**Pseudolymphoma tattoo-induced**
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**Abstract**

Tattooing has become more and more popular in today’s society. The most common dermatological tattoo complications are represented by hypersensitivity reaction to tattoo pigments like irritant and allergic contact dermatitis, development of lichenoid areas and granulomatous responses, such as sarcoid granulomas or foreign body granulomas. Less frequently patients developing discoid lupus erythematosus have been reported. Pseudolymphoma is an uncommon reactive lymphocytic proliferation mimicking the histological and clinical features of a malignant skin lymphoma. We herein report a pseudolymphoma limited to the red area of a multicolour tattoo of the leg.

**Case Report**

A 34-year-old man presented with a six months history of mildly itching plaque of the left leg that he developed two months after the injection of a tattoo (Figure 1). The patient was otherwise in good health and he had no personal or family history of allergic diseases or contact dermatitis. At dermatological examination, we observed a 12 square centimeters persistent swelling of the red area of a multicolour (red, black, green, yellow and blue) tattoo of the leg. There was no involvement of the regional lymphonodes. A 4 mm punch skin biopsy was performed and histopathological examination showed acanthosis, enlarged interpapillary ridges and compact ortho-hyperkeratosis overlying a dense dermal infiltrate of lymphocytes of small and moderate size without nuclear atypia, sometimes grouped in clusters and with exocitosis. Scattered macrophages with small intracytoplasmic granules of brown pigment, fibrous reaction and focal erythrocyte extravasations were seen as well (Figure 2). On immunohistochemical analysis the lymphoid infiltrate showed a CD3 + CD4 + phenotype, with scattered CD20 + B lymphoid cells (Figure 3). CD30 + large cells were not detected. The plasma cell population showed a polytypical pattern of immunoglobulin light-chains. The histological architectural pattern suggested a diagnosis of T-cell pseudolymphoma.

Patch tests with the Standard series recommended by the Italian Society of Occupational and Environmental Allergological Dermatology (SIDAPA) and with substances often present into tattoo dyes (ammonium chloride mercury, sulphate mercury (cinnabar), cadmium, copper, titanium, iron, chromium sulphate, chromium chloride, 2-[ethyl[4-[(4-nitrophenoxy)azo]phenyl]amino], 4-(4-Nitrophenylazo)aniline, Ethyl (2-mercaptobenzoato-S) mercury sodium salt, paraphenylenediamine) showed a strong erythematous vesicular reaction (3+) to ammonium chloride mercury, cinnabar and Thimerosal after 48 hours.

The patient refused the surgical removal of the tattoo. A topical therapy with clobetasol dipropionate twice daily was prescribed and at a three months follow-up visit the lesion appeared unchanged.

**Discussion**

Tattooing has become a common custom all over the world. Complications deriving from body tattoos are relatively uncommon if we think to the whole number of persons that recurs to this technique; they can consist in irritant and allergic contact dermatitis to tattoo dyes, development of lichenoid reactions and granulomatous responses such as sarcoid granulomas or foreign body granulomas.1,2 Also cases of discoid lupus erythematosus have been reported.3 Skin pseudolymphoma is a reactive proliferation of benign lymphocytes mimicking the histological and clinical features of a malignant lymphoma.2 The pathogenetic mechanisms are unclear. Pseudolymphomas can be secondary to medications, arthropod bites, borrelia infections, vaccines, UV light and tattoo dyes and they may be caused by persistent allergic contact dermatitis or to the subcutaneous injection of allergens.4 Tattoo-induced pseudolymphomas are rare with fifteen cases reported so far.1,2,5 In these cases dye pigments in the dermis act as an antigen stimulus determining a proliferation of lymphoid cells;6 they can appear from several months to 6 years after a tattoo placement.7 The most cases were described following red tattoos in patients with delayed contact sensitivity to Cinnabar (Mercuric Sulphate) but pseudolymphomas can occur also in blue (mainly cobalt salts) or green (mainly chrome salts) areas of tattoos.6 In the present case, a strong reaction to Cinnabar has been found together with positivities to other red pigments (e.g. red one disperse, ammonium chlo-
ride mercury) and thimerosal as preservative.

Tattoo pseudolymphomas have been treated with topical or intralesional corticosteroids, surgical excision or laser treatment. A complete regression of the skin lesions has been described also after the assumption of hydroxychloroquine sulphate and a spontaneous remission of the disease has also been reported. In our case, at three months follow up skin lesions remained substantially unchanged despite a topical corticosteroid therapy and the patient was lost at follow-up.

Although pseudolymphoma is considered a benign disease a prolonged follow up is mandatory because of the reported hazard of progression of cutaneous pseudolymphoma to lymphoma. Sangueza et al. described the progression of a tattoo-induced T-pseudolymphoma, with 10-20% B-cells and characteristics of benign histology, into a malignant monoclonal B-cell large lymphoma.
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