Self-reported adverse reactions associated with topical ophthalmic medication use: a cross-sectional survey
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate self-reported adverse reactions associated with the use of topical ophthalmic medications. A cross-sectional survey, involving 500 ophthalmic patients recruited from three eye care facilities in the Central Region of Ghana was conducted. A structured questionnaire was administered to participants to collect data on demographics, name of drug, dosage form, and dosing frequency of ophthalmic medications used, as well as adverse reactions experienced. The pHs of frequently prescribed ophthalmic medications to the patients were measured. The prevalence of reported adverse drug reaction [predominantly burning sensation (55%), blurry vision (22%) and itching (13%)] was 44.8%. More Females reported adverse drug reactions than males ($\chi^2=26.24$, $P<0.001$). The aged reported more adverse reaction than others ($P<0.01$). Patients using cream ophthalmic medications reported more adverse drug reactions than those using other dosage forms ($\chi^2=8.80$, $P=0.024$). The pHs of the commonly prescribed ophthalmic medications measured ranged between 4.44-7.37 (desired: 6.6-7.8). There is a high prevalence of reported symptoms of adverse drug reactions among this clinical population attributable to the acid/base status of the drug agent.

Introduction

In modern-day medicine, drug related adverse reactions and adverse drug reaction prevention strategies are evolving areas of concern, in therapeutics.1 One objective in the production of medication is to promote good health outcome with nominal adverse drug reactions. Adverse reaction can be detected at the pathological or physiological level. It may also be indicated by symptoms reported by medication users.2

Routes of drug administration have been studied to be among the determinants of adverse effects of medications.3 Results from clinical trials indicates there are minimal effects associated with some specific routes of administration (local administration), though this doesn’t rule out the fact, that there are still adverse effects associated with each route of administration.4 In oculaar pharmacology, topical ophthalmic medications compared to their systemic counterparts have less adverse effect though they cause local toxicity, hypersensitivity reactions and some systemic toxicity.2 The differences in age, gender and pigmentation melanin are also disparities that create differences in the outcome of administered pharmaceutical agents, especially ophthalmic agents, in different populations. Studies show that binding of drugs to melanin is the cause of multitude of pathophysiological or toxic effects in biological systems.5,6 Therefore, the need for community by community and possibly person by person reports of experienced of adverse drug reaction.

There are widespread reports of under reporting of adverse drug reaction using the existing conventional approaches. There is therefore a strong advocacy for intensive data collection on ADRs that were not reported to the relevant local, regional or national spontaneous reporting systems.3 This study therefore sought to investigate self-reported adverse reactions associated with topical ophthalmic medication use by patients recruited from three eye care facilities in the Central Region of Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Study site

The Central Region of Ghana one of ten administrative regions renowned for its many elite higher education institutions and an economy based on an abundance of industrial minerals and tourism. The Region has an estimated population of 2,107,107 according to the 2010 national population census. There are 193 health facilities with 16 eye clinics situated in some of these facilities.10,11 Participants in this study were patients reporting to the Eye Units/Clinics of the Central Regional Hospital, Bishop Ackon Memorial Christian Eye Center and Our lady of Grace Hospital, Breman Esikuma; major eye care facilities in the Region with the full complement of eye care staff providing one stop optical, medical and surgical eye care services to the people within the region.

Study population and sample size

Five Hundred (500) patients were evenly recruited from these eye clinics as the average annual records of attendance (about 8000) to these facilities were almost the same. The minimum sample size for the survey was determined as quoted by Glenn,12 using the formula developed by Cochran (1963:75):

$$N_0 = \frac{Z^2pqe^2}{e^2}$$

Where:

- $N_0$ is the sample size
- $Z$ is the abscissa of the normal curve that cuts off an area $\alpha$ at the tails (1 - $\alpha$ equals the desired confidence level)
- $q$ is the desired level of precision
- $p$ is the estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population
- $e$ is 1-p.

Therefore assuming that 50% of the patients who come to the eye center will be available for the survey, taking a confidence level of 95% and a sampling error of 5% minimum sample size computed was 384.12. However, the sample size was adjusted to 500. The participants were conveniently recruited and interviewed with a structured questionnaire. Selection included only review patients who had used an ophthalmic preparation within the month prior to the study mainly to avoid recall bias.
Definition of terms

World Health Organization’s definition of an adverse drug reaction (ADR), is a response to a drug that is noxious and unintended and exist in doses normally used in humans for prophylaxis, diagnosis or therapy for disease, or for modification of physiological function.13

Adverse drug reactions have been variously characterized by based on definitive features into types A-H and U. Type A (augmented) reactions are considered to be an exaggeration of the medicine’s normal effect when given at the usual dose and predictable. Type B (bizarre) reactions are effects that are not pharmacologically predictable and can include hypersensitivity reactions. Type C (chronic) reactions describe those that persist for a relatively long time, type D (delayed) reactions, which become apparent some time after the use of a medicine, type E (end of use) reactions are associated with the withdrawal of a medicine. The other classes are Type F (failure), type G (genetic/genomic), type H (hypersensitivity) and type U (unclassified).14,15 Type A which includes side effects is the most common.14,15

A self-report study is a type of survey, questionnaire, or poll in which respondents read the question and select a response by themselves without researcher interference. A self-report is any method which involves asking participants about their feelings, attitudes, beliefs etc. Questionnaires and interviews are normally used in self-report study.16

Study design

The study was a cross sectional survey using a pre tested questionnaire. The questionnaire had three sections: i) Section A featured items on age of the respondent, the gender, and the occupation of the respondent; ii) Section B sought the name of drug used, the ocular condition and symptoms of ADRs; the elderly were more likely to report symptoms of ADRs. There was however, no significant association (χ²=19.71, P=0.140) between occupation and symptoms of ADRs experienced.

The vast majority of the patients were prescribed ophthalmic solutions (449 (89.6.0%)), followed by ointments (24 (4.8%)), suspensions (24 (4.8%)), and creams (3 (0.6%)). The frequency of administration range from once daily to six times daily. There was no association between frequency of application and symptoms of reported ADRs (χ²=11.31, P=0.069). There was however a significant association between the dosage form and side effects reported (χ²=8.80, P=0.024) (Table 2).

The three most frequently prescribed ophthalmic drugs were Maxitrol (combination of dexamethasone, neomycin and polymycin B), 55 (11.0%), Timolol maleate, 43 (8.6%) and Gentamycin 27, (5.4%) (Table 3). The pH of the reported medications obtained from 4.44 to 7.37 (Table 4).

Discussion

Self-reported studies apart from being cheap in terms of cost and time have the unique advantage of measuring constructs that are difficult to obtain with behavioral or physiological measures. It can also be done easily on a large scale. Nevertheless it has validity challenges as participant may exaggerate or under report symptoms, recall bias and misinterpretation of questions.19 The bottle neck associated with this method was minimized by recruiting only review patients who had used an ophthalmic preparation within the month prior to the study. The questionnaire was also pretested among review patients in two different eye care facilities to ensure reliability before final field administration. Fifty review patients 25 each from the Department of Optometry clinic and the Bishop Ackon

Table 1. The prevalence of the 278 reported symptoms of adverse drug reactions and severities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side effects</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>Mild (%)</th>
<th>Moderate (%)</th>
<th>Severe (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itching</td>
<td>36 (13)</td>
<td>33 (91.7)</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photophobia</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>3 (75.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>9 (3)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurry vision</td>
<td>60 (22)</td>
<td>50 (83.3)</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
<td>6 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing double</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>2 (66.7)</td>
<td>1 (33.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tearing</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
<td>4 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>3 (60.0)</td>
<td>2 (38.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning sensation</td>
<td>153 (55)</td>
<td>137 (27.4)</td>
<td>10 (6.5)</td>
<td>8 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow heart rate</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Memorial Christian Eye Centre completed the questionnaire. Inconsistent responses to three of the test items were noted which was later modified for a second round of pretest. Cronbach’s alpha for reliability was estimated to be 0.7. The finding of this study should therefore be extrapolated with caution. There is no available data on adverse drug reactions (ADRs) associated with use of topical ophthalmic preparation is Ghana. This could be due to ineffectiveness of the conventional approaches of reporting of ADRs. This therefore, provides a baseline data on symptoms of ADRs of topical ophthalmic preparations. The gender inequality could be due to chance or due to the fact that there are generally more females than males, as reported by 2010 Census. This difference in the number of females outweighing males could also be due to the likelihood of women to report to the hospital with several complaints than men. In relation to age, it was evident that the most prevalent age group was the elderly and they reported the highest adverse effects. The high likelihood of an elderly person to report adverse drug reaction could be due to age-related changes in drug metabolism and excretion, coupled with the co-morbidity and polypharmacy often associated with ageing. The onset of these symptom ADRs was noted within the first 10 minutes after the drug application. The short duration within which the participant experienced these symptoms is indicative that they were due to the applied agent.

Most of the participants who were involved in this study mainly belonged to the agricultural sector. These included crop farmers, which was the highest reported occupation, fisherman, fishmongers etc. Other notable occupations include traders, students, teachers and a few others. The kind of occupation one was engaged in had no effect on the influence on the adverse effect reported.

The prevalence of adverse reaction was high as nearly half of the participant reported of adverse drug reaction in this study. Burning sensation was the most common symptoms of adverse reaction associated with the use of topical ophthalmic medications. The major attributable factor for this occurrence is intolerance of the human eye to these topical preparations. Topical ophthalmic medications should have minimum irritation or stinging to the eye, thus, should have pH ranges which are suitable to the eye, nevertheless, several studies elsewhere have reported stinging, burning, redness, and tearing on ocular instillation of most topical ophthalmic preparations such as sodium cromoglycate e.g. Epicrom, olopatadine e.g. Patanol etc. The pH of tears is 7.4. However, the eye can perfectly tolerate drugs within the ranges of 6.6 and 7.8 and any medication which falls outside this could cause discomfort in the eye. Most commonly prescribed topical ophthalmic medication reported by the participants had pHs outside the tolerable range (Table 4). Unfortunately, estimates from the World Health Organization indicated that only about 50% of patients with chronic diseases living in developed countries follow treatment recommendation, due to the complexity of modern medication regimen of which pH variations of drugs are inclusive. Most of the reported side effects were local with only a few systemic adverse effects. The adverse drug reactions were mainly type A. In this study, there was no significant association between symptoms of adverse drug reaction and the frequencies were mainly type A. In this study, there was no significant association between symptoms of adverse drug reaction and the frequencies were mainly type A.
cy of drug application. This supports pharmacologic analysis of drug adverse reaction in that, the occurrence of a certain side effect is irrespective of the times a person applies the drug but rather dose dependent. Frequency of application therefore doesn’t play a major role in the incidence of adverse drug reactions. Risk factors that influence side effects include dose, pregnancy, age, duration of application.33

There is a dearth of information on the association between ocular dosage forms and adverse reaction. However, this study established an association between the dosage form and the report of symptoms of adverse reactions. It is pretty obvious that the variation in contact time with regards to the various dosage forms of topical ophthalmic preparations could be the critical factor.34

The high prevalence of burning sensation reported in this study rather suggests that the important factor is the acid/base status of the drug agent.35

Conclusions

There is a high prevalence of reported symptoms of adverse drug reactions among this clinical population studied attributable to the acid/base status of the drug agent. It is therefore, recommended that pharmaceutical industry reconsider the ocular tolerability of their products to curb the soaring incidence of non compliance that could result from drug related factors.
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