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Abstract

We present a 4-year-old girl who underwent a single incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) heminephrouretectomy. The procedure was completed without intra-operative complications or conversion. The operative time was 162 min. At 3 month follow up there is no evident scar and the patient is asymptomatic. SILS upper moiety heminephrouretectomy for duplex kidney is safe and technically feasible in children.

Introduction

The potential advantages of reduced analgesic requirement and improved cosmesis make single incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) an exciting innovation. We present a child with a duplex left kidney and associated incontinence, who underwent SILS heminephrouretectomy.

Case Report

A 4-year-old girl presented with persistent urinary dribbling and urinary tract infections. An ultrasound demonstrated a left duplex kidney. A MAG3 renogram confirmed poor function in the left upper moiety (13% function). Delayed views demonstrated a dilated, tortuous, ureter subtending the upper moiety. A decision was taken to perform a SILS left upper pole heminephrouretectomy.

Operative technique

The patient was placed in a 45-degree right lateral position and a SILS (Covidien plc, Dublin, Ireland) port placed. Pneumoperitoneum was maintained at 12 mmHg. Traction on the ureter and pelvis then facilitated dissection of the limits of the upper pole.

Both ureters where then identified downstream from the pelvis and the upper pole ureter transected (Figure 2). Dissection of the upper pole ureter allowed its delivery from underneath the lower pole hilar vessels. Traction on the ureter and pelvis then facilitated dissection of the limits of the upper pole.

The upper pole vessels were divided using an ultrasonic dissector. Vascular demarcation of the poles defined the line of parenchymal division, which was accomplished using an ultrasonic dissector. The distal ureter was dissected distally before ligation and division. The upper pole was removed through the umbilical incision. No drains were placed. The child was discharged on the second postoperative day. An ultrasound at 2 weeks confirmed a viable lower pole. The patient is currently dry and has no visible scarring (Figure 3).

Discussion

Minimal access renal surgery in children has evolved since the first laparoscopic nephrectomy was reported by Koyle et al. in 1993.1 This was shortly followed by the first report of laparoscopic heminephrectomy in a child.2 Whilst uptake of laparoscopic or retroperitoneoscopic nephrectomy in paediatric practice has been rapid, application of these techniques to heminephrectomy has been slower.2 This is, perhaps, because of the lower frequency of this operation, the younger patient population and the potential for more serious complications; including urinoma, haematoma and ischaemia of the remaining renal parenchyma.4 There are, however, potential advantages from the laparoscopic technique, particularly the precise division of the kidney offered by magnification from the laparoscope.5 Laparoscopy also facilitates dissection of the vascular pedicle without mobilisation of the kidney, thus potentially decreasing the risk of ischaemia to the remaining renal tissue.6

Laparoscopic heminephrectomy has been accomplished both retroperitoneally and transperitoneally. The transperitoneal route offers a larger working space, familiarity with anatomical landmarks and easy access to the distal ureter ensuring adequate removal. Recovery from surgery is as rapid as with other approaches and post operative adhesion formation has not been demonstrated to be a significant problem.2,3

Traxel et al. recently reviewed 5 comparative studies of open versus laparoscopic partial nephrectomy in children.8,9,10,11,12 They comment on an increased operating time with laparoscopic surgery, although a trend was observed towards a decrease with increasing experience. Length of stay was shorter in all studies.
and the majority demonstrated a decrease in analgesic requirement. Of particular note is that some patients undergoing open surgery required a second incision to remove the distal ureter.\textsuperscript{11} SILS has the potential to offer further advantages over a three port laparoscopic approach, especially in terms of cosmesis and reduced postoperative pain. SILS has gained popularity in adult practice over recent years and has started to be employed in paediatric urology, having been applied to varicocelectomy, nephrectomy, pyeloplasty and nephroureterectomy.\textsuperscript{14,16} Jeon \textit{et al.} recently described a single port lower pole nephrectomy for a patient with a 3.5 cm lower pole metanephric adenoma.\textsuperscript{22} We believe our case to be the first description of a SILS upper pole heminephroureterectomy for a child with a duplex kidney. Although the lack of triangulation poses challenges, these are not insurmountable and the upper moiety and ureter can be excised without technical difficulty or risk to the viability of the lower pole. Conventional, reusable, straight laparoscopic instrument are more than adequate to the task and there is no absolute requirement for expensive articulated devices. In common with our previous experience of transperitoneal laparoscopic heminephrectomy and in contrast to some authors’ descriptions, splenic mobilisation was not necessary to dissect the upper pole.\textsuperscript{7,18} This reduces the risk of splenic injury. This procedure was accomplished in a slightly longer operative time than our previous experience with transperitoneal laparoscopic heminephrectomy.\textsuperscript{7} We believe this will, however, be modified downwards as more experience is gained. The use of straight instruments greatly ameliorates the cost implications of the single port procedure, given that the only additional equipment is that of the SILS port. By our estimates the cost of the SILS port is offset by the cost of 3 disposable ports, which is, what is routinely required for a standard laparoscopic heminephrectomy. If a 4th port is necessary, as is sometime the case, then costs would move in favour of the SILS approach.

**Conclusions**

We have described what we believe to be the first SILS upper pole heminephroureterectomy and demonstrate its feasibility in paediatric practice. The potential advantages of reduced analgesic requirement and improved cosmesis make this an exciting development in paediatric urology. Further studies are, however, required to further investigate the advantages of this technique.
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