Innoucuousness of conjunctival vaccination with *Brucella melitensis* strain Rev. 1 in pregnant Iranian fat-tailed ewes
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Abstract

*Brucella melitensis* strain Rev. 1 is the most effective vaccine against brucellosis in sheep and goats. In Iran, mass vaccination is carried out all over the country in which adult animals are immunized by subcutaneous injection of reduced doses of the vaccine. However, due to antibody responses elicited by vaccination, concomitant implementation of test-and-slaughter is impossible. To overcome the problem, vaccination through conjunctival route is recommended. In this study, serological responses of six pregnant Iranian fat-tailed ewes to conjunctival vaccination with standard doses of the vaccine were evaluated using modified Rose Bengal test, serum agglutination test and indirect ELISA. Besides, vaccine strain excretion in milk and vaginal discharges was also examined by microbiological culture of milk and vaginal swab samples taken one day post-parturition. Animals were vaccinated during the second half of gestation. As the results, antibody titers of five (83.3%) ewes decreased to the levels not detectable by the tests within three months after vaccination. No vaccine-induced abortions occurred and vaccinated ewes delivered healthy lambs. Serological tests interfering with detection of infected ones. This makes simultaneous implementation of vaccination and test-and-slaughter impossible since vaccinated animals are falsely diagnosed as infected. Moreover, vaccine-induced abortion and vaccine strain excretion in milk and vaginal discharges may occur. Vaccination of flocks through conjunctival route is known as one way to solve these problems.

Small ruminant brucellosis is an enzootic disease in Iran causing abortion in different parts of the country. Mass vaccination has been the main control measure since 2003 in which adult animals are vaccinated subcutaneously using reduced doses of the vaccine. Nevertheless, there are field reports showing long-lasting sero-positivity of vaccinated adult sheep and goats and abortions in pregnant animals attributed to the vaccination. Therefore, this study was done to evaluate serological responses of pregnant fat-tailed ewes to ocular vaccination with standard doses of *B. melitensis* strain Rev. 1 as well as its safety in terms of abortion induction and vaccine strain excretion in milk and vaginal secretions.

Materials and Methods

Animals and vaccination

Eleven pregnant Iranian fat-tailed ewes were randomly selected from a known brucellosis-free flock. Selected animals were negative in modified Rose Bengal test (mRBT), serum agglutination test (SAT) and indirect ELISA (iELISA) carried out twice with a month’s interval. Six ewes were vaccinated during third to fifth month of pregnancy with conjunctival *B. melitensis* strain Rev. 1 vaccine containing 10^9 colony forming units (CFU) per dose. Other ewes were used as controls in which normal saline was used instead of vaccine strain at the same time. The vaccine used in the study was produced in Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute according to standard procedures. The original seed for vaccine production was obtained from Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency (AHVLA), Weybridge, UK. Vaccinated and control animals were kept separately in the same conditions.

Serological evaluation

Animals were bled every 2 weeks for three months after immunization to evaluate serological responses to vaccination. Serum samples were examined using mRBT, SAT and iELISA. RBT and SAT antigens were produced in Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute based on standard methods, as described previously. For mRBT, one drop of the antigen was mixed with three drops of the serum sample. Indirect ELISA was performed using PriCHECK® Brucella Ab (Prionics AG, Schlieren, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Bacteriological examination

To determine vaccine strain excretion in
milk and vaginal discharges, milk and vaginal swab samples were taken within 24 hours after abortion or parturition. Milk samples were first centrifuged at 6000-7000 rpm for 15 minutes and then supernatant cream and precipitated pellet were cultured. Swab samples were cultured directly on solid media. Brucella agar medium (BD, USA) was used for vaccine strain isolation which was supplemented with Brucella selective antibiotics (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and 5% (v/v) horse serum following manufacturer’s instructions. For each sample, at least 3 plates were inoculated.

**Results**

**Serological responses after vaccination**

While control ewes remained negative during the study, vaccinated animals showed antibody responses from the second week after immunization. Percents of positive vaccinated ewes in mRBT and iELISA at two-week intervals over the study period are presented in Figure 1. Two weeks post-vaccination, all animals were positive in mRBT but none of them in iELISA. Five ewes (83.3%) showed positive results in iELISA after four weeks. The mRBT and iELISA results of each ewe were similar from fourth week on. After 12 weeks, only one vaccinated ewe (16.7%) remained positive detected by the two tests.

Evaluation of antibody titers using SAT demonstrated a falling trend over time (Figure 2). All animals showed increased antibody titers after two weeks which declined gradually afterwards in a way that 5 ewes (83.3%) had no SAT titers twelve weeks after vaccine inoculation. The only ewe, which had antibody titers detectable by SAT, was also reactive in mRBT and iELISA.

**Vaccine safety**

No abortion occurred following vaccination and all vaccinated animals had normal delivery with healthy lambs 50.33±15.56 (mean±SD) days after vaccine inoculation. *B. melitensis* strain Rev.1 was not isolated by microbiological methods from milk and vaginal discharges of vaccinated sheep within 24 hours postpartum.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

In Iran, brucellosis in small ruminants is an important enzootic disease which is a public health burden. Nomadic raising of sheep and goats, traditional production practices, illegal animal imports and uncontrolled movements of flocks within the country contribute to the difficulties in disease control. In these conditions, control of the disease has been mainly based on mass vaccination of young and adult animals using subcutaneous administration of full and reduced doses of *B. melitensis* strain Rev.1, respectively. However, the disease still remains prevalent in different parts of the country diagnosed as a significant cause of abortion in sheep and goats.

Although we previously demonstrated that reduced doses containing less than or equal to 10<sup>6</sup> bacteria can be safely used to immunize pregnant ewes with short-lasting serological responses, field reports show persistence antibody responses and abortions caused by vaccination. It is known that the innocuousness of the vaccine in adult and pregnant animals depends on vaccine dose, time of vaccination during gestation and administration route. Hence, these observations may be partially due to the fact that according to a standard approved by National Brucellosis Expert Committee, the reduced dose of vaccine used for adult animals immunization can contain up to 4×10<sup>6</sup> colony forming units (CFU) per dose. In addition, subcutaneous use of vaccine and extended lambing season in Iran, which results in presence of pregnant animals throughout the year in flocks, could be influential. Therefore, ocular inoculation of the vaccine is considered as an alternative proved to be safer.

In our experiment, all animals were detected as positive using mRBT two weeks after immunization. Stournara et al. also reported a hundred percent positive results in mRBT of non-pregnant ewes 21 days post-vaccination. In another study by Zundel et al., all ewes vaccinated at mid-pregnancy using the same dose as ours were positive in RBT 2 weeks following vaccination.

The proportion of positive vaccinated ewes in iELISA reached its maximum after 4 weeks. A similar result has been observed by Stournara et al. which was attributed to the higher affinity of the conjugate used in the assay to immunoglobulin G (IgG). It has also been reported that more than 70 percent of non-vaccinated ewes were detected as negative by iELISA 14 days after challenge with the virulent strain during pregnancy. Because the ewes used in our study were from a brucellosis-free flock without previous exposure to the pathogen, and according to the explanation provided by Stournara et al., negative iELISA results of these naive ewes two weeks after vaccine inoculation suggest antibody responses may be mainly of IgM class at this time. The percentage of positive animals detected by iELISA and mRBT decreased rapidly from 6 weeks post-vaccination to the end of study. Similar performance of iELISA and mRBT in our study is in agreement with results of the study carried out by Stournara et al. However, in the latter study 72.6% and 84% of animals remained positive in iELISA and mRBT, respectively 91 days after immunization, but in our study only 16.7% were positive in both tests 12 weeks post-vaccination. This differ-
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**Figure 1.** Percent of positive vaccinated ewes in modified Rose Bengal test and indirect ELISA.

**Figure 2.** Mean ± standard deviation of serum agglutination titers in vaccinated animals.
ence may be due to age and physiologic status of animals when vaccinated or breed variation in antibody responses.7,18

Evaluation of serological responses during the study period using SAT revealed antibody titers fell from the peak reached at week two toward the end of study. SAT results are compatible with the other two tests and the only ewe, which had antibody titer of diagnostic value 2 weeks after immunization, was also detected as positive in iELISA and mRBT at this interval. While serum antibody level of ewe, which had antibody titer of diagnostic value 12 week after immunization, was also detected in iELISA and mRBT at this interval. For this animal, parturition occurred 66 days post-immunization 4 days prior to blood collection for the 10th week. This suggests that parturition might have effects on antibody responses to vaccination.

Use of vaccine through conjunctival route during second half of pregnancy was safe in terms of abortion induction, and no vaccine excretion in milk and fetal materials was detected soon after delivery. Rev.1 strain delivered conjunctivally is known to have a spread confined mainly to head lymph nodes.8 Considering normal delivery of all animals one to two months following vaccine inoculation and as vaccine strain was not isolated immediately after parturition, it seems Rev.1 strain was not generalized to the uterus and mammary gland. Although there is no a completely safe way to use Rev.1 vaccine in pregnant small ruminants, it is known that conjunctival administration of the vaccine during late pregnancy or before breeding can reduce risks of vaccine-induced abortions and vaccine strain excretion in milk and vaginal discharges, which was also proved in Iranian fat-tailed ewes in this study.

In general, the present experiment showed serological responses to ocular vaccination of pregnant Iranian fat-tailed sheep with standard doses of B. melitensis strain Rev.1 disappeared in a considerable proportion of animals within 12 weeks. Moreover, use of vaccine during late pregnancy did not cause vaccination-induced abortion and Rev.1 strain excretion in milk and vaginal discharges during immediate postpartum period. Nevertheless, further investigations are required to assess vaccine performance in field conditions.
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