Use of immunodot blot and multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction in dengue virus detection in macerates of Aedes aegypti larvae
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Abstract

The Dengue virus is the main arbovirus that affects man in terms of morbidity and mortality. The detection of the virus is very important for epidemiological surveillance, so here we propose to standardize and compare the immunodot blot (IDB) and multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (M-RT-PCR) techniques to detect and characterize the dengue virus (DENV) serotypes in samples of Aedes aegypti larvae. Thus, the IDB and M-RT-PCR techniques were standardized using macerated samples of larvae collected in nature. The use of monoclonal antibodies in IDB has not shown great results, but DENV detection through this method was possible using polyclonal antibodies. The distinction of serotypes 1, 2 and 3 was carried out by M-RT-PCR.

Introduction

Dengue is an arbovirus that has become a growing public health problem, especially in tropical and subtropical regions, where the disease is endemic.1 The Dengue virus (DENV) belongs to the Flaviviridae family, Flavivirus genus, it is an enveloped virus and has a single strand RNA of approximately 11 kb in size, which encodes 3 structural and 7 non-structural proteins.2

According to the World Health Organization, 2.5 billion people are estimated to live in at risk areas and there may be 50 million dengue infections worldwide every year.3 Until the ninth week of 2010, 227,109 cases of dengue were registered in Brazil, 222 cases of the hemorrhagic form and 65 deaths, with a circulation of serotypes 1, 2 and 3. The failure in the vector eradication, the re-infestation of the vector and the circulation of more than one serotype contribute to the dengue occurrence, favoring a substantial increase of hemorrhagic dengue cases.4

The DENV infection induces long term immunity for homologous serotypes, but confers only partial immunity against subsequent infection from the other three serotypes.2 This is highly related to the development of more severe forms of the disease and demonstrates the need to characterize the serotypes that are present in the locations where dengue is endemic.1,6

Efforts to reduce and control the vectors - Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus females - have been done primarily in campaigns to control the adult mosquito. For vector control, a system of active epidemiological surveillance is recommended.3 The DENV detection and distinction of serotypes in larvae and mosquitoes helps epidemiological surveillance because such information allows the detection of the serotype circulating within an area, which is crucial information for the surveillance of dengue epidemics, facilitating the implementation of preventative measures and the development of vector control strategies.7,8

The Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent-Assay (ELISA) technique has been a rapid and sensitive alternative to monitor arboviruses in wild populations of insects, in addition to providing a potential tool for rapid analysis of a large number of samples. The multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) technique is very sensitive to the viral RNA detection; however, although it is an extremely sensitive and specific technique it is not commonly used in routine laboratories because it is costly.8

The use of a technique that allows the DENV detection in local laboratories would facilitate the implementation of a more effective epidemiological surveillance. Thus, the immunodot blot (IDB) technique (or dot-ELISA) would be satisfactory, because it is also an inexpensive and effective technique.

The aim of this study is to standardize and use the IDB and multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (M-RT-PCR) techniques for DENV 1, 2 and 3 detection in macerates of A. aegypti larvae using monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies, evaluating the applicability of these methods in routine diagnostic laboratories to provide subsidies to health professionals allowing them to act quickly and effectively in areas where the disease is endemic.

Materials and Methods

Virus Strain

DENV-1, Nauru Island strain and DENV-2, New Guinea C strain and DENV-3, H87 were kindly provided by Dr. Benedito A.L. de Fonseca (University of São Paulo, SP, Brazil) and were used as the positive control in this study. The viruses were replicated in C6/36 cells grown at 28 °C in a Leibovitz L-15 medium containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 10% tryptone phosphate broth, 150 U/ml streptomycin, and detected by IFA.9

Larvae samples and antibodies

The samples of A. aegypti larvae were provided by the Brazilian National Foundation of Health (FUNASA). The samples were collected during the period of 2006 to 2007 in the following cities: Viçosa, Ponte Nova, Teixeiras, Uruçânia, Jequeri, Caratinga,
Entre Folhas and Ubaporanga, all located in the State of Minas Gerais. These samples were chosen randomly and had no information available about possible DENV infection. The cities analyzed belong to endemic regions and the larvae were collected in the summer, period of high incidence of dengue 2 in this region.

The monoclonal antibodies against the E protein of the dengue-1, -2 and -3 virus used in this study were isolated from the ascitic fluid of mice and were donated by the Aggeu Magalhães Institute - Recife - PE - Brazil. Human serum derived from convalescent patients who had dengue was used as a source of polyclonal antibodies. These dengue positive human sera were confirmed by MAC-ELISA. Peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-human IgG (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) were used as conjugated antibodies in IDB.

Antigen preparation

A total of 120 samples of A. aegypti larvae were individually macerated with 50 μL of PBST buffer pH 7.4, 0.1 M at 0°C in a microcentrifuge tube using a bat glass. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 10 minutes and the supernatant was collected and divided into two aliquots: one to be examined by IDB and the other by M-RT-PCR.

Immunodot blot

Disks of nitrocellulose paper with a 0.45 μm pore size (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) were used as the solid support and were placed into 96-well polystyrene microplates. The membranes were spotted with approximately 0.7 μL per well of blocking solution (powdered milk 2% in a PBST buffer) was added and the mixture was incubated for 20 minutes. A washing step was performed by adding 180 μL of PBST buffer per well (wash solution) before the addition of 80 μL per well of a primary antibody (monoclonal antibody anti-dengue 1 and 2 (1:3,000) and dengue positive polyclonal antibodies (1:10), followed by incubation for 60 minutes under constant agitation at 180 rpm. Later, a new washing step was performed and 80 μL per well of peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000) was added. The mixture was incubated for 60 minutes under constant agitation and later was newly washed.

Finally 80 μL per well of substrate solution was added, consisting of: 0.1% (w/v) of 3,3-diaminobenzidine (Merck, Rahway, NJ, USA), 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 1% nickel chloride and hydrogen peroxide 0.1% (v/v). It was incubated under constant agitation for 15 minutes and the reaction was stopped by the addition of 100 μL of deionized water. The positive results were evaluated visually by the presence of a brown dot. As positive controls was used concentrated DENV-1, -2 and -3 derived from cell cultures and PBS-Tween buffer was used as negative control to evaluate backgrounds effects.

### Results

Evaluation of the monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies in immunodot blot

The use of monoclonal antibodies as a primary antibody in IDB allowed the distinction of serotypes 1 and 2 only when the concentrated viral samples were used as antigens (Figure 1). The use of polyclonal antibodies, derived from the serum of human patients that confirmedly had dengue, proved to be effective in detecting DENV in macerated samples of larvae (Figure 2). Out of the 120 samples analyzed by IDB, 29 showed positive results.

**Figure 1. Evaluation of the use of monoclonal antibodies in immunodot blot technique.** A) dengue virus (DENV)-1 + Monoclonal Ab antidengue 1; B) DENV-1 + Monoclonal Ab antidengue 2; C) DENV-2 + Monoclonal Ab antidengue 1; D) DENV-2 + Monoclonal Ab antidengue 2. The numbers refer to the monoclonal Ab dilutions: 1 (500x), 2 (1,000x), 3 (2,000x), 4 (3,000x).

**Figure 2. Samples of A. aegypti larvae submitted to Multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and immunodot blot.** 1 to 6: samples of larvae, M: 100-bp ladder, 7: positive dengue virus (DENV)-2 control, 8: positive DENV-3 control, 9: positive DENV-1 control; 10: dengue negative larvae control.
**Table 1. Number of samples analyzed in each city showing the number of positive samples to immunodot blot and/or multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>Positive samples (IDB alone)</th>
<th>Positive samples (both IDB and M-RT-PCR)</th>
<th>Positive samples (M-RT-PCR alone)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viçosa</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (54.8%)</td>
<td>2 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponte Nova</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (8.7%)</td>
<td>1 (4.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teixeiras</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (15.4%)</td>
<td>1 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruçânia</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jequeri</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (26.7%)</td>
<td>1 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubaporanga</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entre Folhas</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (21.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29 (24.2%)</td>
<td>8 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IDB, immunodot blot; M-RT-PCR, multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.

**Multiplex reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction**

Out of the 120 analyzed samples, 37 were dengue positive by M-RT-PCR. Of the 91 samples that showed negative results by IDB, 8 were confirmed by M-RT-PCR, and the other samples analyzed by this technique showed negative results (Table 1). All positive results obtained by IDB were confirmed by M-RT-PCR and were positive for the serotype DENV-2. Some of these results are illustrated in Figure 2.

**Discussion**

The detection of DENV is fairly well described in clinical samples and there are several technical standards for this purpose, such as immunoassays, virus isolation, virus neutralization, hemagglutination inhibition and molecular techniques. There are also protocols described using IDB for the detection of antidengue antibodies in clinical samples compared with other techniques, such as hemagglutination inhibition for clinical diagnosis. In such studies IDB has demonstrated to have equal or superior sensitivity. The sensitivity of the technique has been compared in other situations to the conventional ELISA technique, showing to be more sensitive. Moreover, it is a less expensive that can be applied in laboratories with few resources and in the field. Given the reality of the laboratory surveillance of different areas where dengue is endemic, this technique proves to be a viable alternative in such regions.

The distinction of serotypes both in clinical samples of larvae and mosquitoes is most commonly described using RT-PCR and its variables, for example, Real-time PCR and Nested PC. Despite the use of larvae having the disadvantage of variable frequencies of vertical transmission and not representing current activity, the use of larvae can represent a good source of information because DENV can be isolated from them and the early detection of DENV in larvae that could become infective adults in the future would be desirable in a prevention program. The standardization of such techniques to be used in larvae is also advantageous because larvae are easier to collect by health agents, which already collect larvae to identify the presence of the vector in Brazilian cities. Storing such samples for a long time at room temperature, which increases the number of samples available for a surveillance program (that are often captured using traps), does not interfere with the quality of the results. Since the number of larvae collected can be quite large, the possibility of pooling samples to make large-scale testing could be a feasible alternative.

The importance of continual epidemiologic studies and use of classic and molecular approaches in the surveillance of dengue becomes more important with the discovery of serotype DENV-4 circulating in Brazil co-circulating with other serotypes, which represents an increased risk of developing the hemorrhagic form in people that have already been sensitized by previous dengue infections but are not protected against infection with DENV-4. These results allow us to propose the use of IDB and M-RT-PCR as a tool for epidemiologic studies, identifying high risk areas for the occurrence of dengue cases. In such areas, the use of larvae can represent a good source of information because DENV can be isolated from them and the early detection of DENV in larvae could become infective adults in the future, which would be desirable in a prevention program.
logical surveillance of dengue. Results generated from this type of analysis would be useful for public health politics, which could intervene more effectively in combating dengue, from monitoring vectors, developing policies for the prevention of the disease and even assisting in the definition of the hemorrhagic form diagnosis.

Conclusions

The techniques proposed in this study, when used together, can detect and distinguish the presence of the dengue virus in A. aegypti larvae samples. Although IDB have shown less sensitive than the other technique in study, it is proposed to conduct an initial survey by IDB and, from the positive samples, identify the serotype circulating in each region by M-RT-PCR. This first analysis by IDB can be conducted in local laboratories, facilitating the establishment of a more effective surveillance, since the technique M-RT-PCR is costly.
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