Electron microscopic observation in case of platelet activation in a chronic haemodialysis subject
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Abstract

During haemodialysis (HD), platelets (PLTs) are activated and release granule contents. As HD treatment occurs three times a week, it has been demonstrated that PLTs are exhausted due to the repetitive character of the treatment. To identify PLT depletion morphologically, PLT evaluation was performed by light microscopy and electron microscopy (EM) in a chronic HD subject and a healthy reference subject. Blood samples were taken before the start of HD treatment for measurement of PLT count, PLT volume and size parameters. Blood smears were screened by light microscopy for qualitative evaluation of PLT granule containing cytoplasm, as indicated by its staining density. Morphological PLT parameters of surface area and size of dense bodies were assessed by EM. Data were compared with results of a group of 20 chronic HD subjects and a group of 20 healthy reference subjects. With respect to the percentage of PLTs with appropriate staining density (>75%), light microscopic evaluation showed that this value (9%) was within the range of a group of chronic HD subjects, but considerably below the reference range (70%). EM evaluation revealed an average PLT surface area and dense bodies area of respectively 42% and 31%, if the healthy reference subject was set on 100%. PLTs from a chronic HD subject are considerably smaller and substantially less granular than PLTs from a healthy reference subject. These findings support the hypothesis of PLT depletion in chronic HD subjects due to frequent PLT activation and/or increased urea concentrations.

Materials and Methods

HD subject and healthy reference subject

Particularly for EM, one representative HD subject of a group of 20 chronic HD subjects was selected. The patient (woman, age 57) underwent 44 months for three times a week HD treatment with a low flux polysulphone® F8 membrane ( Fresenius, Bad Homburg, Germany). As anticoagulant Fragmin® (intravenously 3500 U bolus injection) was used. The original kidney disease was due to hypertension. She did not use coumarines, acetylsalicylic acid, clopidrogel, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or related compounds. Blood samples were collected from the arterial line before starting HD (t=0).

The representative healthy subject of a group of 20 healthy reference subjects, was a healthy 45 years old female laboratory technician without a medical history and without any medication. PLT large cell ratio (p-LCR) were measured using a Sysmex XE-2100 haematology analyser with a dedicated IPF-Master software package (Sysmex Corporation, Kobe, Japan).

PLT morphology

Two peripheral blood smears were prepared for evaluation of PLT morphology and stained according to May-Grünwald-Giemsa methodolology on a Sysmex SP-100 analyser (Sysmex Corporation, Kobe, Japan). The slides were light microscopically screened for qualitative evaluation of morphological aspects of PLTs with application of a Cella Vision™ DM96 analyser (Cella Vision AB, Lund Sweden). Using the Cella Vision™ DM96 it is possible to reduce deviations between observations of biomedical scientists. Granule-containing cytoplasm, indicated as a granulomere, is stained light purple or pink. After discharge of granule content, activated PLTs are faintly stained grey. Qualitative aspects were evaluated by classification of the PLT content in four categories corresponding to a staining density of granule-containing cytoplasm amounting to <25%, 25-50%, 50-75% or >75%, respectively. Staining density of >75% of the granule-con-
taining cytoplasm in >50% of PLTs was considered to be appropriate (Figure 1).

**Electron microscopy**

PLTs were fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer pH 7.4. After post-fixation in 1% osmium tetroxide in sodium cacodylate buffer, PLTs were pelleted in 1% agar and subsequently dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in Agar 100 Resin (Agar Scientific, Stansted, UK). Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined with a transmission electron microscope (TEM), model Philips CM100 Bio Twin (Philips/FEI Corporation, Eindhoven, The Netherlands).

**Image analysis**

Electron micrographs were imported as Tag Image File Format (TIFF) and analyzed with dedicated Slidebook™ image analysis software (Slidebook version 4.2; Intelligent Imaging Innovations (3I), Denver, CO, USA). Platelet morphology parameters (e.g., cross-sectional surface area, x/y-shape and number and size of dense bodies per platelet) were derived from so-called digital image masks, binary overlays which were created in an operator-independent fashion based on fixed threshold settings. Subsequently, individual mask objects were analyzed and quantified. In each subject, 20 regions of interest were analyzed yielding > 250 PLTs per individual.

**Results**

**Haemocytometry**

As can be seen from Table 1, the haemocytometric data of the selected HD subject, reflecting PLT volume and size characteristics, are within the range of the group of 20 chronic HD subjects and almost comparable to the selected healthy reference subject / reference ranges.

**Light microscopic evaluation**

Light microscopic evaluation of PLTs with appropriate (>75%) and with decreased (<25%) staining density of the granule-containing cytoplasm is shown in Figure 1. In the subject with chronic HD treatment appropriate staining density of the granule containing cytoplasm is decreased to a minimum of 8% if compared with the reference ranges for healthy controls (mean 70%, range 44-86%), but within the outer limits of the group of 20 HD subjects (mean 19%, range 4-41%) (Table 1).

**Electron microscopic evaluation**

EM photographs of PLTs of the HD subject and healthy reference subject are shown in Figure 2. Evaluation revealed an average PLT surface area only of 242.481 pixels in the HD subject (Figure 2/A) and 576.533 pixels in the healthy reference subject (Figure 2/B). The proportional area of dense bodies per PLT, set at 100% in the healthy reference subject, was 31% in the HD patient (Table 1).

**Discussion**

In the present study, several PLT characteristics are assessed in a representative HD subject of a group of 20 chronic HD subjects, who did not use any drugs interfering with PLT activation. It is demonstrated, that the PLT surface area, as assessed by EM, was considerably smaller in case of the HD subject (42%) than in the healthy reference subject. In comparison with the healthy reference subject, the area of dense bodies per PLT amounted to 31%. The staining density of PLTs, reflecting the amount of granule-containing cytoplasm as assessed by application of light microscopy in the HD subject, is obviously below the result of the reference subject. These data suggest either a failure in the synthesis and storage of granule contents, and/or preceding PLT degranulation. Indeed, as previously demonstrated by our group and others, PLT granule products like PF4, β-TG and serotonin are released during HD. 5,7 As these phenomena occur three times a week, month after months, it has been suggested that PLTs from chronic HD subjects are depleted and, in combination with the uremic environment, persistently deficient. 8,9

Size and volume parameters MPV, PDW and p-LCR of the HD subject are within the range of a group of chronic HD subjects. From the current study it is not immediately apparent why the decline in PLT volume, as derived from digital image masks of EM evaluation, markedly exceed the small alterations in PLT volume as assessed by haemocytometry. It may result...
from decreased activity of megakaryopoiesis accompanied with PLT volume reduction as a result of frequent PLT activation. Also, increased urea concentrations may reveal an additional cause for occurrence of PLTs with reduced density of granules in the cytoplasm. In this respect it is interesting to note that the masking procedure in this study was relatively difficult to perform due to the clustering of PLTs in several electron micrographic TIFFs. Possibly, PLTs in an uraemic environment may be extremely sensitive for the fixation procedure applied for EM, resulting in abnormal dehydration and shrinkage.

In conclusion, EM evaluation showed that PLTs from an HD subject are considerably smaller and substantial less granular than PLTs from a healthy reference subject. These observations support the results of increased amounts of PLTs with decreased staining density of granule-containing cytoplasm by light microscopic evaluation and the hypothesis of PLT depletion in subjects with chronic intermittent HD.

### Table 1. Laboratory, light microscopic and EM data from a HD subject and healthy reference subject. For comparison data of the groups of 20 chronic HD subjects and 20 healthy reference subjects are used.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HD subject</th>
<th>HD subjects' group2 (mean, range)</th>
<th>Healthy reference subject</th>
<th>Healthy reference group2 (mean, range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N=20</td>
<td>N=20</td>
<td>N=20</td>
<td>N=20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT (10^9/L)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>198 (117-229)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>238 (150-337)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDW (fL)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>9.5 (7.7-11.4)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.7 (9.5-14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPV (fL)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.5 (9.1-11.8)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.1 (8.9-11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-LCR (%)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>29.0 (16.9-40.1)</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>25.2 (15.2-37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light microscopic evaluation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% PLTs with &gt; 75% staining density</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19 (4-41)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>70 (44-86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EM evaluation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average PLT surface area ( f pixels)</td>
<td>242.481</td>
<td>576.533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>area of dense bodies per PLT (%)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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