Distal femoral complications following antegrade intramedullary nail placement
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Abstract

While antegrade nailing for proximal and diaphyseal femur fractures is a commonly utilized fixation method with benefits including early mobilization and high rates of fracture union, both intraoperative and postoperative complications may occur. Intraoperative errors include leg length discrepancy, anterior cortical perforation, malreduction of the fracture, and neurovascular injury, and postoperative complications include nonunion, malunion, infection, and hardware failure. This case series reviews complications affecting the distal femur after intramedullary nailing including fracture surrounding a distal femoral interlocking screw (Case #1), nonunion after dynamization with nail penetration into the knee joint (Case #2), and anterior cortical perforation (Case #3). Prevention of intraoperative and postoperative complications surrounding intramedullary nailing requires careful study of the femoral anatomy and nail design specifications (radius of curvature), consideration of the necessity of distal interlocking screws, the need for close radiographic follow-up after nail placement with X-rays of the entire length of the nail, and awareness of possible nail penetration into the knee joint after dynamization.

Introduction

Intramedullary nailing is a commonly utilized method of treatment for proximal femur and femoral shaft fractures. First introduced in the 1940s, this method of fixation provides excellent stability to well-reduced femoral intertrochanteric, subtrochanteric, and diaphyseal fractures. Intramedullary nailing is associated with early patient mobilization, high rates of fracture union and low rates of intraoperative complications relative to other modalities of fixation.1 Antegrade intramedullary nailing confers the advantage of superior healing rates and fewer knee related complications when compared to retrograde nailing for the treatment of femoral shaft fractures.1 The relative ease of patient positioning (patient supine with a bump, with or without ipsilateral traction) and identifiable operative starting points (piriformis fossa or greater trochanter) make the antegrade approach to femoral nailing the preferred method of fixation among most surgeons.1 However, there is no difference between postoperative femoral length or coronal axis deviation when comparing antegrade to retrograde femoral nails.2,4 The majority of proximal femur and femoral shaft fractures can be treated with antegrade femoral nailing but relative contraindications include morbid obesity, a distal femoral shaft fracture, and ipsilateral pelvic or hip fractures.1

The documented complications specific to antegrade femoral nailing can be broadly categorized into intraoperative and postoperative events. Intraoperative errors include leg length discrepancy, rotational malalignment, anterior cortical perforation, malreduction of the fracture, and neurovascular injury.2,7 The incidence of femoral malalignment post intramedullary nailing is highly associated with the location and pre-operative stability of the fracture. In one series, 30% of proximal femur fractures, 2% of middle third femur fractures, and 10% of distal third femur fractures were associated with malalignment.3 Proximal femoral shaft fractures were most commonly malaligned in a varus deformity.3 Additionally, significant leg length discrepancies (over 1.25 cm) have been reported in 7% of patients treated with intramedullary nails for a femoral shaft fracture, particularly in comminuted fractures with unclear landmarks.5

Additionally, distal femoral cortical breach is a rare but an important complication of antegrade femoral nailing.7,8 Anatomic factors contributing to the occurrence of distal anterior cortical breach include fracture pattern and femoral radius of curvature in the sagittal plane. The anatomic average radius of curvature in the femur is 120 cm, while that of modern intramedullary nails range from 150 to 300 cm.9 Straighter implants are associated with higher rates of penetration of the anterior cortex.4 Additionally, the medullary canal of the femur lies slightly anterior within the distal femur and the anterior femoral cortex undergoes significant thinning with age.7 Surgeon-related factors contributing to the occurrence of cortical breach include improper starting point or technical errors. An anterior starting point along the piriformis fossa facilitates proper anteverision of the cephalomedullary screw within the femoral neck, however it increases the risk of breaching the anterior femoral cortex with the nail.7 A recently published technique for both piriformis and trochanteric entry nails includes using sequential, percutaneous, anteriorly placed Steinmann pins that direct the guidewire posteriorly in the distal femur. This technique is designed to protect the anterior femoral cortex and may prove useful in preventing anterior cortical perforation.2 Postoperative complications include nonunion, malunion, infection, and hardware failure. Reported rates of non-union for antegrade femoral nails range from 0.3% to 7.6%.10-12 Malunion, most frequently rotational, is seen in up to 28% of cases.8 Rates of infection for closed femur fractures treated with antegrade intramedullary nailing range from 0.7% to 2.1%.9 The rate of post-operative implant failure has been reported to be 6.7%, and is usually associated with the cephalomedullary or distal locking screw.10

The aim of this case series is to review the complications specifically affecting the distal femur associated with antegrade femoral intramedullary nailing. We present three cases from our tertiary care center that highlight significant complications associated with intramedullary nailing and tips and techniques to avoid them.

Case Report #1

A 95 year-old female with a history of femoral intramedullary nailing for an intertrochanteric femur fracture in addition to an ipsilateral total...
knee arthroplasty was admitted after sustaining a fall. Radiological examination revealed an oblique periprosthetic distal femur fracture, spiraling around her intramedullary nail, ending just proximal to her total knee arthroplasty (Figure 1A,B). In the operating room, the patient’s distal interlocking screw was removed and reduction was achieved with a clamp and two cerclage wires. A 16-hole distal femoral locking plate was placed after fracture reduction, including screw placement through both the plate as well as the nail (Figure 1C,D). She was allowed to touch-down weight-bear immediately following surgery on the affected extremity. Follow-up X-rays 8 weeks postoperatively demonstrated stable hardware with a well-maintained fracture reduction (Figure 1E,F).

**Case Report #2**

A 28 year-old male who had previously sustained a gunshot wound leading to a left subtrochanteric femur fracture treated with trochanteric entry recon nail resulted in a fracture nonunion (Figure 1G-I). After 12 months, he underwent nail dynamization with removal of his distal interlocking screw to allow compression of his non-united fracture. He was subsequently lost to follow-up for 3 years. He then presented for follow up with recent onset of left knee and thigh pain. Physical examination revealed knee range of motion from 30-90° and imaging of the entire femur demonstrated continued nonunion at his fracture site as well as penetration of the distal femoral cortex with migration of the nail into the knee joint (Figure 1J-L).

A CT was obtained confirming the nonunion; workup for infection revealed no elevation of inflammatory markers. The patient was taken to the operating room and underwent removal of his previous intramedullary nail with revision intramedullary nailing with dynamic compression across the fracture site (Figure 2A-C). He was made weight-bearing as tolerated postoperatively and X-rays four weeks postoperatively demonstrated stable nail position and fracture reduction. However, he was again lost to follow-up and has not returned to clinic.

**Case Report #3**

An 85-year-old female presented to our institution after a non-syncopal fall with left hip pain with a basicervical femoral neck fracture (Figure 2D,E). She was taken to the operating room and underwent trochanteric entry intramedullary nail fixation with a size 10 mm, radius 150 cm, 300 mm nail with no distal interlocking screw fixation. Reduction was achieved on a fracture table and intraoperative X-rays were consistent with an acceptable reduction and hardware placement without anterior cortical perforation (Figure 2F-H). She was made weight-bearing as tolerated postoperatively. The remainder of her immediate postoperative course was uncomplicated and patient was discharged to a rehabilitation facility.

While at the rehabilitation facility, she participated in physical therapy and subsequently felt a new pain her distal femur. At her three-week postoperative visit, repeat whole length femur radiographs revealed failure of hardware with nail penetration and fracture of the anterior cortex of her distal femur (Figure 2I). The decision was made to pursue non-operative management and protected weight-bearing. Follow-up X-rays demonstrated healing at both her proximal and distal fracture sites with cal-
Discussion

Intramedullary femoral nailing has become the most common technique to stabilize femur fractures due to its advantages including less dissection and blood loss, faster time to healing, and earlier mobilization in comparison to plating. However, this technique is not without complications. This case series highlights three important complications that can affect the distal femur following antegrade intramedullary nailing: periarticular fracture through a distal interlocking screw, nail penetration into the knee joint, and anterior perforation of the nail. Prevention and treatment of these complications requires a sound understanding of the anatomy of the femur and the design specifications of femoral intramedullary nails. This case series underscores the necessity of follow-up distal femur radiographs in patients after long antegrade femoral nail placement.

Modern femoral intramedullary nails provide options for distal interlocking screws, which have been shown to provide fixation with an increase in stiffness and rotational load in unstable fracture patterns. However, recent evidence has demonstrated similar torsional strength in locked and unlocked nails in stable intertrochanteric hip fractures. Furthermore, distal interlocking screws provide a stress riser for fracture, and can add both operative time and radiation exposure to the case. This time may be valuable in elderly, medically sick patients with multiple comorbidities and higher perioperative risk of cardiopulmonary complications and also adds to the overall cost. Further research is needed to assess whether unlocked femoral nails provide adequate fixation for fractures while decreasing the rate of distal femoral periarticular fracture. Distally-locked fractures may also be vulnerable to spiral fracture distal to the interlocking screw, and frequently require fixation with open reduction and plating. However, we have observed that in unlocked nails the fracture occurs in the shaft of the femur and fixation can be achieved by closed reduction (rotating the fracture around the nail) and placing distal interlocking fixation through the existing nail.

An additional cause of fracture at the distal end of femoral nails is a mismatch of the radius of curvature and anterior bow of the femur, resulting in anterior cortical perforation of the femur. Using a starting point anterior to the curvature and anterior bow of the femur, result of femoral nails is a mismatch of the radius of the existing nail and placing distal interlocking fixation through reduction (rotating the fracture around the nail) the femur and fixation can be achieved by closed reduction antegrade intramedullary nailing: an evaluation of influencing operative factors. J Orthop Trauma 2011;25:224-7.

Conclusions

This case series highlights the distal femoral complications following antegrade femoral nailing, the need for close follow-up with distal femur imaging for patients with intramedullary nails in place, and appropriate surgical planning for both primary and revision surgery with femoral intramedullary nails.
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