Treatment strategy for pediatric giant mucinous cystadenoma: A case report
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Abstract

Because of their rarity, the treatment strategy for pediatric ovarian epithelial tumors is controversial, especially for a giant cystadenoma. We report the largest mucinous cystadenoma (MCA) case in the pediatric literature thus far. A 12-year-old girl had abdominal distention and visited our hospital. She had a multilocular cyst with some protuberance on the inside and high values of CA 19-9 and CA-125. We diagnosed her with a left MCA and performed a left oophorectomy. The tumor was the stage IA borderline malignant MCA and weighed 11.8 kg. Five years have passed, and the patient has not experienced recurrence or metastasis.

Case Report

A 12-year-old girl had severe abdominal distention with increasing abdominal girth that had started 6 months earlier. She visited our hospital because her abdominal distention worsened despite her diet restriction. Although she had menarche 9 months prior to presentation, her second menstruation had not come. Additionally, she had never experienced sexual relations. The girl was 170 cm tall and weighed 63 kg. Her body mass index was 21.8. She had no fever or abdominal pain. Her abdomen was markedly distended without fluctuation.

Abdominal X-ray showed elevated diaphragms and a lesion occupying a large space in the abdominal cavity. Her routine blood analysis and renal functions were normal: white blood cell count, $4.40 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3$; hematocrit, 11.1 g/dL (12-18 g/dL); hematocrit, 34.3% (35-53%); platelet count, 220 × $10^3/\mu\text{L}$ (150-400 × $10^3/\mu\text{L}$); alanine transaminase, 13 units/L (5-40 units/L); aspartate aminotransferase, 22 units/L (5-42 units/L); and creatinine, 0.87 mg/dL (0.4-1.0 mg/dL).

The values of tumor markers were as follows: hCG (Human Chorionic Gonadotropin), < 0.003 units/mL (0-5 units/mL); α-fetoprotein, 0.7 ng/mL (< 5 ng/mL); carcinoembryonic antigen, 117.5 ng/mL (0-4.60 ng/mL); CA 19-9, 5029.0 units/mL (< 39 units/mL); and CA-125, 96.3 units/mL (0-35 units/mL). The patient’s CA 19-9 and CA-125 levels were high.

Abdominal US (Ultrasoundography) showed a giant multilocular cystic lesion that had some solid lesions, which protruded into the inside of the giant lesion. There was an oviduct-like structure on the dorsal side (Figure 1). T2-weighted MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) also showed multilocular, high-intensity cysts with a stained-glass-like structure with a distinct septum and various concentrations of internal solution. Solid lesions had a lower intensity than that of the internal solution on T2-weighted images. On the bottom of the dorsal cyst wall, there was a structure that appeared to be an oviduct. Bilateral hydronephroses were caused by cyst oppression (Figure 2). There was no finding suggesting peritoneal distant metastasis with MRI or CT (Computed Tomography).

We diagnosed a multilocular cyst connecting to the oviduct-like feature as an ovarian cystadenoma. Therefore, we performed a laparotomy. We approached her abdomen by a lower abdominal horizontal incision and submitted her transparent ascites for cytology. The left oviduct was stretched and connected to the left lower side of the cyst. Although the border of the oviduct and tumor was clear, that of the ovarian parenchyma and tumor was ambiguous (Figure 3A, B). Therefore, we performed left oophorectomy. There were no findings that caused us to suspect membrane damage or lymph node metastasis or distant metastasis or dissemination in the peritoneal cavity. We thought the tumor was benign or borderline malignant. Therefore, we decided to preserve the oviduct. We ligated a thickened vessel entering the tumor from the mesometrium and removed the tumor. Adhesion to other organs was mild. Ascites cytology indicated that the tumor not malignant, and the intra-operative section diagnosis was diagnosed as an MCA. We sutured each layer of the
abdominal wall and finished the operation. The multilocular cystic tumor was 420 × 220 × 200 mm and 11.8 kg (Figure 3C,D). It contained mucinous fluid, and the luminal surface was covered with a single layer of endocervical-like epithelial cells. In some areas, nuclear stratification into 2 or 3 layers and the formation of reactive granulation tissue were observed pathologically. Nuclear fission, high nuclear atypia, and stromal invasion were not observed. Therefore, we diagnosed her tumor as an FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) stage IA (which is defined as tumor limited to 1 ovary, capsule intact, no tumor on surface, and negative washings)6 borderline MCA. Her postoperative course had no complications. Each tumor marker fell to within the normal range. The patient is doing well without recurrence or metastasis 5 years after the surgery.

Discussion

There is large amount of differential diagnosis in cystic abdominal masses in children. The possibility of diagnosis depends on which organ has cysts. Liver (mesenchymal hamartoma, biloma or parasitic cyst), biliary system (choledochal cyst or hydrops of gallbladder), spleen (congenital cyst), pancreas (congenital cyst, pseudocyst or cystadenoma), kidney (hydronephrosis, multicystic dysplastic kidney or multilocular cystic nephroma), adrenal grand (adrenal hemorrhage), gastrointestinal tract (mesenteric cyst, lymphangioma, duplication cyst, omental cyst or meconium pseudocyst), genitourinary (urachal cyst and ovary (functional cyst, teratoma, dermoid cyst, cystadenoma, hematocolpos) may have cysts. In addition, there is the possibility of abscess, teratoma, necrotic or cystic changes in tumors, cerebrospinal fluid pseudocyst or sacrococcygeal teratoma.7

Ovarian tumors occur in 2.6 of 100,000 girls under 15 years of age, which represents 2% of all tumors in girls under 16 years of age.1 Of those tumors, 67% are germ cell tumors, and 10-17% are epithelial tumors such as MCA or SCA.2,3 Epithelial tumors are mainly diseases of women in their 30s and 50s.8

Most ovarian epithelial tumors are cystadenomas, 75% of which are serous and 25% of which are mucinous.4,5 Among the epithelial tumors, mucous tumors have sticky gelatinous fluid and sometimes exhibit a multilocular large mass. Microscopically, cysts are formed by the epithelium with tall columnar differentiation.9 Ovarian epithelial tumors in children are usually unilateral, and 12% are malignant.10

There is a concept of borderline tumors in ovarian epithelial tumors, including MCA and SCA. An ovarian mucinous borderline tumor was first described in a study from the Cleveland Clinic in 1955.11 Thereafter, in the classification of the Cancer Committee of FIGO in 1971 and in addition to benign cystadenoma and cystadenocarcinoma, cystadenoma with proliferating activity of the epithelial cells and nuclear abnormalities but without infiltrative destructive growth (low-potential
malignancy) was classified. Moreover, WHO (The World Health Organization) also used the concept of borderline malignant tumor and carcinoma of low malignancy in classifying ovarian tumors in 1973. Because borderline malignancy has a clearly better prognosis than that of cystadenocarcinoma, this appropriate classification is now, generally, pervasive.

Pathological diagnostic criteria for each group of mucinous tumors are as follows: 1) Benign MCA displays no nuclear layer stratification or stromal invasion. 2) The borderline malignant type of MCA displays nuclear stratification into 2 or 3 layers with no stromal invasion. 3) MCA displays stromal invasion or nuclear stratification of more than three layers.

At pre- or intraoperation, the presence of a ‘mural nodule’ is helpful for classifying the tumor in some cases. A mural nodule is a several-centimeter protuberance of yellow, red or brown colored features, and it consists of three types: (I) reactive sarcomatoid morphology, (II) undifferentiated cancer bud, and (III) a sarcoma nodule. Although type III follow the malignant course, type I has no effect on prognosis and type II also does not affect prognosis unless membrane damage occurs. Because ovarian borderline MCA can have multilocular cysts containing any type of nodule, we have to distinguish them. The features of type III are irregular shape, rough surface, wide basement, nonuniform internal echo and no blood flow. However, based on the study of 123 mucinous ovarian tumors, Moro et al. argued mural nodule is typical in endocervical-type borderline MCA. In this case, the nodules having wide basement and nonuniform internal echo was pathologically type I and the tumor was borderline MCA.

CA-125 is usually elevated during the growth of epithelial tumors. In ovarian tumor, Serous tumors tend to show elevated serum CA125. CA-19-9 levels rise when rupture, inflammation or adhesion occurs due to the large tumor. Several papers report that there is a significant correlation between tumor size and serum CA 19-9 values. Although Cho et al. reported that there was a significant correlation between serum CA 19-9 levels and the pathological types (borderline or malignancy), Kelly et al. denied the correlation. Therefore, the correlation between them is controversial.

Although the final diagnosis is guided by the pathological findings, intraoperative specimen diagnosis is often misleading. In this case, the intraoperative diagnosis was an adenoma, but the pathological diagnosis was changed to a borderline tumor.

Highly malignant lesions are newly discovered by adding cutouts after surgery. It seems to be more difficult to determine an appropriate intraoperative pathological diagnosis for MCA than it is for SCA because mucinous tumors tend to be larger. In the literature to date, the sensitivity of intraoperative diagnosis in the borderline group is as low as 44.4% to 50.0%, indicating that accurate intraoperative specimen diagnosis is difficult.

In adult stage I borderline MCA, the recurrence rate is 6%. The 5-year survival rate is 98%, and the 10-year survival rate is 96% when appropriate surgery is performed. However, 92.8% of these cases are stage I, and there have been no reports of borderline MCA with metastasis. Even if borderline MCA is missed, the prognosis is almost always good. There is no problem if you can appropriately diagnose only MCA.

On the other hand, it was reported that death due to adjuvant therapy has more risk than natural cancer death in patients with stage I borderline MCA. Therefore, oophorectomy or salpingo-oophorectomy is necessary and sufficient. With regard to the biopsy of the contralateral ovary, it is not preferable because of the potential for abdominal adhesion or damage to the normal ovary. Hence, our treatment was appropriate for this case. However, there are diverse opinions about the exploration of the contralateral healthy ovary.

Ottesen et al. reported that the percentage of borderline malignancy and carcinoma adult giant ovarian mucinous tumors was 24%, and there were no giant cases with metastasis. Mucinous tumors more rarely invade the ovary than do serous tumors. Therefore, symptoms other than abdominal distension are less likely to present in mucinous tumors.

In giant tumor cases, resection of the tumor may lead to some changes in respiration and circulation due to an increase in venous return, migration of thrombus or re-expansion of the lung. Therefore, pre- or intraoperative drainage is often considered. However, if the tumor has malignant potential, drainage of the cyst may lead to abdominal dissemination, and there is also the possibility of causing adhesion in the peritoneal cavity. Although recurrence of ovarian mucinous tumors is extremely rare, it has been reported that intraoperative rupture clearly affects recurrence. Therefore, achieving en bloc resection with no membrane damage is important. There was no significant difference in the incidence of complications when comparing cases of primary resection and resection with pre- and intraoperative drainage. We consider that if a tumor has malignant potential, primary resection by laparotomy is recommended. In our case, we performed a primary resection without drainage based on the possibility of malignancy because there were lesions suspected of mural nodules in the preoperative US and MRI findings.

In previous reports, a possibility of recurrence until 20 years later has been reported; therefore, long-term follow-up is required. We also plan to continue long-term follow-up in this case.

In adults, over 10 kg is defined as a giant ovarian tumor. The maximum ovarian MCA that was previously reported was 164 kg in adults, and 7.86 kg in patients aged 15 years or less. Therefore, this case is the maximum-sized tumor in children.

Conclusions

In ovarian mucinous tumors, prognosis is favored even in a giant case, except for MCA. There may be options for drainage before or during surgery to avoid unfavorable perioperative events, such as fluctuations in respiration and circulation. However, if the tumor is possibly malignant, primary oophorectomy or salpingo-oophorectomy is recommended. It is extremely important to not miss the findings that are suggestive of malignancy, such as multilocular cysts with mural nodules or high values of tumor markers.
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