Enteric fever cases showing concurrent seropositivity with Dengue and malaria: A sero-diagnostic challenge
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Abstract

Enteric fever, Dengue and malaria still remain diseases of public health importance in the tropics. Individuals residing in endemic areas are at risk of contracting these infections either concurrently or an acute infection superimposed on a chronic one. This study was undertaken to document patients showing co seropositivity for Enteric fever, Malaria and Dengue and to record the baseline Salmonella antibody titer in voluntary blood donors who represent general population of the area. The present study was conducted in the Department of Microbiology, J.J.M. Medical College, Davangere. Among the 824 febrile patients enrolled with positive serological test for either Typhoid, Dengue or Malaria, 189 patients were found to have co seropositivity to any two of the above diseases on subjecting their serum to Widal test, Dengue ELISA and malaria antigen detection by immunochromatography. A total of 189 patients showed co seropositivity for any of the above mentioned diseases, accounting for 22.90%. Typhoid-Dengue was found in 9.83% Typhoid-Malaria in 6.67% and all the three in 0.48%. Dengue-Malaria co-seropositivity was recorded in 5.94%. The basal titer in healthy population was found to be <1:20. The co seropositivity rate in our study is 22.9% which poses a challenge in the diagnosis and treatment of such patients. As the gold standards culture and microscopy are time consuming and molecular diagnostic tools not a practical reality in many rural and developing primary health centers, simple, rapid and sensitive serological methods are being used as an alternative diagnostic tool in diagnosing atypical co infections which in some instance leads to overwhelming diagnosis of co infections and improper treatment.

Introduction

Typhoid, Malaria and Dengue fever are among the most endemic diseases in the tropics. Though caused by different agents, they have similar clinical presentation. Etiological diagnosis is important in the management of these diseases which are associated with population density, urbanization, endemicity and mobility all favoring the disease spread.1

With the availability of rapid serodiagnostic tests for these infections, it has been observed that patients’ samples frequently show seropositivity for two or more infections posing challenges in clinical diagnosis and treatment. The reasons could be endemicity of the disease leading to raised IgG antibody level and sharing of antigen and cross reacting antibodies.

Poor diagnosis continues to hinder Malaria, Typhoid and Dengue control in the tropics. This is due to a combination of factors including nonspecific clinical presentation of the diseases, high prevalence of asymptomatic infection in many areas, lack of resources of insufficient access to train health care providers and health facilities, widespread practice of self treatment for clinically suspected malaria and typhoid fever.2

Baseline titer of Salmonella antibodies for interpreting significant/diagnostic titer are not always available in every region and remain unrevised for decades together.

To overcome these difficulties, tests employing rapid antigen detection, detection of post infection IgM antibodies and review of baseline titers for laboratory diagnosis can serve as alternatives. Seropositivity for more than one of the above tests makes both laboratory and clinical diagnosis difficult. Hence this study was done to assess the frequency of concurrent infections with Dengue and Malaria in Enteric fever patients in Davangere.

Materials and Methods

Type of study

The present study was a type of cross sectional study conducted in the department of Microbiology, JJM Medical College, Davangere from July 2010 to June 2012.

Source of data

Febrile patients attending the outpatient department or admitted to hospitals attached to JJM Medical College suspected to have signs and symptoms clinically suggestive of typhoid, malaria and/or dengue were initially enrolled. Informed consent was taken from all patients during the study.

Method for collection of data

Inclusion criteria: Febrile patients with positive serological tests for either Typhoid, Malaria or Dengue were included.

Exclusion criteria: Febrile patients showing negative results in serological tests for Typhoid, Malaria and Dengue and/or seropositive for one of the above infections, TAB vaccinated cases and fever due to other proven causes were excluded.

Sample size: A) 100 voluntary blood donors for determining baseline titers; B) 189 cases showing concurrent seropositivity for Dengue, Malaria and/or Typhoid.

Specimen collection

Using strict aseptic precautions, 5-8 mL of venous blood was collected in appropriate sterile bulbs. 2/3 of the blood was allowed to clot at room temperature for half an hour, after which the clot was dislodged to separate the serum. This was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 2 minutes. This was used for Dengue IgM and IgG ELISA and Widal test and for detection of S.typhi IgM antibodies using immunochromatography (Enterochek-WB)

Remaining 1/3 blood was added to the EDTA bulb and this anticoagulated blood was subjected to Malarial antigen detection using rapid immunochromatography (Malarigen kit).
**Results**

Among the 100 blood samples collected from healthy blood donors, a significant portion (58) had anti-O antibody titers $<1:20$, 48 donors had anti-H antibody titer $<1:20$ against serotype *S. enterica* serotype *Typhi*.

Among the 42 samples demonstrating anti – O titers of $≥1:20$ to *S. enterica* serotype *typhi*, 22 had a titer of $1:40$ and 6 had a titer of $≥1:80$. Similarly among the 52 samples demonstrating anti – H titers of $>1:20$ to *S. enterica* serotype *typhi*, 24 had a titer of $1:40$ and 11 had $≥1:80$. For *S. enterica* serotypes *Paratyphi A*, anti-H titers of $>1:20$ were found only in 3 samples. For *S. enterica* serotypes *Paratyphi B* all (100%) had anti-H titers $<1:20$ (Table 1). 824 blood samples from febrile patients showing positive serological test for Typhoid, Dengue or Malaria were initially enrolled. Among these, 189 had serological evidence of co-infection with typhoid, dengue and malaria.

The significant titer for $T_O$ and $T_H$ antibodies in and around Davangere is considered to be $≥1:80$ and $≥1:160$ respectively. 0.20 patients had $T_O$ titers of 1.80 and 101 had 1:160. 94 had $T_H$ titres of 1:160.

81 cases were seropositive for dengue and typhoid accounting for 9.83%. Among these, 46 (56.79%) showed Dengue IgM antibodies, 26 (32.09%) showed Dengue IgG antibodies and 09 (11.11%) showed both IgM and IgG antibodies (Table 2).

55 cases were seropositive for Malaria-Typhoid accounting for 6.67%. Out of these, 34 (61.22%) were positive for *P. falciparum* and 21 (38.18%) were positive for *P. vivax*. (Figure 1). We noted 49 cases showing seropositivity for both Dengue and Malaria accounting for 5.94% (Table 3).

Four patients had serological evidence of all the three infections i.e. Typhoid, Dengue and Malaria accounting for 0.48%. Out of the 140 samples seropositive for Widal test, only 04 showed a positive test indicating the presence of IgM antibodies to *S. typhi* accounting for 2.85%.

**Discussion**

The precise incidence of concurrent infection with Dengue or Malaria in Enteric fever cases in most of the geographical regions is largely unknown and is yet a field to be explored in India. No significant data about such an association is available in India. With the advent of rapid diagnostic serological tests for diagnosis of these diseases, there have been a lot of challenges in laboratory and clinical diagnosis of co-infection. This has prompted us to undertake the present study. Widal test, Dengue IgM/IgG ELISA and Malaria rapid antigen immunochromatographic were used to screen Typhoid, Dengue and Malaria cases.

Although blood culture is the confirmatory test for diagnosing Typhoid, in many developing areas, still patients are not able to afford the cost factor. The patients are invariably treated with empirical antibiotics even before a culture report is sought. The baseline titer of *Salmonella* antibodies needs revision from area to area over a period of time. Hence in this study, 100 serum samples from healthy volunteers (blood donors) were considered for baseline *Salmonella* antibody titer estimation.

In the present study, 6.0% of the serum samples showed a significant titer of anti-O antibodies ($≥1:80$) and 11.0 % showed a significant titer of anti-H antibodies ($≥1:80$).

The results are comparable with the study conducted by Shukla et al.$^3$ (13.83% and 8%), Jeyakumari et al.$^4$ (2.2% and 4.4%) and Peshattiviara$^5$ (4.1% and 9.52%). Slightly higher value for H antibodies (29.0%) was recorded by Pokhrel et al.$^6$ in Nepal.

Majority of the blood donors had a titer of $≤1:20$ for both O and H antibodies. The reason for the low titer could be better awareness among the population and improved social hygiene. This warrants future studies on baseline tires on a larger population and periodic review of the baseline titers in this geographic location.

A total of 55 patients showed co seropositivity for typhoid and malaria accounting for 6.67%. This is slightly lower compared to the findings of Mbuh et al.$^7$ (10.1%) , Olopoenia et al.$^8$ (12%), Jhaveri et al.$^9$ (14.58%) and Samal and Sahu$^{10}$ (15.4%). A slightly higher percentage was observed by Igharo et al. in Nigeria.$^{11}$

Detection of Malaria parasite in a peripheral blood smear by conventional light microscopy remains currently the gold standard for diagnosis of Malaria. It can be used for speciation. It is sensitive, informative, relatively inexpensive. It is a general diagnostic technique that can be shared with other disease control programs and can provide a permanent record (the smears) of the diagnostic findings and be subject to quality control.$^{12}$ Recently, there is gradual replacement of microscopy by rapid immunochromatographic tests for detection of Malarial antigen which can be done and interpreted by inexperienced personnel in a relatively shorter time.

The definitive diagnosis of Typhoid fever requires the isolation of *Salmonella enterica* serotype *typhi* from the patient. However, this requires laboratory equipment and technical training that are beyond the means of most primary health care facilities in the developing world. Consequently, Widal test is the only specific diagnostic investigation available in most tropical regions.$^{13}$ A fourfold rise in titer of antibodies was not detected as patients were not available for repeat sample.

It has been shown that antibody response to O antigen of *S. typhi* was markedly reduced in acute episode of Malaria compared with that in controls where humoral immunity is transiently impaired.$^{14}$

As the *typhi* antigen is shared by a large number of organisms from the *Salmonella* genus and other related organisms,$^{15,16}$ a positive Widal test is therefore likely to occur in several conditions other than the actual *Salmonella* enteric infection. False positive Widal test has already been reported in other febrile illnesses such as Malaria, Tuberculosis, and Schistosomiasis.$^{17,19}$

The outcome of the Widal reaction for patients with a clinical suspicion of Typhoid and Malaria depends on individual host immune responses, which become stimulat-

**Table 1. Baseline Salmonella antibody titers in healthy volunteers (blood donors).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titer</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>TH</th>
<th>AH</th>
<th>BH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;1:20$</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:20$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:40$</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:80$</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:160$</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:320$</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Seropositivity of typhoid-dengue.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total cases</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Dengue IgM + typhoid</th>
<th>Dengue IgG + typhoid</th>
<th>Dengue IgM + IgG + typhoid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ed in febrile conditions associated with Malaria fever. The memory response could cause positive Widal reactions in previously sensitized patients. This could be a reason for false positive Widal test. There are only a couple of reports available on co-infection with Dengue and Typhoid.

In the present study, we recorded 81 patients showing co seropositivity for Dengue and Typhoid accounting for 9.83%. 56.79% had IgM, 32.09% had IgG and 11.11% had both IgM and IgG. In secondary Dengue there is a high titer of IgG and a low titer of IgM. This could be the reason for the presence of both IgG and IgM in 09 patients. The actual reason for concurrent Dengue Typhoid co-infection remains unclear. The possibility could be either a camouflaging of symptoms in a true co infection or it could be a superimposed secondary infection. The definitive diagnosis can be established only by culture.

All the 140 Widal test seropositive cases were subjected to IgM immunochromatography. The results of the present study show a lower value than those of Anusha et al.21 (47%) and Anagha et al.22 (20.48%). We compared Enterocheck with Widal test and found the sensitivity to be very low (5.07%). The above authors have considered blood culture instead of Widal test. Our findings suggest that Enterocheck is not very suitable for diagnosing enteric fever.

Conclusions

Understanding the nature and consequences of co-infection is vital for accurate estimates of infectious disease burden. Improved knowledge of the factors controlling an individual’s risk of co-infection and the mechanisms behind these pathogen-pathogen interactions, especially from experimental studies, will also aid the proper management of these co-infections. Relying only on concurrent seropositivity of one or more tests leads to a overwhelming diagnosis of co-infection. A serological test should always be confirmed with a gold standard method of diagnosis to avoid improper diagnosis and treatment.

Table 3. Seroprevalence of dengue and malaria co seropositivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspected cases</th>
<th>Dengue-malaria co-infection</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>824</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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