Mid-term outcomes of endovascular treatment for symptomatic chronic mesenteric ischemia
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Abstract
The authors would present the mid-term outcomes with the use of stent-supported angioplasty in the treatment of symptomatic chronic mesenteric ischemia (CMI). The present study is a retrospective analysis of 36 patients undergoing endovascular treatment of symptomatic CMI, between November 2000 and September 2009. Primary study endpoints were defined as primary patency, periprocedural and midterm mortality and complications, and symptom improvement after intervention. Forty-one mesenteric arteries (77.3% stenotic and 22.7% occluded vessels) were treated in 36 patients with 42 stents. In 30 patients (83.3%) one visceral artery and in 6 cases (16.7%) two visceral arteries were treated. Overall mortality was 16.7% (n=6) after a 60-month follow-up (mean follow-up period 30.1 months). Two early (<30-day) deaths were caused by visceral ischemia (n=2; 5.5%). Late death was procedure-related in one patient with re-occlusion of the superior mesenteric artery after 12 months. The other 3 patients died from non-procedure-related causes; e.g. twice myocardial infarction. Initial symptom relief was observed in 29 patients (80.5%); 7 patients reported no change. Primary patency was 83.3% after 5 years and secondary patency was 90.5% (38 out of 42 stents) among all patients. Two conversions to open surgery were documented. First-line endovascular approach of CMI is a reasonable strategy. Close follow-up is mandatory due to symptom recurrence and restenosis.

Introduction
Endovascular treatment for chronic mesenteric ischemia (CMI) is growing in popularity because of its lower periprocedural morbidity and mortality (4%) than open surgery (9-18%). Short-term outcomes are excellent, while long-term patency results of mesenteric stenting are still scarce. There are also little data to suggest that primary stenting is better than angioplasty alone, but the deployment of a stent may be valuable as a rescue procedure following dissection, vascular recoil, or thrombosis during angioplasty. Open surgery is the treatment of choice if multiple-vessel disease or ostial occlusion has to be treated in low-risk patients. Patients with severe comorbidities, such as coronary artery disease, may take advantage from endovascular procedure. Aim of the present study is to present and compare midterm outcomes after use of stent-supported angioplasty in the treatment of symptomatic CMI.

Materials and Methods
In a retrospective analysis 36 patients with CMI underwent between November 2000 and September 2009 42 endovascular interventions. 77.3% of the treated lesions were stenotic and 22.7% occlusive. Patients data and comorbidities were documented. Coronary artery disease (CAD) was defined as any history of myocardial infarction, angina, congestive heart disease or coronary intervention. Renal disease was defined as a baseline serum creatinine level of >1.5 mg/dL. In the collective 22 patients (61.1%) suffered from CAD and 11 patients (30.5%) had elevated creatinine levels. Additively, all mesenteric stenotic lesions were demonstrated by duplex ultrasound and angiography. Follow-up protocol included patients’ records, clinical visits, duplex ultrasound and biplanar x-ray imaging examinations before discharge, 6 months later, and then yearly.

Study endpoints
Primary study endpoints were defined as primary patency, periprocedural and mid-term mortality and morbidity, as well as symptom improvement after endovascular therapy.

Procedural data
All mesenteric interventions were performed in angiographic suite or endovascular hybrid operating room with fixed imaging systems. Interventions were performed under local anaesthesia via a brachial (n=25; 69.4%) or femoral (n=11; 30.5%) approach. Access site selection was based on specific anatomy of each patient as well as surgeons preferences. Periprocedural heparin bolus 5000 IU i.v. was given in all cases. After biplanar angiographic
imaging was performed angled selective catheters were used to engage the vessel ostium. Through a 6F sheaths lesions were crossed by hydrophilic guidewires. After angioplasty with a non-compliant balloon appropriately sized balloon-expandable stents were deployed. If the ostium appeared stenotic, stents were positioned to protrude slightly in the aortic lumen. Self-expanding stents were used for non-orificial lesions. In the majority of the cases the Palmaz Genesis Stent was used (Cordis Corporation™, Miami, FL, USA) (n=22; 61.1%). In the remaining cases an Herkulink Stent (Abbott Vascular™, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was implanted in nine patients (25%), a SMART Control™ (Cordis Corporation Europe, Waterlo, Belgium) in one, a Carotid Wall Stent™ (Boston Scientific, Natick, MA, USA) in one or an XPers Stent™ (Abbott Vascular™) in one. Distal embolic protection systems or atherectomy devices were not used in the collective. Clopidogrel was given for 8-12 weeks in addition to lifelong low-dose aspirin once a day.

Results

Technical success rate was 100%. Thirty patients had a single-vessel intervention (83.3%), and 6 (16.6%) a two-vessel treatment. Stent dislocations, migrations or fractures were not observed. Every patient had at least one of the following clinical symptoms: post-prandial abdominal pain (n=15/40.5%), significant weight loss (>15%kg) (n=16/43.2%), food fear (n=2/5.4%), nausea (n=5/13.5%), and diarrhea (n=12/32.4%) along with ultrasound and angiographic evidence of a significant stenosis (>70%) of ≥1 mesenteric artery. Indication for endovascular intervention inhabited minimally one clinical symptom and a lack of different cause of disease. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Initial symptom relief was achieved in 29 patients (80.5%); 7 patients reported no change. In all patients food fear disappeared after revascularization, and body weight increased.

Morbidity and mortality

Overall procedural morbidity and mortality is given in Table 2. Postoperative complications included 2 bowel ischemic events, one stroke and 2 myocardial infarctions. In one patient pseudo-aneurysm at the access site had to be repaired. Mortality included two early deaths (day 1 and 15 after intervention) caused by visceral ischemia (n=2; 5.6%). Overall mortality for any cause was 16.7% (6 patients) after a mean follow-up of 30.1 months. Later on one procedure-related death occurred after conversion an open procedure. His death was caused by multiple organ failure. Unrelated mortality beyond 30 days of follow-up was 8.8% (3 out of 34 patients). Overall survival rate is given in Figure 1 (83.3%).

Patency

The 5-year primary and secondary patency in the collective of 36 patients and 42 stent deployments was 83.3% and 90.5% (Figure 2). Univariate analysis showed no specific risk factor for restenosis affecting primary patency. The mean interval of restenosis was 25.4±18.9 months.

Discussion

The current study aims to evaluate outcomes after endovascular treatment of visceral artery lesions in patients with chronic mesenteric ischemia. Overall mortality was 16.7% (6 patients) after mean follow-up of 30.1 months. Two patients died within the first 30 days (day 1 and 15 after intervention) caused by visceral ischemia (n=2; 5.6%). No patient was lost to follow-up. 5-year primary and secondary patency in the collective of 36 patients and 42 stent deployments was 83.3% and 90.5%.

Peck et al.1-4 published in 2010 their results in a comparable collective of 49 patients with 66 endovascular treated visceral arteries. Primary patency was 63.9% after 48 months with a restenosis rate of 28.6% requiring rein-
lar therapy in the visceral segment may also depend on endovascular experience of each interventionalist.10-13 The present study showed content results for learning curve on endovascular interventions already started in 1996/1997. Schermerhorn et al.13 analyzed the largest collective in 2009. They collected all data about mesenteric revascularization in the United States from 1988 until 2006. Totally, 6342 endovascular procedures were reported with an increasing amount of percutaneous interventions with lower morbidity and mortality after minimally invasive procedure compared to bypass surgery. Complications, e.g. bowel necrosis and consecutive resection, were more common after bypass surgery than after PTA or stenting.

A publication was written by Kougias et al. in 2009. Comparison of endovascular versus surgical procedure was given in a smaller collective. Overall morbidity after endovascular intervention was lower than after open procedure (P=0.01) and mortality was similar.

In conclusion, treatment selection is most important and the endovascular therapy has already its indication.14,17 Endovascular intervention is a safe alternative to open surgical bypass.14,15 Clinical effectiveness increases to comparable levels. High-risk patients profit from endovascular approach, but re-occlusion is, even in more than one treated vessel, more frequent. Nevertheless patients’ hospitalization is shorter after endovascular intervention.12,15,18

There were some limitations of the study. At first, it was a retrospective evaluation. There was no control data collective, e.g. comparison to open intervention. In the Vascular Surgery department reported, first line endovascular approach is standard regimen.

**Conclusions**

First-line endovascular approach of CMI is a reasonable strategy. Primary patency rate of 83.3% was convincing to the concept. A time interval with a higher risk of restenosis could not be found nor any prevalent risk factors to reveal CMI. Close follow-up is mandatory for the detection of restenosis. Especially for patients with severe comorbidities endovascular means play an important role.

**References**