Bosentan and sildenafil in the treatment of HIV-associated pulmonary hypertension
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Abstract

We present the case of an HIV/HCV-coinfected patient with HIV-related pulmonary hypertension (HRPH) who experienced a good clinical and functional response to bosentan, with a subsequent switch to oral sildenafil due to increased transaminase levels. Bosentan resulted less handy in this case, probably due to both side effects and co-morbidities.

Introduction

In the current guidelines for treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), therapeutic options for HIV-related pulmonary hypertension (HRPH) are less well established as compared to other forms of PAH;1 and there are still controversial data on the role of the antiretroviral therapy (ART) in the management of patients with HRPH.2 The classes of drugs currently approved as specific therapy for PAH include the prostacyclin analogues, the endothelin receptor antagonists, and the oral phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) inhibitors. In patients with HRPH the same treatment algorithm as in patients with idiopathic PAH should be considered, taking into consideration co-morbidities and drug-drug interactions.1 There is increasing evidence of the efficacy of bosentan in the treatment of HRPH patients.3 On the other hand, case reports on HRPH patients treated with sildenafil are scanty.1,4 We are presenting a learning experience on the use of bosentan as a first line therapy in a HRPH patient, in comparison with sildenafil.

Case Report

A 46-year-old HIV positive female patient was admitted on May 2005 in our Infectious Diseases Unit because of asthenia, dyspnea and peripheral oedema. She was a former drug addict and was on treatment with methadone; she was aware to be HIV and HCV positive (genotype 1) since 1998; she also reported occasional alcohol abuse. On admission her CD4+ cell count was 689/mm³ and HIV viral load was 1972 copies/mL; the liver function tests showed aspartate aminotransferase (AST) 209 U/L, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) 66 U/L, international normalized ratio (INR) 1.22, total bilirubin 1.1 mg/dL, cholinesterase 3972 U/L; antinuclear, antimitochondrial, and anti-smooth muscle autoantibodies resulted negative. The patient was naive for ART.

Chest X-ray showed enlarged central pulmonary arteries and clear lung fields. The electrocardiogram revealed right axis deviation, incomplete right bundle branch block, signs of right ventricular overload, prolonged QTc. The transthoracic echocardiography showed systolic flattening of the interventricular septum, enlarged right atrium and ventricle, and a 3-tricuspid valve regurgitant jet; the estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) was 60 mmHg. Cardiac catheterization confirmed the presence of a severe PAH; the mean PAP (mPAP) was 50 mmHg, the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure was 10 mmHg, and there was no response to the administration of nitric oxide. The patient was in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional status class III. The 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) was 460 m. In June 2005 bosentan was started at a 62.5 mg b.i.d dose for 4 weeks and subsequently increased to 125 mg b.i.d. The patient was also given furosemide 25 mg qd and warfarin doses to maintain the INR between 2 and 3. In July 2005 the estimated systolic PAP (sPAP) was 50 mmHg. In the following months the estimated sPAP was rarely evaluable because of the remarkable reduction of the tricuspid valve regurgitation. Indeed, the patient improved her exercise capacity and reached NYHA functional status class I. In November 2005 her 6MWD was 504 m.

On December 21st, 2006 because of an increase in transaminase levels (AST 203, ALT 91) bosentan was stopped. On January 22nd, 2007 the patient underwent a new cardiac catheterization that showed an mPAP of 41 mmHg. Due to the persistent increase in transaminase levels, on February 5th, 2007 sildenafil 20 mg t.i.d was started as new specific therapy for PH; furosemide 25 mg qd and warfarin were continued. On the same date, the patient underwent a cardiac nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) that revealed a reduced (30%) ejection fraction of the right ventricle (Figure 1A). In March 2007 the patient felt better, her 6MWD was 471 m and her echocardiogram showed a good right ventricle function [tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) 29 mm]. Due to a progressive reduction in CD4+ lymphocytes count, on May 3rd, 2007 the patient started ART consisting of Combivir 1 tablet b.i.d and Reyataz 400 mg q.d. Ritonavir was avoided due to concern about possible interactions with sildenafil. At that time the CD4+ lymphocytes were 260/mm³ and the HIV viral load was <50 cp/mL. In August 2007 the estimated sPAP was 55 mmHg. In October 2007 the cardiologic functional tests were satisfying: the 6MWD was 569 m, the TAPSE was 25 mm and the estimated sPAP was 55 mmHg. In January 2008 the patient underwent a third cardiac catheterization that showed a further reduction of mPAP to 37 mmHg (Table 1). In February 2008 a second cardiac NMR showed an improved performance of the right ventricle (ejection fraction 46%, Figure 1B).

During the following months the patient continued sildenafil 20 mg t.i.d., reported to have mild exertional dyspnea and was in NYHA functional status class II. On December 9th, 2008 she was last evaluated by the Cardiologist who found her in good general condition: 6MWD 595 m, NYHA functional status class I, no dyspnea. The CD4+ lymphocytes were 335/mm³ and the HIV viral load was <50 cp/mL. Unfortunately, at the end of December 2008 the patient died in the intensive care unit of a peripheral hospital because of bilateral pneumonia.
Discussion

Our case report presents some points of interest. First, this HRPH patient was successfully treated with bosentan; indeed, during bosentan treatment, she experienced an improvement of exercise capacity: her 6MWD raised from 460 to 504 m and her NYHA functional class improved from III to I. However, an increase in transaminases levels to >5 times the upper limit of normal led to the discontinuation of bosentan. HCV co-infection and/or alcohol abuse could have contributed to the liver damage in this patient. Bosentan is an oral non selective endothelin receptor blocking agent (blocks both endothelin A and B receptors) of proven efficacy in the treatment of PAH. The efficacy of oral bosentan has also been demonstrated in patients with HRPH. In an echocardiographic study of PAH patients treated with bosentan, the right ventricular systolic function improved along with decreased pulmonary vasculature resistance and PAP. During bosentan therapy, monitoring of liver and haematologic functions is necessary. An increase in liver enzymes to >3 times and to >8 times the upper limit of normal was observed in 13% of patients treated with bosentan in the EARLY study12 and in 3% of patients treated with bosentan 125 mg b.i.d in the BREATHE-1 study,13 respectively. In the experience of Degano and coll., bosentan was safe when combined with ART, with no negative impact on HIV infection control. This drug is moreover contraindicated in pregnancy because of its teratogenic potential. Newer selective endothelin A receptor antagonists, i.e. sitaxsentan and ambrisentan, have been investigated for the treatment of PAH and demonstrated improvements in exercise tolerance and haemodynamics.15-18 Only one case of sitaxsentan therapy in a HRPH patient has been reported to date.17 The drug has subsequently been withdrawn from the market due to cases of severe liver toxicity.

Second, after bosentan interruption our patient was switched to oral sildenafil with good functional and haemodynamic results. In a double-blinded placebo-controlled study, PDE-5 inhibitor sildenafil was demonstrated to improve exercise capacity, functional class and haemodynamics in patients with PAH. Sildenafil enhances nitric oxide-dependent cGMP-mediated pulmonary vasodilatation through the inhibition of the breakdown of cGMP. Very few cases of HRPH patients treated with sildenafil are reported in the literature. In these cases sildenafil was found to improve dyspnoea and exercise tolerance. No cases of tadalafil (another PDE-5 inhibitor) treatment for HRPH have been reported to date. Caution should be used in HIV-infected patients taking protease inhibitor-based ART regimens since saquinavir, indinavir and particularly ritonavir significantly modify the pharmacokinetics of sildenafil, thereby resulting in increased plasma concentration of both drug and metabolite. In our patient we chose an unboosted protease inhibitor in order to avoid interactions between sildenafil and ritonavir; furthermore, efavirenz was not a suitable choice for this patient due to her alcohol abuse.

Third, our patient did not start ART at diagnosis of HRPH due to her good viroimmunologic levels, and due to concerns about a possible poor adherence to therapy. The delay in ART initiation does not seem to have worsened the prognosis of this patient: indeed, she experienced a good viroimmunologic response to ART. Although ART seems to be recommendable in all HRPH patients irrespective of their CD4 cells count, based on the observation by Opravil and coll. that five out of eight patients who had significantly stable and decreasing estimated spAP were receiving antiretroviral therapy, the role of ART in influencing the outcome of HRPH is controversial. A more favourable outcome seems to be observed in patients treated with ART and PAH specific therapy (PAH-ST) rather than in patients taking ART but without PAH-ST, suggesting that prognosis in HRPH patients is mostly influenced by PAH-ST.

In conclusion, our patient experienced clinical and haemodynamic improvement of her HRPH with both bosentan and sildenafil therapy. Bosentan resulted less handy in this case, probably due to both side effects and co-morbidities. Sildenafil could be a more suitable first-line therapy for HRPH patients with HCV co-infection and/or alcohol abuse.

Table 1. Cardiac catheterization findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>mPAP (*)</th>
<th>PCWP (*)</th>
<th>TPR (*)</th>
<th>CI (#)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 31, 2005</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 22, 2007</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 29, 2008</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mPAP: pulmonary artery pressure; PCWP: pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; TPR: total pulmonary resistance; CI: cardiac index. *mmHg; #/min/m² of body surface area (Fick); °Wood units.

Figure 1. Cardiac nuclear magnetic resonance performed A) before and B) after a 12-month sildenafil therapy. Note the flattening of the interventricular septum with a D-shaped left ventricle in A) and the normal figure of the interventricular septum in B).
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