Vertical alveolar crest split and widening – an experimental study on cow ribs, ultrasonic tool development and test on human cadaver heads
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Abstract

Vertical alveolar crest splitting and horizontal distraction of narrow alveolar crests is limited when rotating and low frequency oscillating tools are used due to large amounts of procedural bone loss and poor handling provisions. Aim of this study was to determine the safest osteotomy depth and to develop ultrasonic-surgery-tips to enable flapless vertical crest splitting and distraction of narrow alveolar crests of 2 mm or less. The safest osteotomy depth was determined on a cow-rib-model. To enable a flapless crest splitting and widening procedure, prototype-tips for the Piezotome-device were developed and tested against mechanical tools (widening screws and distractors) on cow-ribs, as well as their safe use in the hands of novice-surgeons on human cadaver heads. A minimum vertical osteotomy depth of 7-8 mm revealed the least fracture rates (3%). The use of the ultrasonic distraction tools showed the least risk of procedural failures (2%). Twenty-three Piezotome-trainees performed the procedure with the developed tips on fresh full human cadaver skulls with a success rate of 100%. The results of this study suggest that, with the use of ultrasonic surgical devices, the indication for vertical crest-splitting can be narrowed down to a crest width of 2 mm and even less and that it can be performed flapless, thus leaving the physiological bone-periosteum system fully intact.

Introduction

Lateral bone loss of the alveolar crest, narrowing and deformities occur for various reasons: as a result of trauma, periodontal disease, surgical treatment or congenital partial anodontia. Alveolar crest resorption after tooth loss and long time wearing of removable partial or full prosthesis has been shown to follow a certain pattern: the buccal side of the alveolar crest is primarily resorbed, which first reduces its width and only later its height.1,2 Although immediate reconstruction of the extraction socket (socket preservation)4 or immediate implant insertion5 could preserve a satisfactory width of the alveolar crest, these procedures are still rarely performed by the general dentist.

Various surgical techniques were published to regain satisfactory alveolar crest width both in the upper and the lower jaw, such as lateral appositional bone grafts,6 various membrane techniques7 in connection with or without autologous or synthetic bone grafts8,9,10 or by means of alveolar distraction osteogenesis.11 These require expensive equipment as well as high skills by the surgeon and compliance on the patient’s side and are still leading to considerable donor site complications,12,13 especially when great amounts of bone have to be harvested. As a side-effect, the preparation at the augmentation site causes additional procedural bone loss.

The less traumatic alveolar ridge expansion to widen the alveolar crest sufficiently was introduced in the early 90s14 and excellent results have been achieved especially in the maxillary narrow alveolar crest,15,16 but also in the posterior mandible17,18 or both.19-21

Several osteotomy-tools were developed for vertical alveolar crest splitting and alveolar ridge expansion, mainly for the more spongy, and thus more easy to widen maxillary narrow alveolar ridge (e.g. alternating osteotomes with variable conicity: Bontempi Medizintechnik GmbH, Tuttingen, Germany; Q-Tom: Trion Titanium GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany), but recently also for the more difficult expansion of the almost pure cortical bone of the narrow mandibular alveolar ridge (e.g. Split-Control, Crest-Control: Hager & Meisinger GmbH, Neuss, Germany). Due to the anatomical and surgical approach situation in the patients oral cavity (especially distal regions), these instruments do not allow a perfect and precise vertical osteotomy to the necessary depth and cause bone loss by themselves, thus limiting the application to remaining alveolar crest widths of 3 mm minimum.

A further enhancement and applicability of the vertical alveolar crest split to narrow alveolar crests of less than 2 mm was achieved by the use of ultrasonic surgical devices22,23, since it follows the principles of natural fracture healing.24,25 Nevertheless, the authors still describe the surgical protocol with a vast full thickness mucoperiostal flap and periostal detachment from the entire buccal cortical bone, thus interrupting the nutrition of the alveolar crest which might lead to secondary procedural resorption, healing delays and complications in case the distracted buccal cortical bone fractures at the baseline leave the surgeon in a situation comparable to a free autologous bone graft procedure.

The aim of the present study was: i) to evaluate the safety parameters for vertical alveolar crest splitting, especially for the narrow mandibular alveolar crest based on the average anatomy of endentulous mandibles26,27 and the author’s panoramic X-ray survey over their own patient clients; and ii) to develop highly fail-safe ultrasonic surgical tools also for the widening process in order to allow the surgeon a safe procedure without having to prepare a full thickness mucoperiostal flap and without uncontrollable procedural bone fractures, loss or devitalization in the augmentation site to achieve lesser post surgical patient’s morbidity and bone loss in the healing period comparable to flapless dental implant insertion.28-30

Materials and Methods

To establish a realistic research-protocol and base for further praxis-oriented developments of surgical tools and protocols, approximately 600 panoramic X-rays of patients not eligible for implants in the premolar and molar mandible region due to narrow alveolar crests...
of less than 3 mm were scanned by the authors (approx. 200 each) out of their routine patient-clients in their offices. These revealed an average bone height above the mandible nerve of 10 to 12 mm. Only 5% of the scanned X-rays showed a bone height of less than 10 mm above the mandible nerve. Thus, the depth limit for vertical alveolar crest osteotomies was determined with 8 mm to provide an applicability in 95% of cases in the daily oral surgeon's routine and was taken as the uppermost limit for the osteotomy depth in the experiments.

Fresh cow ribs of adult milk-cows with intact periosteum were taken. Being histologically-woven bone formations, they resembled an accepted experimental model for the posterior mandible. They were selected in size and length to resemble the average human lateral atrophic posterior mandible and were cut to 180 pieces with 60 mm length and stored at 4°C at 100% humidity (Figure 1). The prepared 180 specimens were then separated into 6 groups with 30 specimens each.

Subsequently, each piece was warmed up to 32°C in 100% humidity, which resembles the inner temperature of the jawbone in the human mandible during oral surgical procedures.

With the ultrasonic surgical device Piezotome II (60 W/28-36 KHz, Satelec-ACTEON, Merignac, France; Figure 2) and the BS5-tip (bone scalpel; Satelec-ACTEON, Merignac, France; Figure 3), vertical osteotomies in a mesiodistal length of 35 mm were performed on each specimen (Figure 4): group A (30 specs), 3 mm osteotomy depth; group B (30 specs), 4 mm osteotomy depth; group C (30 specs), 5 mm osteotomy depth; group D (30 specs), 6 mm osteotomy depth; group E (30 specs), 7 mm osteotomy depth; group F (30 specs), 8 mm osteotomy depth.

Both at the beginning and at the end of the 35 mm longitudinal vertical osteotomy line, 90° relief osteotomies to one side – presumed as the buccal side – were performed with the LC1-tip (Ligament cutter; Piezotome; Figure 5) in a straight downward movement to the corresponding osteotomy depth to resemble a realistic surgical situation without a mucoperiostal flap leaving the periosteum completely uncut and fully attached to the buccal cortical bone of the alveolar ridge (Figure 6).

The widening process in this first experimental series of different vertical osteotomy depths of 3 to 8 mm was performed with the Horizontal Spreader-device from Hager & Meisinger GmbH (Crest Control) to achieve a widening gap of 4 mm which is sufficient for most implant systems and stays within the biological margins of safe fracture healing.

Additionally, the widening angle was analysed in group B with a 4 mm vertical osteotomy (Figure 7) and group F with an 8 mm vertical osteotomy (Figure 8), both widened up to an osteotomy gap of 4 mm.
All fractures occurring at the baseline of the distracted compacta-lamella were noted as a failure to the study protocol with 1 for later statistical analysis. A lack of a fracture was noted as 0.

After statistical evaluation of the safest osteotomy depth causing the least fractures at the baseline of the distracted bone lamella, the authors (TKW-Research-Group) calculated and designed specific ultrasonic tips for the vertical osteotomy- and widening procedure in computer models and asked Satelec-ACTEON France to machine prototypes according to the author’s design-templates (Figure 9).

After having received the prototype-set of ultrasonic crest splitters and wideners designed by the authors (TKW-Research-Group) and manufactured by Satelec-ACTEON/France, another set of 150 cow-rib pieces of the same dimensions and conditions as in the first part of the experiment was prepared.

The first and thinnest of the ultrasonic prototype tips (TKW-CS1) was used to perform the initial vertical longitudinal osteotomy in a length of 35 mm and depth of 8 mm on all 150 specimens, followed by the 90° relief osteotomies of the buccal compacta lamella at both ends of the longitudinal (mesio-distal) vertical osteotomy with TKW-CS3 (Figures 6 and 9).

The 150 specimens treated with the TKW-CS1 and TKW-CS3 ultrasonic tips with a 8 mm-deep vertical osteotomy were then separated into three groups of 50 specimens each to perform the expansion process to a 4 mm-gap with different tools: group I (50 specs), widening to a 4 mm gap with the Split Control-Screws (Hager & Meisinger); group II (50 specs): widening to a 4 mm gap with the Horizontal Spreader (Hager & Meisinger); group III (50 specs), widening to a 4 mm gap with the TKW-ultrasonic-Crest-Widener CS2-4-5-6 (TKW-Research-Group & Satelec-ACTEON) (Figures 10-15).

Stress-cracks and additional fractures observed in the distracted bone plate were recorded as failure (1) to the study protocol and analysed statistically to determine the safety of the ultrasonic widening tips in comparison to the traditional widening devices of group I and II.

Statistical analysis included determination of mean value, standard deviation (SD), variance analysis, T-test, T-test derived significance in percent and P-value determination (Tables 1, 2, and 3).

Q2-implants (12 mm length/4.5 mm diameter; TRINON Titanium GmbH) were inserted to simulate a simultaneous implant insertion (Figure 16).

After setting up the final flapless crest splitting surgical protocol according to the results of group III, the prototype-tips were tested on 12 fresh and non-formaldehyd-treated human cadaver heads by 23 ultrasound surgery trainees on 25 sites, both in the maxillary and mandibular narrow alveolar crest, during a...
Piezotome-surgery hands-on-course at the Institute for Anatomy of the Medical University Vienna.

Crest width was measured between 1.5 to 3 mm prior to surgery after a single mesio-distal top-crestal mucoperiostal incision. The trainees had to perform a flapless vertical alveolar crest split of 8 mm depth and 10 mm minimum of length, a widening to 4 mm and machine-aided insertion of 1 or 2 Q2-Implants of 12 mm length and 4.5 mm diameter primarily stable with the implant motor of the Implant Center (Satelec-ACTEON/France) with an insertion torque of minimum 30 Ncm, without clinically detectable fractures of the baseline of the distracted buccal compacta to simulate a real-patient-surgery. After the surgery and a check by the authors, the mucoperiosteum of the surgical site was removed and checked for hidden fractures.

**Results**

While at an osteotomy depth of 3 mm baseline fractures of the distracted compacta lamella occur in 77% cases, the occurrence of these fractures decreases significantly with deeper osteotomy depths to only 3% when the vertical cut is at 8 mm in the simulated setup of a vertical crest splitting and horizontal widening procedure with a resulting 4 mm gap in the mandible (Table 1).

Furthermore, Figure 17 shows that the highest decrease of a fracture occurrence takes place between an osteotomy depth of 6-7 mm (7%) and it is lowest at 8 mm (3%).

This fact is also related to the widening angle of the expanded buccal compacta lamella at a top-crestal widening gap of 4 mm measured from the base-line-hinge, which is 44.5° at 4 mm vertical osteotomy and 27.2° at an osteotomy depth of 8 mm (Table 2).

Once the optimum osteotomy depth was statistically determined with 7 to 8 mm osteotomy depth, the different tools for the horizontal widening process were compared at this osteotomy depth of 8 mm and resulted in a failure rate (fracture of the base-line-hinge) of 35% when tools such as non-cutting-widening-screws like Split Control were used (Table 3). The fracture rate of the baseline of the distracted buccal compacta lamella decreased to 18% when instruments like Crest Control were used (Table 3). The least fracture rate of the baseline of only 2% was achieved with the use of the prototypes for ultrasound enhanced alveolar crest widening (Table 3).

In the real-patient-surgery simulation on the cadaver heads, all 23 trainees succeeded to insert Q2-implants machine-aided in 25 vertical-crest-splitting surgical sites primarily stable with a minimum insertion torque of 30 

---

**Table 1. Baseline fractures at different vertical cuts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ribs (30 specs)/widenning to 4 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 3 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 4 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 5 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 6 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 7 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 8 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value (%)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Compared to 3 mm:</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.56)</td>
<td>Compared to 4 mm:</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.08)</td>
<td>Compared to 5 mm:</td>
<td>(P&lt;0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compared to 6 mm:</td>
<td>(P&gt;0.002)</td>
<td>Compared to 7 mm:</td>
<td>(P&gt;0.001)</td>
<td>Compared to 5 mm:</td>
<td>(P&gt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Widening angle of the expanded buccal compacta lamella at different vertical cuts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ribs (30 specs)</th>
<th>Vertical cut 4 mm/widenning angle at 4 mm</th>
<th>Vertical cut 8 mm/widenning angle at 4 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>Mean value (°)</td>
<td>44.05.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group F</td>
<td>Mean value (°)</td>
<td>27.02.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>01.05</td>
<td>01.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>02.05</td>
<td>01.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>Compared to group B: (P&gt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Fracture rates in groups I, II, and III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ribs (50 specs)/Widenning to 4 mm</td>
<td>Widenning to 4 mm with Split Control (Hager &amp; Meisinger)</td>
<td>Widenning to 4 mm with Crest Control (Hager &amp; Meisinger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean value (%)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>00.48</td>
<td>00.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>00.23</td>
<td>00.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Group I compared to Group II: * (P&gt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group II compared to Group III: ** (P&gt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, significance at the 0.05 level; **, significance at the 0.01 level; ***, significance at the 0.001 level. Specs, specimens; SD, standard deviation.
Ncm. The later inspection of the baseline of the buccal lamella after resection of the mucoperiosteum revealed no single fracture of the baseline (Failure rate 0%).

Discussion

Following the philosophy of an undisturbed and undelayed utilization of physiological bone repair mechanisms patient-friendly surgical procedures in bone augmentation should possibly be least traumatic. This could be achieved when the surgeon has to deal with only vital bone or even more with vital bone not detached from the nurturing periosteum by leaving physiological systems intact and minimizing unintended procedural iatrogenic lesions to the surgical site by too coarse tools.

To achieve this target in vertical alveolar crest splitting and widening to an implant-relevant width of a 4 mm gap also at remaining crest widths of only 1 mm, a minimum vertical osteotomy depth of 7-8 mm is necessary, as suggested by this study, in order to prevent the risk of an uncontrolled fracture of the baseline of the distracted buccal lamella. To further prevent uncontrolled fractures of the expanded bone section, mesial and distal buccal relief osteotomies are mandatory.

For the widening process after the vertical osteotomy, non-cutting widening screws do show a higher rate of unintended fractures than instruments that distribute the distracting forces over a wider area of the distracted compacts.

Screws apply distracting peak forces on a small area of the distracted compacts, thus causing uncontrolled vertical and baseline fractures to a considerable degree. Flat transport-plates distractor-designs distribute the distracting forces over a much wider bone area, thus bearing a significant lesser risk of uncontrolled fractures.

Ultrasonic oscillating wideners, such as the newly developed TKW-Crest-Splitters and Wideners achieve the best distracting force distribution over a wide area of the distracted compacts. Ultrasonic cavitation effect, resulting in the least risk of uncontrolled fractures and thus possible devitalization of the distracted bone, as the results of this study suggest.

Furthermore, ultrasonic surgical devices enable the oral surgeon to split a narrow alveolar crest of 2 mm or less with ultimate precision and ease, since rotating and low frequency oscillating instruments cause a significant procedural bone loss due to instrument dimension and/or difficulties in taming the cutting instrument.

Last but not least, the study with the first time Piezotome-users has shown that even untrained oral surgeons are able to perform a flapless crest-splitting procedure according to the established protocol and application-tips with ease and without failure.

First hand experiences in patient-treatment with this protocol and ultrasonic tip-set approve the results of this study. Comparable to flapless dental implant insertion, the Piezotome-enhanced flapless vertical alveolar crest split and widening could lower patient’s post-surgical morbidity regarding pain and swelling and might reduce bone resorption in the healing period, which is currently investigated in a clinical multicentre study.
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