Is cemented revision total hip arthroplasty a reasonable treatment option in an elderly population?
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Abstract

Revision THA is increasingly performed, especially in an elderly population. The surgeon’s challenge is to provide a solution that supports immediate full weight-bearing, despite poor bone quality. Shape-closed revision stems facilitate that by combining cement fixation with additional press-fit anchoring. The design tolerates varying cement mantle thickness and inconsistent cancellous bone lining of the femoral canal. Following that philosophy, we present our mid-term results using a long version of a cemented Charnley-Kerboull type stem. From 2010 to 2017, 38 long Charnley-Kerboull revision stems (Centris®, Mathys European Orthopaedics, Bettlach, Switzerland) were implanted and followed prospectively. Surgery was performed via a Hardinge approach in supine position with a third generation cementing technique. Patients were mobilized using full-weight bearing as early as possible. Survival was determined for stem revision for aseptic loosening and stem and/or cup revision for any reason. 20 stems had a minimum follow-up (f/u) of 2 years and were included for further radiological analysis. Detailed subsidence analysis as an early predictor for later aseptic loosening was performed using EBRA-FCA software. Further, the presence of osteolysis and cement debonding was evaluated. Mean follow-up was 4 years. No patient was lost to f/u. 18 died of causes unrelated to THA. Stem survival was 100%. Survival for any re-operation was 82.2% (two early infections, one soft-tissue debridement, one cup exchange for recurrent dislocations). None of the cases revised for septic loosening showed signs of persistent infection at final f/u. EBRA-FCA revealed two oligosymptomatic cases of subsidence of 5mm and 6mm over a course of 2 and 12 months, respectively, with stable implants thereafter. Neither required revision. There was no development of osteolysis or debonding. The stem provides a reliable early full-weight bearing solution for revision THA with excellent mid-term survival in an elderly population. Even in two cases where subsidence was present, mobility was not impaired and re-revision could be avoided.

Introduction

Revision THA is increasingly performed, especially in an elderly population (>75 years). In these cases, the surgeon is challenged to provide a solution that supports immediate full weight-bearing, despite poor bone quality. Cemented stems used for primary THA achieve that goal with mechanical failure being negligible in the first decade.12 In revision scenarios however, cancellous bone for cement interdigitation, especially in the metaphysis, is frequently absent. In these cases additional impaction bone grafting may improve implant stability with excellent long-term results.3,4 but is demanding and associated with a high rate of periprosthetic fractures.5 Alternatively, cemented revision stems following a shape-closed design, yield excellent long-term results by combining cement fixation with press-fit anchoring (Figure 1).6 The initial description of this so-called “French Paradox” by Kerboul,7 even advocates the removal of cancellous bone from the medullary canal using a curette, with excellent long-term survival.8 Recently a discussion arose whether the French Paradox actually exists concerning cemented stems function despite a thin cement mantle and lack of cancellous bone.5,10 Apart from this discussion cemented stem revision is an attractive option in old and frail patients with poor bone stock that would allow immediate full weight bearing.11 Following this philosophy, we have used a long version of the Charnley-Kerboull stem (Centris®, Mathys European Orthopaedics, Bettlach, Switzerland; Figure 1) for revision THA and failed proximal femoral fracture osteosynthesis in patients with poor bone quality that require immediate full weight-bearing.

Aim of this study was to analyse the mid-term survival of the Centris® stem with special emphasis on radiological changes indicative for pending failure and stem subsidence.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The patient data is extracted from our in-house hip registry, which was approved by the local ethics committee (EKNZ 2015-393). Inclusion criteria were usage of the long version of the cemented Centris® stem in primary and revision arthroplasties. Excluded were surgeries with another implant, second Centris® stem revisions (same hip already included) and no informed consent. From 2010 to 2017, 38 stems were implanted. Patients were followed prospectively at 6 and 12 weeks and at 1, 2, 5 years and every 5 years thereafter. At each follow-up a clinical and radiological examination was performed. Mean age at index surgery was 78 ([74-87], SD 3) years. 16 male and 22 females were included. 18 patients died during follow up, two of which in the immediate postoperative period (one mechanical ileus, one central venous catheter associated endocarditis).

Preoperative femoral bone defects were classified according to Paprosky,12 using the last radiograph before index surgery. Periprosthetic joint infections (PJI) after index surgery were recorded as defined elsewhere and in case of index surgery being performed due to PJI compared with previous infection parameters.13 We recorded monomicrobial vs. polymicrobial infections, type of microorganism(s), type of PJI (acute vs chronic) and type of treatment
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(debridement and implant retention (DAIR), one- or two-stage exchange).14

**Method**

Surgery was performed via a Hardinge approach in supine position using third generation cementing technique (distal cement restrictor; Jet-Lavage and pressurizer; Palacos R+G bone cement, Heraeus, Wehrheim, Germany). In cases where the cement restrictor was below the femoral isthmus, it was secured from subsiding by placing a percutaneous Kirschner-wire just below in a bicoritcal manner. Patients were mobilized using full-weight bearing as early as possible using two crutches.

**Radiological assessment**

Standardized anterior-posterior (a.p.) views centered on the symphysis showing the entire stem were taken. For analysis, the 12-week postoperative radiograph was compared to the latest follow-up. Radiographs were analyzed according to the Gruen-Zone system. Osteolysis was defined as a progressive, newly developed endosteal bone loss with a diameter greater than 3 mm at the cement-bone-interface.15,16 Debonding was defined as a radiolucent line at the prosthesis-cement interface not visible on the first postoperative radiograph.13 Detailed subsidence analysis was performed with EBRA-FCA software.17 More than 2 mm were considered abnormal.19 Stems were rated radiographically loose in case of circumferential osteolysis/radiolucencies in all Gruen zones and/or excessive subsidence (>10 mm).18,19

**Statistical analysis**

Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier analysis for the following endpoints: i) aseptic loosening of the stem, ii) re-revision of the stem and/or cup (including exchange of the liner) for any reason. Excellent survival rates were defined as >95%-level at 10 years (NICE criteria).

**Results**

Most cases were operated due to aseptic loosening of the stem (Table 1). Preoperative femoral bone defects are shown in Table 2. Mean follow-up was 4 years (12-6, SD 1). No patient was lost to f/u. Stem survival for aseptic loosening was 100%. Survival for any re-operation was 82.2% (95%CI 69.1-95.3%) (Figure 2): During follow-up one cup was revised due to recurrent dislocations three weeks after index surgery with an uneventful course thereafter. Two periprosthetic fractures occurred 4 and 14 months after index surgery (both Vancouver C). The first after a two-stage exchange for PJI (Figure 3). Open reduction

**Table 1. Indications for Centris® stem implantation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aseptic loosening</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic loosening</td>
<td>8 (5 one-stage / 3 two-stage exchanges)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractures</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoarthritis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone metastasis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent dislocation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Distribution of femoral defects prior to index surgery according to the Paprosky classification.12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paprosky grade</th>
<th>All stems</th>
<th>f/u &gt; 2 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** A) Charnley-Kerboull revision stem (Centris®, Mathys, Switzerland). B) Thin cement mantle surrounding the stem with additional press-fit fixation (red arrows).

**Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier stem survival for any revision: 82.2% at 4 years (95% CI: 69.1-95.3%).
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Discussion

Our study demonstrates the reliability of a long Charnley-Kerboull stem for revision arthroplasties and failed proximal femoral osteosynthesis. Poor bone stock and immediate full weight bearing did not impair mid-term survival (100%). Subsidence >2mm as an early sign for pending failure was scarce and did not correlate with increased pain or decreased mobility,20 thus re-revision was not performed. Compared to the literature our stem survival is comparable to standard length Charnley-Kerboull implants in primary and revision THA (>95%).21,22

Survival for any revision was 82.2% after mean of 4 years. This appears low, however, our collective consists solely of elderly, multimorbid patients in a revision setting. Despite these risk factors stem survival was not affected. The revisions included two periprosthetic fractures four and 14 months after index surgery (both Vancouver C). The first one was potentially iatrogenic, as the femur broke around a diaphyseal cortical window made for cement removal in a septic two-stage exchange. Literature identifies periprosthetic fractures as quite frequent. They occur in 5.8% of cemented and 18.6% of uncemented implants.22 The other fracture was due to an adequate trauma. Both were treated with implant preserving ORIF. They failed due to insufficient plate fixation in the proximal stem bearing fragment. Literature reports a high complication rate for ORIF with 13% fixation failures and new fractures.23

One cup needed replacement three months after index surgery due to recurrent dislocations. No other complications were observed on the acetabular side which goes in accordance with other published studies.21,23

Further, two cases of PJI (5%) were treated successfully with DAIR.13 No signs of persistent infection were observed at the last f/u. One hip with ongoing wound secretion was debrided one week after index surgery due to suspected PJI. No bacterial growth was detected in the cultivated soft-tissue biopsies. Our infection rate is comparable with results of larger studies where PJI after revision THA was between 3.2% and 8%.26-27

Radiological changes (osteolysis and/or debonding) were not detected during follow-up. This is the expected course of a well cemented stem at mid-term follow-up.

Two out of 20 stems showed a subsidence >2mm which was defined as a risk factor for later aseptic loosening.20 Both stems showed an initial subsidence with consecutive stabilisation. The investigated Centris stem, despite following the “French Paradox” philosophy, has a high polished surface finish (e.g. roughness <0.04um), thus during initial subsidence only pitting wear should occur without substantial

Figure 3. Potentially iatrogenic periprosthetic fracture (C), as the femur broke around a diaphyseal cortical window (A). ORIF failed at two months, due to plate avulsion off the proximal fragment (E). Re-ORIF (F) resulted into uneventful healing (G).

Figure 4. Subsidence analysis with EBRA-FCA software: Two cases of subsidence of (A) 5mm over 2 months and (B) 6mm over 12 months with stable implants thereafter.
destruction of the thin or even incomplete cement mantle. From our mid-term data it remains unclear whether this might be a problem during long-term follow-up and further monitoring of these stems remains necessary.

Conclusions

The Centris® stem provides a reliable early full-weight bearing solution for revision THA with excellent mid-term survival in a high-aged population. Even in two cases with subsidence, mobility was not impaired and re-revision up to latest follow-up could be avoided.
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