able to supply the calcite crystals is more than 50 km far.
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