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Abstract

Tranexamic acid (TA) is widely used by orthopedic surgeons to decrease blood loss and the need for transfusion following total hip arthroplasty (THA). Although both intravenous and topical applications are described in the literature, there remains no consensus regarding the optimal regimen, dosage and method of delivery of TA during THA. In addition, concerns still exist regarding the risk of thromboembolic events with intravenous administration. The purpose of this meta-analysis was to compare the efficacy and safety of topical versus intravenous administration of TA in THA. A systematic review of the electronic databases PubMed, CENTRAL, EMBASE and Google Scholar was undertaken to identify all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing the topical and intravenous administration of TA during THA, in terms of total blood loss, rate of blood transfusion and incidence of deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE) post-operatively. A meta-analysis was performed to evaluate and compare the efficacy and safety of both methods of administration. Of 248 potentially relevant papers, three RCTs comprising (482) patients and healthcare providers because of the potentially serious complications, such as disease transmission, hemolysis, anaphylaxis, and transfusion related acute lung injury and possibly death.9,18 As a result, antifibrinolytic agents, such as tranexamic acid (TA), have emerged as an effective way of reducing blood loss and transfusion following surgical procedures. TA is a synthetic derivative of lysine with a molecular weight of 157 g/mol, which exerts its effect by a reversible interaction with plasminogen.9 It binds to the lysin-binding site of plasminogen, which prevents the binding of plasminogen to the fibrin surface. Thus, plasminogen activation is prevented and fibrinolysis is delayed.10 Although TA was first discovered in 1962,11,12 the first study to examine its efficacy in reducing blood loss after total joint arthroplasty was in 1997.13 The findings suggested that TA was associated with a significant reduction in blood loss with no increase in venous thromboembolic events following total knee arthroplasty (TKA). The first study demonstrating the efficacy of TA when used during THA was published in 2000.14 Since then, numerous clinical trials have demonstrated that TA could effectively reduce blood loss in THA with no increase in complications.15,16 There remains a lack of consensus, however, regarding the optimal regimen, dosage and method of delivery of TA. In addition, there are still concerns regarding the risk of thromboembolic complications following intravenous (IV) administration.17 These safety concerns have resulted in an increasing interest in local use, with some authors suggesting a 70% reduction in systemic absorption when TA is used topically.18 Other proposed advantages of topical administration include the ease of use, providing a higher therapeutic concentration at the bleeding site, limiting blood loss with little or no systemic side effects.19 It is still not clear, however, if the topical administration of TA is as effective as systemic administration in terms of reducing blood loss and the need for subsequent transfusion following THA. We therefore performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare the topical and intravenous administrations of TA in primary THA, specifically assessing the following outcome measures: i) amount of total blood loss; ii) number of blood units transfused; iii) the incidence of deep venous thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE).

Materials and Methods

The methods used in this review were according to the guidelines published in the Cochrane handbook for systemic review and meta-analysis of interventions.19 We searched the electronic databases (PubMed, CENTRAL, EMBASE, and Google Scholar) for all randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of patients who had undergone primary THA and received either topical or systemic (IV) tranexamic acid. The search included the following texts to maximize sensitivity and specificity: (total hip arthroplasty OR total hip replacement OR THA OR THR) AND (trianexamic acid). The search date range was between the time of inception of each database and January 2016. The search strategy was designed and agreed by all authors (SAH, AP, JL and PA). The inclusion criteria included: i) RCTs; ii) patients undergoing unilateral primary THA; iii) intervention including topical versus systemic (IV) TA administration; iv) reported outcome measures including: amount of total blood loss, blood units transfused per patient, the number of patients receiving a blood transfusion and...
the incidence of DVT and PE. The title of the articles and their abstracts were reviewed independently by the first three authors (SAH, AP, JL). Any disagreement was resolved by the senior author (PA). When there were any issues requiring further scrutiny, the full article was obtained and analyzed. The included articles were assessed and scored according to their methodological quality using the Jadad five-point scale for randomized controlled trials.\textsuperscript{20}

Data extraction was performed independently by the first two authors (SAH and AP), who screened the identified articles. We attempted to contact the authors of studies when further information was required. The following data was extracted: demographics, indication for THA, length of follow-up, surgical approach, type of hip prosthesis, method of TA administration, blood transfusion criteria, amount of blood loss, the number of patients requiring blood transfusion, the number of blood units transfused and the incidence of DVT and PE.

**Statistical methods**

Dichotomous outcomes such as rate of transfusion and the incidence of DVT/PE were expressed as proportions or risks, with the treatment effect reported as a risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Continuous outcomes such as total blood loss and blood units transfused were expressed as a mean +/- standard deviation (SD), with treatment effect being reported as the mean difference (MD). A P value of $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Review Manager (Rev-Man 5.3, The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used to present the study findings. The presence of statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the chi-squared test and I\textsuperscript{2} statistics. A P value $<0.1$ and I\textsuperscript{2}>50% were considered to indicate substantial heterogeneity.

**Results**

**Search results**

Figure 1 shows a flow chart of the study selection process. Our initial search identified 248 potentially relevant studies. After screening the titles and abstracts, 241 were excluded. The full text of each of the remaining 7 studies was obtained for further analysis, which resulted in 4 further citations excluded. Three RCTs comparing the efficacy of topical versus systemic administration of tranexamic acid in the context of primary THA were included in this review.\textsuperscript{21-23} Table 1 summarizes the demographic data and Table 2 summarizes the surgical and intervention details in the included studies.

**Quality assessment**

The quality assessment was performed by the first two authors (SAH and AP), with agreement obtained and any disagreement resolved by the senior author (PA). Any disagreement was resolved by the senior author (PA).

---

Table 1. Demographic data in the three selected trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country, year</th>
<th>Power of study</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male gender (%)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Type of implant</th>
<th>Quality score\textsuperscript{20}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wei et al\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td>China, 2014</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Uncemented</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>China, 2015</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>OA and AVN</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>Uncemented</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North et al\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>USA, 2015</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>OA</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>Uncemented</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 2. Surgical and intervention details of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country, year</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Technique used</th>
<th>Transfusion criteria</th>
<th>Thrombo-prophylaxis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wei et al\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td>China, 2014</td>
<td>Topical TA</td>
<td>20 mL in acetalabum after preparation; 20 mL in femoral canal after preparation; 80 mL infiltrated into joint at closure of fascia</td>
<td>Hb&lt;9 g/dL at 24 hours post-operatively</td>
<td>Low molecular weight heparini</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>China, 2015</td>
<td>3 g TA in 150 mL NS</td>
<td>50 mL TA solution in gauze used to soak the acetabulum and femoral canal for 3 minutes after preparation; 50 mL injected into the hip joint through drain tube after closure of fascia</td>
<td>All patients with Hb&lt;7 g/dL; all symptomatic patients with Hb between 7 and 10 g/dL</td>
<td>Mechanical prophylaxis, LMWH (Enoxaparin) (4000IU) until discharge then Rivaroxaban 10 mg (OD) for 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North et al\textsuperscript{23}</td>
<td>USA, 2015</td>
<td>2 g TA in 100 mL NS</td>
<td>Placed in hip after component placement and allowed to sit undisturbed for 5 minutes</td>
<td>Two 50 mL doses each over 20 minutes using a pump; one started 10 minutes before incision and the second during closure of fascia</td>
<td>All patients with Hb&lt;7 g/dL; all symptomatic patients with Hb&lt;8 g/dL (no drains used in study)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

TA, tranexamic acid; NS, normal saline; Hb, haemoglobin; AAOS, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons.
The scores demonstrated that the trials were of a high quality (Jadad score ≥3). Two studies\textsuperscript{21,23} were double-blinded whereas the Xie and colleagues study was not.\textsuperscript{22}

### Results of meta-analysis

#### Total blood loss
All three studies (482 patients) provided data on total blood loss. There was moderate statistical heterogeneity between the studies (P=0.05, I²=66%). The meta-analysis (Figure 2) showed a slightly higher amount of total blood loss with topical use, but this did not reach statistical significant (MD – 46.37, P=0.12, 95% CI – 12.54 to 105.29).

#### Rate of blood transfusion
All three studies (482 patients) provided data on the number of patients who required blood transfusion. Twenty-two transfusions (9%) occurred in the topical group compared with 17 transfusions (7%) in the IV group. The summarized estimate of effect size (Figure 3) indicated no statistically significant difference in the risk of transfusion between the groups (RR 1.30, P=0.39, 95% CI 0.71 to 2.37) in the absence of statistical heterogeneity (P= 0.83, I²=0%).

#### Thromboembolic complications
All three studies (482 patients) provided data on DVT and PE events. There were 3 cases in the IV group (1.2%) compared with 1 case (0.4%) in the topical group (Figure 4) (RR 0.50, 95% CI 0.09 to 2.71) in the absence of statistical heterogeneity (P=0.84, I²=0%).

### Discussion and Conclusions
This review demonstrated no statistically significant differences in total blood loss, rate of transfusion and thromboembolic complications when comparing topical and systemic TA administration in primary THA. Although THA is very successful as a surgical procedure, it may cause significant perioperative bleeding because of the rich blood supply of adjacent soft tissues and the large exposed surface of bone. Some studies have shown that blood loss after THA ranges between 1000 and 2000 mL\textsuperscript{4} with up to 37% of patients requiring blood transfusion.\textsuperscript{3} If not treated, post-operative anemia is associated with a significant increase in morbidity and mortality\textsuperscript{24} and an increased stay in hospital\textsuperscript{25,26} following total joint arthroplasty (TJA). Addressing post-operative anemia with blood transfusion carries health and cost implications for both patients and doctors.\textsuperscript{27} TA was introduced with the aim of reducing post-operative bleeding and the need for blood transfusion following TJA.

Since the first report of its IV administration during TKA back in 1997,\textsuperscript{13} the use of TA in TJA has become well established in the literature.\textsuperscript{27} It is now widely accepted that IV administration of TA reduces blood loss and the need for transfusion without a significant increase in the rate of adverse events following THA.\textsuperscript{14,27} However, concerns remain regarding the risk of DVT and PE associated with IV administration. In addition, some authors believe that the systemic use of TA results in its distribution throughout the whole circulating volume thus reducing its therapeutic concentration at the operative field.\textsuperscript{28} In contrast, topical TA is thought to rapidly reach therapeutic concentration at the site of bleeding with little or no systemic absorption.\textsuperscript{18} Despite the numerous published studies and trials, there remains no consensus regarding the most effective regimen, dosage, safety and method of delivery of TA in THA. This review was conducted in an attempt to answer these questions. To our knowledge, this is the first to date specifically evaluating the efficacy and safety of topical administration of TA versus intravenous administration in primary THA.

This review demonstrated slightly less bleeding in the IV group, but this did not reach statistical significance. The rate of transfusion was 7% in the IV group and 9% in the topical group, both being significantly less than the
reported rate of 37% following THA without the use of TA. The risk of DVT/PE with IV TA remains a concern, although this has not been proven clinically. This analysis did not demonstrate a significant difference in the incidence of thromboembolic events between the IV and topical groups (1 case: 0.4% versus 3 cases: 1.2% respectively). It is however difficult to draw accurate conclusions as the number of thromboembolic events were small. In addition, uncertainty still remains because of the difference of DVT and PE screening methods in the studies. Doppler Ultrasound was routinely used in the Wei and colleagues and Xie and colleagues studies but not in the North and colleagues study, where only symptomatic patients were investigated. This may have resulted in the under diagnosis of thromboembolic events in this study.

The dose and method of topical application differed between the three studies. Wei and colleagues used 3 g in 100 mL of normal saline; 20 mL were placed in the acetabulum and 20 mL in the femoral canal after preparation and left for a few minutes before inserting the components. The remaining 60 mL were infiltrated into the joint after closure of the fascia. Xie and colleagues used 3 g TA in 150 mL normal saline; 50 mL were placed in the acetabulum and 50 mL in the femoral canal (soaked gauze) with the remaining 50 mL injected into the joint after closure of the fascia. North and colleagues used 2 g of TA in 100 mL normal saline. The whole amount was placed in the hip after component placement and allowed to sit undisturbed for 5 minutes. The dose of IV administration was also different (3, 1.5 and 2 g respectively).

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, the use of topical TA is an effective and safe method to reduce total blood loss and the rate of transfusion following primary THA. It has comparative effectiveness to IV administration with slightly less post-operative thromboembolic complications. Larger and better-designed future RCTs are required to establish the optimum dosage and method of delivery of topical use.
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