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Abstract

This off-center fold case depicts the difficult differential diagnosis for benign lichenoid keratosis. It is challenging to diagnosis this benign lesion through clinical exam, dermoscopy, and even dermatopathology. Given its similar appearance to regressed melanoma, it is important to be cognizant of both and up to date on the dermatopathology clues.

Case Report

A 53-year-old Caucasian female presented with an asymptomatic, changing pigmented lesion on her right forearm, first noticed two years earlier. Three months ago, one half had grown rapidly to 3.8 cm in diameter. It had initially become raised and scaly, before transitioning to flat and violaceous. The other half was brown and unchanged with central pink papules. She had not experienced pruritis, bleeding or burning throughout this progression. Her past medical history was significant for a meningioma in 1998. An enlarged right cervical lymph node was noted on physical exam at time of presentation to our office. The diagnosis was benign lichenoid keratosis.

Microscopic findings and clinical course

An excisional biopsy showed focal parakeratosis, epidermal thinning, dyskeratosis without atypia, irregular acanthosis, epidermal necrotic keratinocytes, vacuolar alterations of the basal layer, solar elastosis of the dermis, increased numbers of dilated blood vessels, a dense lichenoid infiltrate of lymphocytes at the dermoepidermal junction, numerous melanophages, pigment incontinence and a solar lentigo adjacent to the lesion. The S-100, Melan-A and HMB-45 immunohistochemical stains were negative for melanocytes. The Ki-17 stain showed equivocal active cells in the basal layer. This was suggestive of benign lichenoid keratosis vs. regressed melanoma. Further pathological review was sought from three dermatopathologists, who all interpreted the slides as benign lichenoid keratosis (Figures 1, 2, 3).

Discussion

Benign lichenoid keratosis (BLK), also known as lichen planus-like keratosis, is a common skin entity that typically presents as a solitary asymptomatic lesion on the trunk or distal upper extremities. There is an increased prevalence among women and Caucasians. It may be rough or scaly in texture and often transitions from pink to violaceous to hyperpigmented as its regression progresses. Multiple regression phases may be simultaneously present within a lesion.

It is thought that BLK’s may arise from a regressing seborrheic keratosis or a regressing solar lentigines. This particular case had remnants of a lentigo. While T-lymphocytes and cell-mediated immunity are predominant throughout regression, as inflammation advances the ratio of CD3 to CD20 diminishes. This indicates a relative increase in the percentage of B-lymphocytes and in humoral immunity. Autoantibodies may be produced by the multiplying B-lymphocytes.

Clinically, a BLK resembles basal cell carcinoma, Bowen’s disease, lentigo, seborrheic keratosis, actinic keratosis and, most importantly, melanoma. In addition to their clinical similarity, melanoma in situ may be dermoscopically indistinguishable from BLK in all stages of regression, especially if on the face, due to the face’s unique epidermal structure.

Furthermore if the regression is advanced, the remaining lesion may be insufficient to make a definitive diagnosis using dermoscopy, regardless of the site, due to pathological and procedural disruption of the lesion and distortion of much needed diagnostic attributes. The blue-white structures that are strongly associated with melanoma may be present in many other regressing lesions. Histologically, BLK’s typically demonstrate epidermal acanthosis, parakeratosis and a band-like lichenoid lymphocyte infiltrate. Additionally there are clinical subtypes (classic, bullous, atypical, early and late) that further contribute to confusion and misdiagnosis.

Distinguishing BLK from melanoma remains difficult. Many have noted certain findings that raise the suspicion of melanoma, such as proliferations of junctional melanocyte nests and Starburst giant cells. Also FISH may reveal altered melanocytes with an absent DNA copy number of chromosome 9p21. If any of these findings are present, taking a deeper section to rule out regressed melanoma is advised.
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